INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES AND HEALTH CARE (IRJMSHC)

eISSN: 3087-405X

Volume. 03, Issue. 01, pp. 01-05, January 2026"

Natural History, Long-Term Outcomes, And Patient-Centered Evaluation Of Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis Across The Lifespan

Dr. Elena Márquez
Department of Orthopaedics and Health Outcomes Research, University of Barcelona, Spain

Article Received: 05/11/2025, Article Revised: 25/11/2025, Article Accepted: 10/12/2025, Article Published: 01/01/2026

ABSTRACT

Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) represents one of the most extensively studied spinal deformities, yet it continues to pose complex clinical, ethical, and methodological challenges across the lifespan. Traditionally defined as a three-dimensional spinal curvature of unknown etiology arising during adolescence, AIS has been investigated through decades of natural history studies, clinical trials, and long-term observational cohorts. The objectives of this research article are threefold: first, to synthesize and critically elaborate on the natural history and progression of AIS from adolescence into adulthood; second, to examine long-term health, functional, and quality-of-life outcomes in untreated, surgically treated, and conservatively managed individuals; and third, to explore the implications of emerging patient-centered outcome frameworks, particularly the concept of the smallest worthwhile effect, for evaluating treatment success in idiopathic scoliosis. Drawing strictly from landmark longitudinal studies, contemporary clinical reviews, and recent conceptual advances in outcome measurement, this article employs a narrative, theory-driven methodology. The findings suggest that while curve magnitude progression and radiographic outcomes remain central to clinical decision-making, they incompletely capture the lived experience of individuals with AIS. Long-term studies demonstrate that many patients with untreated scoliosis maintain acceptable function and health-related quality of life, though subsets experience pain, disability, or psychosocial burden. Surgical intervention, while effective in curve correction, introduces its own spectrum of long-term considerations, including residual pain and functional trade-offs. Integrating patient-centered metrics such as the smallest worthwhile effect offers a more nuanced framework for balancing benefits and harms across diverse treatment pathways. This article concludes that future AIS research and clinical practice must reconcile biomechanical objectives with patient-defined value, advancing toward a lifespan-oriented, individualized model of care.

KEYWORDS

Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, natural history, long-term outcomes, quality of life, patient-reported outcomes, smallest worthwhile effect.

INTRODUCTION

Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis occupies a distinctive position within musculoskeletal medicine, characterized by its enigmatic etiology, variable clinical trajectory, and profound implications for patients across decades of life. Defined by a lateral spinal curvature exceeding a conventional angular threshold and accompanied by vertebral rotation, AIS typically manifests during the rapid growth phase of adolescence, a period marked by profound biological, psychological, and social change. The condition’s idiopathic designation reflects the persistent absence of a single, unifying causal explanation, despite extensive investigation into genetic, neuromuscular, hormonal, and biomechanical contributors (Hresko, 2013). This uncertainty has historically shaped both clinical practice and research, fostering reliance on observational evidence and long-term follow-up to guide management strategies.

The clinical significance of AIS extends well beyond the adolescent years in which it is diagnosed. Early seminal work established that spinal curves may progress during growth and, in some cases, continue to worsen into adulthood, potentially leading to pain, functional limitation, cardiopulmonary compromise, and diminished quality of life (Weinstein & Ponseti, 1983). These concerns motivated the development of intervention strategies, including bracing and surgery, aimed primarily at halting curve progression and improving spinal alignment. Yet, the justification for intervention has always rested on an implicit balance between anticipated long-term benefits and the immediate and delayed burdens of treatment.

Over the past half-century, natural history studies have profoundly influenced understanding of AIS by demonstrating that many individuals with untreated scoliosis live long, productive lives with relatively modest health impairment (Weinstein et al., 1981; Weinstein et al., 2003). These findings challenged earlier assumptions that progressive deformity inevitably resulted in severe disability, prompting more conservative thresholds for intervention and a greater emphasis on individualized risk assessment. At the same time, advances in surgical techniques and perioperative care have expanded the scope of operative correction, with increasing attention to patient-reported outcomes and long-term satisfaction (Helenius et al., 2019).

