The Pinnacle Research Journal of Scientific and Management Sciences (TPRJSMS)
eISSN: 3087-4041
Volume03 Issue07 | Page No: - 01-07

Received: 30 May 2026, Revised: 16 June 2026, Accepted: 23 June 2026, Published: 06 July 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55640/tprjsms-v03i07-01

An Econometric Framework for Evaluating the Impact of Corporate Investment Behavior on Market Valuation of Quoted Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria

Dr. Arjun Mehta[1], Dr. Priya Nair[2]

[1] Department of Management Studies, Himalayan Institute of Technology, India

[2] School of Business Analytics, South India Institute of Science and Management, India

ABSTRACT: This study develops an econometric framework for evaluating the impact of corporate investment behavior on the market valuation of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. Corporate investment decisions—particularly in working capital, fixed assets, and financing structure—play a critical role in determining firm value and investor perception in emerging markets. Drawing on financial intermediation theory and firm growth lifecycle perspectives, the study synthesizes empirical insights from prior literature to construct a structured analytical model that links investment behavior to market valuation outcomes. The framework emphasizes working capital efficiency, capital allocation decisions, and financing accessibility as key transmission channels influencing firm value. Evidence from developing economies suggests that inefficient capital management constrains profitability and market performance, while optimal investment strategies enhance liquidity, operational efficiency, and investor confidence. In the Nigerian manufacturing context, structural constraints such as credit accessibility, macroeconomic instability, and institutional inefficiencies further shape investment outcomes. The study proposes an integrated econometric model incorporating firm-specific and macroeconomic variables to capture these dynamics. The findings from synthesized literature indicate that corporate investment behavior significantly influences market valuation through profitability, risk perception, and financial sustainability channels. The paper contributes to financial management literature by offering a structured framework applicable to emerging market manufacturing firms, with implications for corporate governance, investment policy, and market efficiency.

KEYWORDS: Corporate investment behavior, market valuation, econometric framework, manufacturing firms, working capital management, firm value, Nigeria, capital structure, financial performance.

1. Introduction

Background of the Study

Corporate investment behavior refers to the strategic allocation of financial resources by firms into short-term and long-term assets with the objective of maximizing shareholder value. In manufacturing firms, such decisions include capital expenditure on machinery, inventory management, receivables policies, and financing structures. These decisions directly influence liquidity, operational efficiency, and ultimately, market valuation.

In emerging economies like Nigeria, manufacturing firms face structural inefficiencies such as limited access to credit, volatile macroeconomic conditions, and weak institutional frameworks. These constraints make investment decisions more complex and significantly more impactful on firm valuation outcomes. According to foundational evidence, SME and manufacturing sector development in emerging economies is strongly influenced by financial accessibility and investment efficiency (Abor, J., & Quartey, P. (2010). Issues in SME Development in Ghana and South Africa. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 39.). This highlights the importance of examining how investment behavior translates into measurable market performance in quoted firms.

Corporate investment decisions are particularly critical in quoted manufacturing firms because their financial choices are continuously evaluated by investors and reflected in stock prices. Efficient investment behavior signals strong management capability, reduces perceived risk, and enhances investor confidence, thereby increasing market valuation.

Problem Statement

Despite the importance of investment decisions, many quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria exhibit suboptimal investment behavior characterized by inefficient working capital management, excessive short-term borrowing, and misallocation of capital expenditure. Empirical evidence suggests that inefficient working capital management negatively affects profitability and firm value (Ajao, S. O., & Nkechinyere A. C. (2012). Effective working capital management and profitability. A selected study of quoted companies in Nigeria. Economics and Finance Review, 2(6), 55-67.).

However, existing studies have not sufficiently integrated corporate investment behavior into a unified econometric framework that explains its direct and indirect effects on market valuation. Most analyses focus either on profitability or liquidity without connecting investment decisions to market-based valuation indicators such as stock price and market-to-book ratio.

Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to develop an econometric framework for evaluating the impact of corporate investment behavior on market valuation. Specific objectives include:

1. To examine the relationship between investment decisions and firm market value.
2. To identify key components of corporate investment behavior affecting valuation.
3. To propose an econometric model capturing investment-performance linkages.
4. To synthesize empirical literature on working capital and investment efficiency.
5. To provide policy and managerial implications for Nigerian manufacturing firms.

Scope and Significance of the Study

The study focuses on quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria, emphasizing investment-related financial decisions and their impact on market valuation. It contributes to financial economics literature by integrating investment behavior with valuation theory, offering a structured econometric framework applicable to emerging markets.

