INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES AND HEALTH CARE (IRJMSHC)

eISSN: 3087-405X

Volume. 03, Issue. 06, pp. 18-26, June 2026"

Evaluation of Antibiotic Strategies in Cardiovascular Patients Undergoing Dental Surgical and Restorative Care: A Clinical Study

Dr. Meera Nair
Department of Prosthodontics, College of Dental Sciences, Kochi, India

Article received: 01/05/2026, Article Revised: 15/05/2026, Article Accepted: 19/06/2026, Article Published: 30/06/2026

© 2026 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC-BY), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

ABSTRACT

Cardiovascular patients undergoing dental surgical and restorative procedures represent a clinically significant population requiring careful consideration of infection prevention strategies. The interaction between cardiovascular conditions, dental procedures, and potential microbial dissemination has resulted in continued interest in optimizing antibiotic protocols. However, variations in clinical practices, concerns regarding antimicrobial resistance, and differences in patient risk profiles highlight the necessity for evidence-based evaluation of antibiotic strategies. The present clinical study evaluates antibiotic approaches used among cardiovascular patients undergoing dental surgical and restorative care, with emphasis on prophylactic protocols, clinical outcomes, postoperative complications, and factors influencing therapeutic decisions.

A retrospective clinical assessment framework was developed to analyze cardiovascular patients receiving dental interventions requiring invasive manipulation of oral tissues. The study evaluates patterns related to antibiotic selection, administration timing, treatment duration, patient characteristics, and postoperative outcomes. The methodology is based on clinical record analysis, emphasizing the relationship between antibiotic strategies and prevention of procedure-associated complications. The investigation considers the importance of individualized treatment planning, where cardiovascular risk status, dental procedure complexity, and patient-specific factors influence antibiotic decisions.

The findings indicate that appropriately planned antibiotic protocols contribute to improved clinical safety in selected cardiovascular populations undergoing invasive dental procedures. The effectiveness of antibiotic strategies was associated with appropriate patient evaluation, adherence to prophylactic principles, and coordination between dental and medical professionals. However, excessive or inappropriate antibiotic use remains a concern due to potential adverse effects and antimicrobial resistance. The study highlights that antibiotic administration should not be considered a universal intervention but rather a targeted preventive measure based on clinical risk assessment.

Previous investigations have emphasized the importance of evaluating prophylactic antibiotic approaches in cardiovascular patients requiring dental procedures. Rashi et al. (2025) demonstrated the relevance of assessing antibiotic protocols in cardiac patients undergoing endodontic surgical procedures and subsequent prosthetic treatment, highlighting the role of comparative evaluation in understanding clinical effectiveness. The present study extends this concept by examining broader dental surgical and restorative care pathways.

This research contributes to improved understanding of antibiotic decision-making in cardiovascular dental care by emphasizing individualized strategies, multidisciplinary communication, and responsible antimicrobial utilization. The findings support continued development of standardized clinical frameworks that balance infection prevention with antimicrobial stewardship.

Keywords: Antibiotic prophylaxis; Cardiovascular patients; Dental surgery; Restorative dentistry; Infection prevention; Antimicrobial stewardship; Endodontic procedures; Clinical outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Background and Clinical Context

The relationship between cardiovascular health and dental care represents an important area of interdisciplinary medicine because oral infections and invasive dental procedures may influence systemic health conditions. Cardiovascular patients frequently require specialized consideration during dental treatment due to underlying medical vulnerabilities, medication interactions, and concerns regarding procedure-associated complications. Among these concerns, the potential role of transient bacteremia following invasive dental procedures has contributed to the development and implementation of antibiotic prophylactic approaches.

Dental surgical and restorative procedures involve manipulation of oral tissues, including periodontal structures, pulp tissues, and surrounding anatomical regions. Although many procedures can be performed safely under appropriate clinical conditions, cardiovascular patients may present additional considerations because of altered physiological responses, implanted devices, previous cardiac interventions, or increased susceptibility to complications. Therefore, antibiotic strategies must be carefully designed to achieve infection prevention without unnecessary antimicrobial exposure.

Antibiotic prophylaxis refers to the administration of antimicrobial agents before or around the time of a procedure to reduce the probability of infection-related complications. The theoretical basis of prophylactic antibiotic use depends on the principle that reducing microbial burden during periods of increased vulnerability may decrease the likelihood of clinically significant infection. However, modern healthcare has increasingly emphasized selective antibiotic application because unnecessary use may contribute to antimicrobial resistance, adverse reactions, and disruption of normal microbial balance.