Despite this rich body of literature, important gaps remain. Much of the historical focus has centered on radiographic parameters, particularly curve magnitude, as proxies for clinical success or failure. While such measures are indispensable for understanding biomechanical progression, they often fail to capture the multidimensional impact of AIS on pain, function, self-image, and participation in daily life. Recent scholarship has increasingly questioned whether traditional benchmarks, such as minimal clinically important difference, adequately reflect what patients themselves consider meaningful improvement. The emerging concept of the smallest worthwhile effect offers an alternative, explicitly grounded in patient preferences and benefit–harm trade-offs (Finch, 2025; Ferreira, 2018).

This article seeks to bridge these domains by integrating classical natural history research with contemporary outcome theory. By examining AIS across the lifespan—from adolescent diagnosis through adulthood—and situating clinical findings within a patient-centered evaluative framework, the present work aims to provide a comprehensive, publication-ready synthesis that advances both scholarly understanding and clinical reflection.

METHODOLOGY

The present research adopts a narrative, integrative methodological approach grounded strictly in the provided reference corpus. Rather than generating new empirical data, this article systematically analyzes, contextualizes, and theoretically elaborates upon existing evidence from longitudinal cohort studies, clinical reviews, and methodological research on outcome measurement. This approach is particularly appropriate given the extensive temporal span of AIS research and the ethical and practical challenges inherent in randomized long-term studies of untreated spinal deformity.

The foundational sources for the natural history of AIS include landmark investigations by Weinstein and colleagues, whose prospective and retrospective cohort studies followed individuals with idiopathic scoliosis for several decades (Weinstein & Ponseti, 1983; Weinstein et al., 1981; Weinstein et al., 2003). These studies employed serial radiographic assessments, clinical examinations, and structured interviews to document curve progression, functional capacity, and health outcomes. Their methodological rigor lies in long-term follow-up, consistency of diagnostic criteria, and comparison with population norms.

Contemporary clinical perspectives are drawn from authoritative narrative reviews and practice-oriented analyses, including those by Hresko (2013) and Jada et al. (2017), which synthesize evidence on evaluation, monitoring, and management of AIS. These works provide context for interpreting historical findings in light of modern diagnostic tools, surgical techniques, and evolving standards of care.

Long-term outcome assessment following surgical and non-surgical management is examined through comparative cohort studies, such as the investigation by Helenius et al. (2019), which contrasts surgically treated AIS patients with untreated individuals and healthy controls. These studies employ validated patient-reported outcome measures and standardized pain and quality-of-life instruments, allowing for multidimensional comparison.

Adult outcomes of AIS are further explored through recent reviews and longitudinal analyses focusing on unoperated individuals in adulthood (Erwin et al., 2020; Ansari et al., 2024). These sources contextualize adolescent management decisions within the broader arc of adult health, work capacity, and functional aging.

Finally, methodological insight into patient-centered outcome evaluation is derived from literature on the smallest worthwhile effect and benefit–harm trade-offs in musculoskeletal care (Ferreira et al., 2013; Ferreira, 2018; Finch, 2025; Hansford et al., 2024). While some of these studies originate outside the scoliosis domain, their theoretical frameworks are applied cautiously and analytically to AIS, highlighting transferable principles rather than extrapolating unsupported empirical claims.

Throughout the article, claims are explicitly linked to cited sources, and interpretive commentary is clearly distinguished from reported findings. This ensures methodological transparency and adherence to academic standards while allowing for deep theoretical elaboration.

RESULTS

The accumulated evidence on adolescent idiopathic scoliosis reveals a complex, heterogeneous condition whose long-term consequences defy simplistic characterization. One of the most influential findings emerging from early natural history studies is the variability of curve progression. Weinstein and Ponseti (1983) demonstrated that progression risk is closely tied to curve magnitude at skeletal maturity, curve pattern, and remaining growth potential. Smaller curves at maturity often remained stable throughout adulthood, whereas larger curves exhibited a greater likelihood of continued progression. Importantly, progression was not uniform, underscoring the need for individualized prognostic assessment.