The significance of the study lies in its potential to guide corporate managers in optimizing investment decisions, assist investors in valuation assessments, and support policymakers in improving financial market efficiency.

2. Literature Review

Corporate Investment Behavior and Firm Performance

Corporate investment behavior is widely recognized as a determinant of firm performance and valuation. Investment decisions in working capital and fixed assets influence liquidity, operational stability, and profitability. Studies show that working capital efficiency directly enhances firm profitability and sustainability (Arunkumar, O. N., & Ramanan, T. R. (2013). Working Capital Management and Profitability. A Sensitivity Analysis. ISSN (print): 2 (1), 2319-5479.).

In developing economies, investment behavior is often constrained by financial system inefficiencies and limited access to credit. For example, supplier development programs and financial intermediaries significantly influence SME performance by improving capital access (Arraiz, I., Henriquez, F., & Stucchi, R. (2011). Impact of the Chilean Supplier Development Program on the Performance of SME and Their Large Firm Customers. Working Paper, Int. American Development Bank, Washington DC.).

Working Capital Management and Market Value

Working capital management is one of the most critical components of corporate investment behavior. It determines the firm's liquidity position and operational efficiency. Empirical evidence indicates that efficient working capital management enhances profitability and firm value, while inefficiency leads to financial distress (Buto, N. A., Mujeeb-Ur-Raheem, A., & Shah, S. M. (2011). Relationship of cash conversion cycle with firm size, working capital approach and firm's profitability: a case study of Pakistani Industry. Pak. J. Eng. Technol. Sci. 1(2), 45-64.).

Similarly, empirical findings confirm that efficient working capital management positively influences profitability in manufacturing firms (Hayajneh, O. S., & Yassine, F. L. A. (2011). The impact of working capital efficiency on profitability—an empirical analysis on Jordanian manufacturing firms. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 66, 67-76.).

Financial Growth Lifecycle and Investment Decisions

The financial growth lifecycle theory suggests that firms evolve through stages that influence their financing and investment behavior. At early stages, firms rely heavily on external financing, while mature firms optimize internal capital allocation (Bhaird, C., & Lucey, B. (2011). An empirical investigation of the financial growth lifecycle. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 18(4), 715–731.).

This lifecycle perspective is essential for understanding how investment behavior affects market valuation differently across firm maturity levels.

Credit Access and Capital Structure Influence

Access to credit and financing structure significantly affects corporate investment behavior. Firms with multiple credit relationships tend to have better financial flexibility and investment capacity (Guiso, L., & Minetti, R. (2010). The structure of multiple credit relationships: evidence from U.S firms. Journal of Money, Credit & Banking, 42(6), 1037-1071.).

Additionally, financial reporting and transparency improve investment decision-making and investor confidence (Birt, J., Chalmers, K., Brooks, A., Byrne, S., & Oliver, J. (2011). Accounting: Business reporting for decision making (3rd ed.). Milton, Australia: John Wiley & Sons.).

Research Gap

Although prior studies establish links between working capital management, profitability, and SME development, there is limited integration of these variables into a comprehensive econometric framework that directly connects corporate investment behavior with market valuation outcomes. Furthermore, the Nigerian manufacturing sector remains underexplored in terms of valuation-based investment modeling.

Importantly, foundational research emphasizes the role of SME development and financial structure in emerging markets (Abor, J., & Quartey, P. (2010). Issues in SME Development in Ghana and South Africa. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 39.), yet does not fully address market valuation mechanisms in quoted firms. This gap motivates the development of an integrated econometric framework.

3. Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a theoretical econometric modeling approach supported by structured literature synthesis. The design is conceptual-analytical, aiming to construct a framework that can be empirically tested using secondary financial data of quoted manufacturing firms.

Model Specification Concept

The proposed econometric framework links corporate investment behavior (CIB) to market valuation (MV) through mediating financial performance variables such as profitability (PROF), liquidity (LIQ), and efficiency (EFF).

The general functional form is expressed as:

MV = f(CIB, PROF, LIQ, EFF, FS, MC)

Where:

- MV = Market valuation (Tobin's Q / Market-to-Book ratio)
- CIB = Corporate investment behavior indicators
- PROF = Profitability indicators (ROA, ROE)
- LIQ = Liquidity ratios
- EFF = Operational efficiency (working capital cycle)
- FS = Firm size
- MC = Macroeconomic conditions

Corporate investment behavior is further decomposed into:

CIB = (Capital Expenditure, Working Capital Investment, Financing Decisions)

Variable Measurement Logic

Investment behavior is operationalized using financial statement indicators such as asset growth rate, inventory turnover, receivables turnover, and capital expenditure ratios. Market valuation is measured using stock market indicators reflecting investor perception.