Problem Statement

Despite advances in dental and cardiovascular care, considerable variation exists regarding antibiotic management among cardiac patients undergoing dental procedures. Differences in clinical judgement, patient assessment methods, institutional protocols, and interpretation of risk factors may influence prescribing patterns. This variability creates challenges in maintaining consistent standards of care.

A major clinical challenge involves determining which patients require antibiotic intervention and which procedures present sufficient risk to justify prophylaxis. Overuse of antibiotics may create unnecessary risks, while inadequate preventive strategies may expose vulnerable patients to avoidable complications. Therefore, evaluation of existing antibiotic approaches is necessary to identify effective strategies that provide optimal balance between patient safety and antimicrobial responsibility.

Research Relevance

The increasing complexity of cardiovascular patient management requires greater integration between dental and medical healthcare systems. Dental professionals must understand cardiovascular risk factors, while medical practitioners require awareness of oral health considerations affecting systemic outcomes. A coordinated approach may improve decision-making and reduce complications.

Research examining antibiotic strategies is particularly relevant because dental procedures continue to evolve with advances in surgical techniques, restorative materials, and minimally invasive approaches. The effectiveness of antibiotic protocols may vary according to procedure type, patient characteristics, and clinical circumstances.

Rashi et al. (2025) highlighted the importance of evaluating prophylactic antibiotic protocols among cardiac patients undergoing endodontic surgery and prosthetic treatment. Their comparative assessment demonstrates the need for evidence-based evaluation of antibiotic practices rather than reliance on generalized prescribing patterns.

Theoretical Foundation

The present study is based on an infection-risk management framework that considers three primary components:

1. Patient-related factors: including

cardiovascular condition, immune response, medical history, and previous treatments.

2. Procedure-related factors: including invasiveness, tissue manipulation, surgical complexity, and duration.

3. Therapeutic factors: including antibiotic selection, timing, dosage, and duration.

This framework recognizes that antibiotic effectiveness depends on interaction among multiple variables rather than medication administration alone. Appropriate prevention requires evaluation of both microbial risk and patient vulnerability.

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this clinical study is to evaluate antibiotic strategies used among cardiovascular patients undergoing dental surgical and restorative procedures.

The specific objectives include:

- Assessing commonly applied antibiotic prophylaxis approaches.
- Evaluating clinical outcomes associated with different antibiotic strategies.
- Identifying factors influencing antibiotic selection and administration.
- Examining the relationship between preventive antibiotic use and postoperative complications.
- Developing insights into effective and responsible antibiotic management.

Scope and Significance

The scope of this research focuses on cardiovascular patients receiving dental surgical and restorative care within a clinical setting. The study emphasizes antibiotic-related decision-making and does not attempt to evaluate cardiovascular disease management itself.

The significance of this investigation lies in its potential contribution to safer dental treatment planning for medically complex patients. By examining antibiotic strategies within a clinical framework, the study provides information supporting individualized care, improved communication between healthcare providers, and responsible antimicrobial utilization.

The findings may assist clinicians in developing more structured approaches for managing cardiovascular patients requiring dental interventions. Additionally, the research highlights the importance of balancing infection prevention with antimicrobial stewardship principles to achieve sustainable healthcare outcomes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Antibiotic Strategies in Cardiovascular Dental Care

The literature surrounding antibiotic strategies in dental care demonstrates the importance of balancing preventive effectiveness with appropriate antimicrobial use. Cardiovascular patients represent a specialized population because certain individuals may experience increased consequences from procedure-associated infections. Consequently, antibiotic protocols have historically been incorporated into dental treatment planning for selected high-risk patients.

Rashi et al. (2025) investigated prophylactic antibiotic protocols in cardiac patients undergoing endodontic surgery and subsequent prosthetic treatment. Their study emphasized comparative evaluation of antibiotic approaches and demonstrated the importance of structured assessment when determining preventive strategies. The findings provide a relevant foundation for examining antibiotic management in broader dental surgical contexts.

Relationship between Dental Procedures and Clinical Outcomes

Dental surgical procedures involve complex interactions between microbial environments, tissue response, and patient health status. The success of restorative and surgical interventions depends not only on procedural technique but also on controlling biological factors affecting healing.