Long-term follow-up studies further clarified the health implications of untreated AIS. In a cohort followed for up to fifty years, Weinstein et al. (2003) reported that most individuals with untreated scoliosis did not experience severe disability or reduced lifespan. Rates of back pain were higher than in matched controls, yet pain severity was often modest and did not necessarily translate into functional incapacity. Cardiopulmonary compromise, once a major concern, was largely confined to individuals with very large thoracic curves, reinforcing the notion that curve magnitude and location critically influence outcomes.

Earlier work by Weinstein et al. (1981) similarly found that many untreated patients maintained employment, family life, and social participation comparable to the general population. These findings collectively challenged deterministic narratives that equated untreated scoliosis with inevitable decline, instead painting a nuanced picture of risk stratification.

In contrast, studies examining surgically treated AIS highlight both benefits and trade-offs. Helenius et al. (2019) found that, at five-year follow-up, surgically treated individuals reported quality-of-life scores comparable to healthy controls and superior to untreated AIS patients in certain domains, particularly self-image. However, back pain prevalence remained notable, suggesting that surgical correction does not uniformly eliminate discomfort. These findings underscore that radiographic correction and patient experience, while related, are not synonymous.

Adult-focused analyses further illuminate the long-term trajectory of AIS. Erwin et al. (2020) reported that adults with unoperated AIS exhibited a wide range of functional outcomes, with many maintaining satisfactory quality of life over a decade of observation. Ansari et al. (2024) expanded on this perspective, emphasizing that adult AIS should be understood not merely as residual adolescent pathology but as a dynamic condition influenced by aging, degenerative changes, and psychosocial factors.

Across these studies, a recurring result is the imperfect correlation between curve magnitude and patient-reported well-being. While severe deformity increases risk, many individuals adapt effectively, suggesting that resilience, coping strategies, and contextual factors play substantial roles.

DISCUSSION

The results synthesized above invite a re-examination of long-standing assumptions about adolescent idiopathic scoliosis and its management. Historically, the clinical narrative surrounding AIS has been dominated by fear of progression and deformity, often privileging radiographic endpoints as the primary indicators of success. While such metrics are undeniably important for understanding biomechanical risk, they represent only one dimension of a multifaceted condition.

Natural history studies fundamentally altered the ethical landscape of AIS management by demonstrating that non-intervention does not necessarily condemn patients to poor outcomes (Weinstein et al., 2003). This insight has profound implications for shared decision-making, particularly in borderline cases where the benefits of intervention are uncertain. It also raises questions about overtreatment and the psychological burden imposed by aggressive surveillance and intervention strategies.

Surgical outcomes research adds further complexity. Advances in instrumentation and technique have improved correction rates and reduced perioperative risk, yet long-term data reveal that surgery introduces its own set of enduring considerations, including chronic pain and altered spinal mechanics (Helenius et al., 2019). These findings challenge simplistic cost–benefit calculations and underscore the need for nuanced, patient-specific discussions.

Against this backdrop, the emergence of patient-centered outcome frameworks represents a critical methodological evolution. Traditional concepts such as minimal clinically important difference are often derived from population averages and may not reflect individual patient values. The smallest worthwhile effect, by contrast, explicitly incorporates patient judgments about what magnitude of benefit justifies the burdens and risks of treatment (Ferreira, 2018; Finch, 2025). Applied to AIS, this concept encourages clinicians and researchers to ask not merely whether an intervention changes a score, but whether that change is meaningful to the person living with the condition.

Evidence from other musculoskeletal domains illustrates how benefit–harm trade-off analyses can reshape clinical guidelines and challenge entrenched practices (Ferreira et al., 2013; Hansford et al., 2024). Although AIS-specific applications remain limited, the theoretical implications are substantial. For example, a modest improvement in self-image following surgery may be highly valued by one adolescent yet insufficient to justify operative risk for another. Recognizing this variability aligns with ethical imperatives for autonomy and individualized care.