Theoretical Foundation

The framework is grounded in financial intermediation theory and firm value maximization theory. Efficient allocation of resources reduces agency costs and improves valuation outcomes. Empirical insights suggest that efficient capital allocation enhances firm performance and market perception (Ajao, S. O., & Nkechinyere A. C. (2012). Effective working capital management and profitability. A selected study of quoted companies in Nigeria. Economics and Finance Review, 2(6), 55-67.).

Econometric Model Specification

To empirically capture the relationship between corporate investment behavior and market valuation, this study proposes a panel-based econometric model suitable for quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The model is structured to capture both direct and indirect effects of investment decisions on firm value.

Baseline Model

$$MVit = \beta 0 + \beta 1CIBit + \beta 2PROFit + \beta 3LIQit + \beta 4EFFit + \beta 5FSit + \beta 6MCt + \varepsilon itMV_\{it\}$$
$$= \backslash beta_0 + \backslash beta_1\ CIB_\{it\} + \backslash beta_2\ PROF_\{it\} + \backslash beta_3\ LIQ_\{it\} + \backslash beta_4\ EFF_\{it\} + \backslash beta_5\ FS_\{it\} + \backslash beta_6\ MC_t + \backslash varepsilon_\{it\}MVit$$
$$= \beta 0 + \beta 1CIBit + \beta 2PROFit + \beta 3LIQit + \beta 4EFFit + \beta 5FSit + \beta 6MCt + \varepsilon it$$

Where:

- MVitMV_{it}MVit = Market valuation of firm i at time t
- CIBitCIB_{it}CIBit = Corporate investment behavior index
- PROFitPROF_{it}PROFit = Profitability indicators
- LIQitLIQ_{it}LIQit = Liquidity position
- EFFitEFF_{it}EFFit = Operational efficiency (working capital cycle)
- FSitFS_{it}FSit = Firm size
- MCtMC_tMCt = Macroeconomic control variables
- εit\varepsilon_{it}εit = Error term

This structure aligns with evidence that working capital efficiency and investment behavior jointly influence profitability and firm outcomes in manufacturing environments (Hayajneh, O. S., & Yassine, F. L. A. (2011). The impact of working capital efficiency on profitability—an empirical analysis on Jordanian manufacturing firms. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 66, 67-76.).

Corporate Investment Behavior Index (CIBI)

To ensure measurable analysis, corporate investment behavior is constructed as a composite index:

$$CIBI = w1CAPEX + w2INV + w3REC + w4FINCIBI = w_1\ CAPEX + w_2\ INV + w_3\ REC + w_4\ FINCIBI = w1CAPEX + w2INV + w3REC + w4FIN$$

Where:

- CAPEX = Capital expenditure intensity
- INV = Inventory investment efficiency
- REC = Receivables management efficiency
- FIN = Financing structure efficiency
- wnw_nwn = weights assigned based on factor loading or normalization

This composite structure is informed by the idea that firm investment behavior is multidimensional and cannot be captured by a single financial ratio (Arunkumar, O. N., & Ramanan, T. R. (2013). Working Capital Management and Profitability. A Sensitivity Analysis. ISSN (print): 2 (1), 2319-5479.).

Estimation Technique

The study proposes the use of panel data regression techniques due to the longitudinal nature of firm-level financial data.

Fixed Effects Model (FEM)

$$MVit = \alpha i + \beta Xit + \varepsilon itMV_\{it\} = \backslash alpha_i + \backslash beta\ X_\{it\} + \backslash varepsilon_\{it\}MVit = \alpha i + \beta Xit + \varepsilon it$$

This model controls for unobserved heterogeneity across firms, such as managerial quality and corporate governance structure.

Random Effects Model (REM)

$$MVit = \alpha + \beta Xit + ui + \varepsilon itMV_\{it\} = \backslash alpha + \backslash beta\ X_\{it\} + u_i + \backslash varepsilon_\{it\}MVit = \alpha + \beta Xit + ui + \varepsilon it$$

Hausman specification tests can be used to determine the most appropriate model.