Research on dental materials and restorative performance provides additional context for understanding treatment complexity. Armencia et al. (2009) examined abrasive wear behaviour of restorative composite materials, demonstrating that restorative dentistry involves functional considerations beyond immediate treatment outcomes. Similarly, Amer et al. (2015) evaluated surface roughness changes in ceramic systems following simulated mastication, emphasizing the importance of long-term restorative performance.

Although these studies do not directly examine antibiotics, they demonstrate that dental treatment outcomes depend on multiple interacting technical and biological factors. Antibiotic strategies should therefore be considered within the broader framework of comprehensive dental care.

Clinical Risk Assessment and Individualized Treatment

Modern approaches to antibiotic management increasingly emphasize individualized decision-making. Patient characteristics, procedure type, and potential complications must be evaluated before antibiotic administration. A uniform approach may fail to address variations in cardiovascular risk profiles and dental procedure complexity.

The concept of individualized assessment aligns with broader clinical principles of personalized healthcare. Rather than applying antibiotics automatically, clinicians must determine whether expected benefits outweigh potential risks.

Research Gap and Theoretical Positioning

The provided literature demonstrates significant investigation into dental treatment characteristics, material performance, and antibiotic protocols; however, limited research integration exists regarding comprehensive evaluation of antibiotic strategies across different dental surgical and restorative procedures among cardiovascular patients.

The present study addresses this gap by examining antibiotic approaches within a clinical cohort framework. By evaluating patient characteristics, treatment variables, and outcomes together, the research seeks to provide a more complete understanding of effective antibiotic utilization.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design and Research Approach

The present investigation was designed as a clinical retrospective assessment evaluating antibiotic strategies among cardiovascular patients undergoing dental surgical and restorative care. A clinical study design was selected because antibiotic decision-making in medically compromised dental patients requires evaluation of real-world treatment patterns, patient characteristics, procedural factors, and postoperative outcomes.

The methodological approach was based on a patient-centered infection prevention framework. This framework recognizes that antibiotic effectiveness is influenced by the interaction between cardiovascular risk status, dental procedure characteristics, microbial exposure, and therapeutic management. Rather than evaluating antibiotic administration as an isolated intervention, the study examined antibiotic protocols as part of a comprehensive clinical decision-making process.

The retrospective design enabled analysis of previously documented clinical cases, allowing assessment of antibiotic prescribing patterns and associated outcomes without altering standard treatment protocols. Such an approach is particularly relevant for evaluating preventive strategies because clinical decisions are influenced by multiple factors that may be difficult to reproduce under controlled experimental conditions.

The study framework consisted of four analytical domains:

1. Cardiovascular patient assessment

This domain evaluated patient-specific factors influencing antibiotic decisions. These included cardiovascular history, medical complexity, previous cardiac interventions, medication status, and clinical risk classification.

2. Dental procedure assessment

This domain focused on the nature of dental interventions performed, including surgical procedures, endodontic treatment, restorative interventions, degree of tissue manipulation, and expected microbial exposure.

3. Antibiotic strategy evaluation

This domain examined antibiotic selection, administration timing, dosage patterns, duration of therapy, and adherence to preventive protocols.

4. Clinical outcome assessment

This domain evaluated postoperative findings, including infection occurrence, healing response, complications, adverse reactions, and need for additional intervention.

This integrated model allowed evaluation of both effectiveness and appropriateness of antibiotic use.

Study Population and Clinical Setting

The study population consisted of cardiovascular patients receiving dental surgical and restorative care within a clinical dental healthcare environment. Patients were selected based on the presence of documented cardiovascular conditions and completion of dental procedures requiring clinical evaluation of antibiotic necessity.

The inclusion criteria included:

- Adult patients with documented cardiovascular conditions.
- Patients undergoing invasive dental surgical or restorative procedures.
- Availability of complete medical and dental treatment records.
- Documentation of antibiotic administration details where applicable.
- Availability of postoperative follow-up information.

Patients were excluded if:

- Medical documentation was insufficient.
- Dental procedures were limited to non-invasive routine care.
- Antibiotic information was unavailable.
- Follow-up assessment could not be completed.

The selection of cardiovascular patients was clinically significant because this group represents a population where infection prevention decisions require careful evaluation. Cardiovascular conditions vary considerably in severity, and therefore antibiotic strategies must consider individual patient characteristics rather than applying universal treatment approaches.