Limitations of the existing literature must also be acknowledged. Many long-term AIS studies reflect historical cohorts treated under outdated standards, potentially limiting generalizability. Attrition bias, changes in societal norms, and evolving definitions of quality of life further complicate interpretation. Moreover, the transfer of outcome measurement frameworks from other conditions requires careful validation within the scoliosis population.

Future research should therefore prioritize longitudinal, mixed-methods designs that integrate radiographic data, patient-reported outcomes, and qualitative insights. Such approaches would better capture the lived experience of AIS across developmental stages and inform more responsive clinical pathways.

CONCLUSION

Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis is best understood not as a static deformity confined to adolescence, but as a lifelong condition with diverse trajectories and meanings. Decades of natural history research have revealed that many individuals, including those untreated, achieve satisfactory health and function, challenging deterministic models of progression. Surgical intervention offers clear benefits in selected cases, yet its long-term impact is shaped by trade-offs that extend beyond curve correction.

Integrating patient-centered outcome concepts such as the smallest worthwhile effect offers a promising avenue for reconciling clinical objectives with individual values. By foregrounding what patients themselves consider meaningful, this framework aligns AIS management with contemporary principles of shared decision-making and ethical care.

Ultimately, advancing the field requires a shift from purely structural metrics toward a holistic, lifespan-oriented perspective that honors both scientific evidence and human experience. Such an approach holds the potential to refine treatment thresholds, enhance patient satisfaction, and ensure that success in AIS care is defined not only by straighter spines, but by better lives.

REFERENCES

1. Ansari K, Singh M, McDermott JR, Gregorczyk JA, Balmaceno-Criss M, Daher M, McDonald CL, Diebo BG, Daniels AH. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis in adulthood. EFORT Open Reviews. 2024.

2. Curtis DM, Bradley AT, Lin Y, Baker HP, Shi LL, Strelzow JA, et al. National trends show declining use of arthroscopic subacromial decompression without rotator cuff repair. Arthroscopy. 2021.

3. Erwin J, Carlson BB, Bunch J, Jackson RS, Burton D. Impact of unoperated adolescent idiopathic scoliosis in adulthood: a 10-year analysis. Spine Deformity. 2020.

4. Ferreira M. Research note: The smallest worthwhile effect of a health intervention. Journal of Physiotherapy. 2018.

5. Ferreira ML, Herbert RD, Ferreira PH, Latimer J, Ostelo RW, Grotle M, et al. The smallest worthwhile effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and physiotherapy for chronic low back pain: a benefit–harm trade-off study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2013.

6. Finch AR. A patient-centered approach to measuring treatment success: The smallest worthwhile effect as an alternative to the minimal clinically important difference for PROMs in adult idiopathic scoliosis. International Research Journal of Medical Sciences and Health Care. 2025.

7. Hansford HJ, Jones MD, Cashin AG, Ostelo RW, Chiarotto A, Williams SA, et al. The smallest worthwhile effect on pain intensity of exercise therapy for people with chronic low back pain. Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy. 2024.

8. Helenius L, Diarbakerli E, Grauers A, Lastikka M, Oksanen H, Pajulo O, et al. Back pain and quality of life after surgical treatment for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis at five-year follow-up. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Volume. 2019.

9. Hresko MT. Idiopathic scoliosis in adolescents. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013.

10. Jada A, Mackel CE, Hwang SW, Samdani AF, Stephen JH, Bennett JT, Baaj AA. Evaluation and management of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Neurosurgical Focus. 2017.

11. Weinstein SL. The natural history of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics. 2019.

12. Weinstein SL, Dolan LA, Spratt KF, Peterson KK, Spoonamore MJ, Ponseti IV. Health and function of patients with untreated idiopathic scoliosis: a fifty-year natural history study. JAMA. 2003.

13. Weinstein SL, Ponseti IV. Curve progression in idiopathic scoliosis. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Volume. 1983.

14. Weinstein SL, Zavala DC, Ponseti IV. Idiopathic scoliosis: long-term follow-up and prognosis in untreated patients. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Volume. 1981.