Control Variables

The model incorporates macroeconomic and firm-specific controls:

- Inflation rate
- Interest rate
- Exchange rate volatility
- Firm size
- Leverage ratio

These variables are necessary because market valuation is sensitive to macro-financial instability, especially in emerging economies.

Data Source and Measurement

The framework assumes secondary data from:

- Annual reports of quoted manufacturing firms
- Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) databases
- Central Bank macroeconomic statistics

Market valuation is measured using:

- Tobin's Q ratio

- Market-to-Book ratio

4. Results / Findings

The synthesized findings from existing empirical studies indicate a consistent relationship between corporate investment behavior and market valuation, particularly through financial efficiency channels.

First, firms with efficient working capital management exhibit higher profitability and improved valuation metrics. Studies confirm that working capital efficiency reduces operational inefficiencies and enhances financial returns (Arunkumar, O. N., & Ramanan, T. R. (2013). Working Capital Management and Profitability. A Sensitivity Analysis. ISSN (print): 2 (1), 2319-5479.). This implies that investment discipline in short-term assets directly improves investor perception.

Second, empirical evidence suggests that cash conversion cycle management significantly influences profitability and indirectly affects firm valuation. Firms with shorter cash cycles tend to experience higher liquidity and reduced financing costs (Buto, N. A., Mujeeb-Ur-Raheem, A., & Shah, S. M. (2011). Relationship of cash conversion cycle with firm size, working capital approach and firm's profitability: a case study of Pakistani Industry. Pak. J. Eng. Technol. Sci. 1(2), 45-64.).

Third, access to credit and financial structure strongly influence investment behavior. Firms with diversified credit relationships tend to invest more efficiently and maintain stable valuation levels (Guiso, L., & Minetti, R. (2010). The structure of multiple credit relationships: evidence from U.S firms. Journal of Money, Credit & Banking, 42(6), 1037-1071.).

Fourth, evidence from SME and emerging market contexts highlights that investment constraints reduce firm performance and valuation potential. Structural financial limitations in developing economies restrict optimal investment allocation (Abor, J., & Quartey, P. (2010). Issues in SME Development in Ghana and South Africa. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 39.).

Finally, lifecycle theory evidence indicates that investment impact varies depending on firm maturity, where mature firms benefit more from optimized capital allocation than younger firms (Bhaird, C., & Lucey, B. (2011). An empirical investigation of the financial growth lifecycle. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 18(4), 715–731.).

Overall, the synthesized results confirm that corporate investment behavior is a significant determinant of market valuation, operating through profitability, liquidity, and risk signaling channels.

5. Discussion

Interpretation of Findings

The findings suggest that corporate investment behavior plays a central role in shaping the market valuation of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. Investment efficiency, particularly in working capital management, directly enhances financial performance, which in turn strengthens market valuation signals. This relationship confirms the theoretical expectation that rational capital allocation improves firm value through operational efficiency and reduced financial risk.

The econometric framework highlights that investment behavior is not an isolated variable but a multidimensional construct influencing profitability, liquidity, and efficiency simultaneously. This aligns with the broader financial management perspective that firm value is determined by integrated financial decisions rather than standalone indicators.

Theoretical Implications

The study reinforces financial intermediation theory by demonstrating that access to capital and investment efficiency significantly influence firm valuation outcomes. It also extends firm lifecycle theory by showing that investment impact varies depending on firm maturity and financial structure.

The inclusion of macroeconomic variables highlights the importance of external environmental conditions in shaping corporate investment effectiveness, particularly in volatile emerging economies.

Practical Implications

For managers, the findings emphasize the importance of optimizing working capital cycles and investment timing decisions. Efficient investment behavior can enhance investor confidence and improve stock market performance.

For policymakers, improving access to credit and strengthening financial infrastructure can significantly enhance corporate investment efficiency in manufacturing firms.

Limitations

The framework is largely theoretical and relies on secondary literature synthesis rather than direct empirical estimation. Additionally, measurement of corporate investment behavior may vary depending on data availability and accounting standards.

6. Conclusion

This study developed a comprehensive econometric framework to evaluate the impact of corporate investment behavior on market valuation in quoted

manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The framework integrates working capital management, capital expenditure decisions, and financing structures into a unified model explaining firm value dynamics.

Findings from synthesized literature confirm that efficient investment behavior significantly enhances market valuation through profitability and liquidity improvements. However, inefficiencies in capital allocation and structural financial constraints reduce valuation potential in emerging markets.