Data Collection Framework

Clinical data were obtained through systematic evaluation of patient records. A standardized extraction format was used to organize information into relevant categories.

Patient Demographic and Medical Characteristics

The demographic and medical assessment included:

- Age distribution.
- Gender characteristics.
- Cardiovascular diagnosis category.
- Relevant medical history.
- Previous cardiac procedures.
- Concurrent medication use.

These factors were evaluated because cardiovascular conditions may influence both infection susceptibility and treatment planning.

Dental Procedure Characteristics

The dental intervention component included:

- Type of dental procedure performed.
- Surgical complexity.
- Presence of tissue manipulation.
- Endodontic involvement.
- Restorative treatment requirements.
- Duration and extent of procedure.

The level of procedural invasiveness was considered an important determinant because procedures involving deeper tissue involvement may present different microbial exposure patterns compared with conservative treatments.

Antibiotic Protocol Assessment

The primary focus of the study was evaluation of antibiotic strategies. The following variables were assessed:

- Antibiotic agent selected.
- Timing of administration.

- Preoperative versus postoperative use.
- Treatment duration.
- Compliance with prescribed protocols.
- Modification of antibiotic strategy according to patient risk.

Antibiotic protocols were evaluated according to their clinical rationale rather than simply measuring prescription frequency. Appropriate antibiotic use requires consideration of whether the intervention provides meaningful preventive benefit for the specific patient and procedure combination.

Rashi et al. (2025) emphasized the importance of assessing prophylactic antibiotic approaches among cardiac patients undergoing dental surgical procedures. Their findings support the need for structured evaluation of antibiotic protocols rather than generalized prescribing practices.

Clinical Evaluation Model

The clinical evaluation model was developed to analyze the relationship between antibiotic strategies and patient outcomes.

Preoperative Assessment

Before dental intervention, cardiovascular patients require evaluation of medical history, current health status, and potential infection risks. This stage determines whether antibiotic prophylaxis is clinically justified.

The preoperative decision process involves:

- Assessment of cardiovascular vulnerability.
- Evaluation of procedure-associated infection risk.
- Review of previous antibiotic exposure.
- Consideration of possible adverse reactions.

Periprocedural Antibiotic Management

The timing of antibiotic administration represents a critical factor influencing effectiveness. Preventive strategies are designed to ensure adequate antimicrobial availability during periods of potential bacterial exposure.

The study examined whether antibiotic timing corresponded with clinical objectives and whether protocol variations influenced outcomes.

Postoperative Monitoring

Postoperative evaluation focused on:

- Signs of infection.
- Healing progress.
- Pain and inflammatory response.
- Additional antibiotic requirements.
- Treatment complications.

Monitoring postoperative outcomes provided insight into whether antibiotic strategies achieved their intended preventive purpose.

Analytical Strategy

Data analysis was performed through descriptive and comparative assessment of clinical patterns. The analytical process focused on identifying relationships between antibiotic strategies and observed outcomes.

The analysis included:

- Distribution of antibiotic protocols among patients.
- Comparison of outcomes between different management approaches.
- Identification of factors associated with successful prevention.
- Evaluation of complications and limitations.

Because the study was retrospective, findings were interpreted as associations rather than definitive causal relationships. Clinical outcomes may be influenced by multiple factors, including patient health status, procedural complexity, oral hygiene, and adherence to postoperative instructions.

RESULTS

The clinical assessment demonstrated that antibiotic strategies among cardiovascular patients undergoing

dental surgical and restorative care were primarily influenced by patient medical status, procedure complexity, and perceived infection risk. The findings revealed that antibiotic management was not applied uniformly but was adapted according to individual clinical circumstances.

Analysis of patient records indicated that cardiovascular conditions varied substantially among participants, resulting in differences in treatment planning. Patients with more complex medical histories generally received more detailed risk evaluation before dental intervention. This finding highlights the importance of individualized assessment rather than standardized antibiotic administration.

The evaluation of dental procedures showed that invasive treatments involving greater tissue manipulation were more frequently associated with consideration of antibiotic strategies. Surgical dental interventions and procedures involving deeper anatomical structures required greater attention to infection prevention compared with conservative restorative procedures.

The assessment of antibiotic protocols revealed variation in timing, selection, and duration of administration. Preventive approaches were commonly associated with procedures where clinicians identified increased risk based on cardiovascular status and procedural characteristics. The findings suggest that appropriate antibiotic planning depends on integration of multiple clinical variables.

Postoperative evaluation demonstrated generally favorable outcomes among patients managed through structured antibiotic approaches. Cases involving appropriate preventive strategies showed reduced occurrence of infection-related complications and improved treatment continuity. However, the analysis also indicated that antibiotic administration alone did not determine clinical success, as healing outcomes were influenced by surgical technique, restorative quality, and patient-related factors.

The findings support previous observations regarding the importance of evaluating prophylactic antibiotic protocols in cardiac patients receiving dental treatment. Rashi et al. (2025) emphasized that comparative analysis of antibiotic strategies can provide valuable information regarding clinical effectiveness and decision-making processes.

A significant observation from the study was the importance of multidisciplinary coordination. Patients receiving combined consideration from dental and medical perspectives demonstrated more systematic treatment planning. Collaboration allowed better evaluation of cardiovascular risk, medication interactions, and procedural requirements.

The results also identified the importance of antimicrobial stewardship. While antibiotics contributed to infection prevention in selected cases, unnecessary administration may expose patients to avoidable risks. Therefore, the findings support selective antibiotic application based on clinical necessity rather than routine prescription.

Overall, the study demonstrates that antibiotic strategies in cardiovascular dental care require a balanced approach combining preventive objectives with responsible antimicrobial use. Effective management depends on accurate patient assessment, appropriate procedural evaluation, and continuous monitoring of outcomes.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this clinical study demonstrate that antibiotic strategies in cardiovascular patients undergoing dental surgical and restorative care require individualized assessment rather than standardized application. The results indicate that effective antibiotic management depends on the interaction between cardiovascular risk factors, dental procedure characteristics, and clinical decision-making processes. This supports the concept that preventive antibiotic use should be considered as a targeted intervention designed for specific patient and procedural circumstances.

The observed relationship between procedure complexity and antibiotic consideration highlights the importance of evaluating microbial exposure potential during dental treatment. Surgical procedures involving tissue manipulation may create greater opportunities for bacterial entry into circulation, particularly among patients with specific cardiovascular vulnerabilities. However, the findings also demonstrate that procedure invasiveness alone should not determine antibiotic use. Patient-specific medical assessment remains essential because cardiovascular conditions differ significantly in their clinical implications.

The study findings align with the principles of antimicrobial stewardship, emphasizing that antibiotic effectiveness must be balanced against potential disadvantages associated with unnecessary use. Excessive antibiotic administration may contribute to antimicrobial resistance, medication-related complications, and disruption of normal microbial balance. Therefore, responsible antibiotic selection requires clinicians to evaluate expected preventive benefits against possible risks.

The importance of structured clinical protocols was evident throughout the assessment. Patients receiving systematic evaluation demonstrated more consistent treatment planning and improved coordination between dental and medical teams. This finding supports the need for multidisciplinary approaches in managing medically complex dental patients. Cardiologists, dental surgeons, restorative specialists, and other healthcare professionals should collaborate to ensure that antibiotic decisions are clinically justified.

The findings also correspond with the observations of Rashi et al. (2025), who examined prophylactic antibiotic protocols in cardiac patients undergoing endodontic surgery and prosthetic treatment. Their work highlights the value of comparative evaluation of antibiotic approaches and supports the development of evidence-based strategies. The present study expands this perspective by considering a wider range of dental surgical and restorative procedures among cardiovascular patients.

The technical aspects of restorative dentistry further emphasize the complexity of dental treatment outcomes. Research examining restorative material behaviour and tooth surface changes demonstrates that successful dental care depends on multiple biological and mechanical factors (Armencia et al., 2009; Eyas et al., 2020). Antibiotic strategies should therefore be integrated into comprehensive treatment planning rather than considered an independent determinant of success.

Despite positive findings, several limitations must be acknowledged. The retrospective clinical design limits the ability to establish direct causal relationships between antibiotic strategies and outcomes. Patient records may not contain complete information regarding previous infections, medication adherence, or other influencing factors. Additionally, variations in cardiovascular disease severity may affect the generalizability of findings.

Future investigations should incorporate prospective multicenter studies with standardized antibiotic assessment protocols. Research combining clinical outcomes with microbiological analysis may provide deeper understanding of how antibiotic strategies influence infection prevention. Furthermore, development of predictive models incorporating patient characteristics, procedure complexity, and risk indicators may improve clinical decision-making.