The study contributes to academic literature by offering a structured, testable econometric model that can be applied in future empirical research. It also provides practical insights for corporate managers and policymakers seeking to improve financial efficiency and market performance.

Future research should apply the proposed framework using firm-level panel data to validate the econometric relationships empirically and explore sector-specific variations in investment behavior.

REFERENCES

1. Abor, J., & Quartey, P. (2010). Issues in SME Development in Ghana and South Africa. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 39.
2. Ajao, S. O., & Nkechinyere A. C. (2012). Effective working capital management and profitability. A selected study of quoted companies in Nigeria. Economics and Finance Review, 2(6), 55-67.
3. Akande, O. O. (2010). Performance Impact of Entrepreneurial Skills on Small Business in Lagos State, Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis LAUTECH, Ogbomosoe.
4. Akinyi, J. (2009). The role of microfinance in empowering women in Africa. The African Executive Magazine. Retrieved from: http://www.google.com.
5. Amit, B., Mark B., Magatte D., Randall K., Bailey K. & Keely S. (2011). Report on Support to SMEs in Developing Countries through Financial Intermediaries. Economics and Finance Review, 4(2), 45-58.
6. Arunkumar, O. N., & Ramanan, T. R. (2013). Working Capital Management and Profitability. A Sensitivity Analysis. ISSN (print): 2 (1), 2319-5479.
7. Arraiz, I., Henriquez, F., & Stucchi, R. (2011). Impact of the Chilean Supplier Development Program on the Performance of SME and Their Large Firm Customers. Working Paper, Int. American Development Bank, Washington DC.
8. Azam, M., & Haider, S. I. (2011). Impact of working capital management on firm's performance: Evidence from non-financial institutions of K9. SE-30 index. Intra-disciplinary journal of contemporary research in business, 3.
9. Bagchi, B., & Khamrui, B. (2012). Relationship between working capital management and profitability: A selected FMCG Companies in India. Business and Economic Journals, 20.
10. Bank of Ghana (2011). Collateral Registry – All you need to Know. Retrieved from http://www.bog.gov.gh/index.php/about-the-bank/collateral-registry
11. Bhaird, C., & Lucey, B. (2011). An empirical investigation of the financial growth lifecycle. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 18(4), 715–731.
12. Birt, J., Chalmers, K., Brooks, A., Byrne, S., & Oliver, J. (2011). Accounting: Business reporting for decision making (3rd ed.). Milton, Australia: John Wiley & Sons.
13. Buto, N. A., Mujeeb-Ur-Raheem, A., & Shah, S. M. (2011). Relationship of cash conversion cycle with firm size, working capital approach and firm's profitability: a case study of Pakistani Industry. Pak. J. Eng. Technol. Sci. 1(2), 45-64.
14. Cinnamon, R., Helweg-Larsen, B., & Cinnamon, P. (2010). How to Understand Business Finance: Understand the Business Cycle; Manage Your Asset Measure Business Performance (2nd ed.). London, UK: Kogan Page Ltd.
15. Feletiliki, K. M. F. (2011). The impact of working capital management dynamics on the performance of Tongon Enterprises in New Zealand – A thesis for the award of degree in MBUS. Unitec Institute of Technology, New Zealand.
16. Guiso, L., & Minetti, R. (2010). The structure of multiple credit relationships: evidence from U.S firms. Journal of Money, Credit & Banking, 42(6), 1037-1071.
17. Haitham, N., & Maryam, A. (2005). Model of working capital management on empirical grounds. Global Journal of Finance and Management, 1(1), 21-31.
18. Hayajneh, O. S., & Yassine, F. L. A. (2011). The impact of working capital efficiency on profitability—an empirical analysis on Jordanian manufacturing firms. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 66, 67-76.
19. IFC (2011). SME Finance Consultation – Phase III

Online Consultation. Public Policy and Interventions.

20. Ibrahim, L., Zahra, M., & Azam, J. (2012). International Journal of Business and Social Sciences, 3(14).

21. Karaduman, H. A., Halil, E. A., Arzu, O. C., & Salih, D. (2011). The Relationship between Working Capital Management and Profitability: Evidence from an Emerging Market.

22. Kehinde, J. (2011). Effective Working Capital Management in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). International Journal of Business and Management, 6(9).

23. Kesseven, P., Carole, H., & Narasimhan, M. S. (2012). Working Capital Financing Programme: The case of Mauritian Manufacturing Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs). Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance, 8(1), 125-157.