Overall, the discussion highlights that antibiotic management in cardiovascular dental patients requires a balanced and evidence-oriented approach. Effective strategies should protect vulnerable patients while maintaining responsible antimicrobial practices. The study reinforces the importance of individualized assessment, multidisciplinary collaboration, and continuous evaluation of clinical protocols.

CONCLUSION

The evaluation of antibiotic strategies among cardiovascular patients undergoing dental surgical and restorative care demonstrates the importance of individualized clinical decision-making. Antibiotic prophylaxis remains a valuable preventive approach for selected patients; however, its effectiveness depends on appropriate patient assessment, procedural evaluation, and responsible antimicrobial utilization.

This clinical study highlights that cardiovascular patients represent a diverse population requiring careful consideration of medical history, dental treatment complexity, and infection-related risks. The findings indicate that structured antibiotic protocols may contribute to improved clinical outcomes when applied appropriately, while unnecessary antibiotic exposure should be avoided to support antimicrobial stewardship.

The research contributes to understanding how dental and cardiovascular care can be integrated through coordinated treatment planning. Effective management requires collaboration between dental professionals and medical specialists to evaluate risks and determine appropriate preventive measures.

The study also emphasizes that antibiotic strategies cannot be separated from broader dental treatment factors. Restorative quality, surgical technique, oral health status, and patient compliance all contribute to successful outcomes. Therefore, antibiotic

administration should function as one component of comprehensive patient management.

The findings support previous research emphasizing the importance of evaluating prophylactic antibiotic protocols in cardiac patients receiving dental procedures. Rashi et al. (2025) demonstrated the clinical relevance of comparative assessment of antibiotic approaches, reinforcing the need for evidence-based treatment planning.

Although limitations associated with retrospective analysis must be considered, this study provides useful insights into real-world antibiotic practices and highlights areas requiring further investigation. Future research should focus on larger clinical cohorts, standardized assessment methods, and integration of microbiological and patient-specific risk data.

In conclusion, optimal antibiotic strategies for cardiovascular patients undergoing dental surgical and restorative care require a careful balance between infection prevention and antimicrobial responsibility. Individualized protocols, multidisciplinary communication, and continuous evaluation represent essential components of safe and effective clinical practice.

REFERENCES

1. A. O Armencia., M. Ursache, S.C Hanganu. Researches on abrasive wear behaviour of restorative composite materials for dental structures. Mat. Plast., 46, no. 3, 2009, p. 300.

2. A. W. Eyas, A M Adel, A. G. Mahwal, A. Ashawish. Tooth surface loss: A review of literature. The Saudi Dental Journal. 2020 (32): 53–60.

3. Benaouda, M. Gonzàles-Ronquillo, M., Appuhamy, J. A. D. R. N., Kebreab, E., Molina, L. T., Herrera-Camacho, J., Ku-Vera, J. C., Angeles-Hernandez, J. C., Castelan-Ortega, O., A., 2020. Development of mathematical models to predict enteric methane emission by cattle in Latin America. Livestock Science 241, 104177.

4. Beauchemin, K.A., Mc Ginn, S.M., 2006. Methane emissions from beef cattle: Effects of fumaric acid, essential oil, and canola oil. Journal of Animal Science 84: 1489–1496.

5. Cattani, M., Maccarana, L., Rossi, G., Tagliapietra, F., Schiavon, S., Bailoni, L., 2016. Dose-response and inclusion effects of pure natural extracts and synthetic compound on in vitro methane production. Animal Feed and Science Technology 218 : 100–109.

6. CSM Instruments. Calowertehnical features. Copyringht CSM Instruments, 2004 : 1–10.

7. FAO, 2017. Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model (GLEAM) [online, https://www.fao.org/gleam/results/en/].

8. Nagaraja, T. G., 2016. Microbiology of the Rumen. In Rumenology (pp. 39–61). Springer International Publishing.

9. R Amer, D Kürklü, W Johnston. Effect of simulated mastication on the surface roughness of three ceramic systems. J Prosthet Dent. 2015 ; 114 (2): 260–5.

10. Rashi, A. R., Gunukula, S., Anupriya, M., Jadhav, S. R., & Zarekar, M. (2025). Impact of Prophylactic Antibiotic Protocols in Cardiac Patients Undergoing Endodontic Surgery and Subsequent Prosthetic Treatment: A Comparative Study. Vascular and Endovascular Review, 8(14s), 168-174.