

Received: 30 May 2026, Revised: 12 June 2026, Accepted: 27 June 2026, Published: 09 July 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijsshd-v03i07-02>

Integrating Artificial Intelligence into Socialised Project Leadership for Educational Development in Nigeria

Oladimeji Philip Akerele, MSc¹, Kennedy Oberhiri Obohwemu, PhD² , Celestine Emeka Ekwuluo, MIR³ , Gordon Mabengban Yakpir, PhD⁴ , Gabriel Olaoluwa Abayomi, PhD⁵ , Nneka Angela Oseji, PhD⁶ , Obiageli Uzoamaka Onyemelukwe, FWACP⁷ , Tolulope Bayode Abejide, PhD (in progress)⁸ 

¹ Aberdeen Business School, Robert Gordon's University, Scotland, United Kingdom

² Department of Interdisciplinary Research and Statistics, PENKUP Research Institute, Birmingham, United Kingdom

³ Department of Political Science, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria PENKUP Research Institute, Birmingham, United Kingdom

⁴ Faculty of Health, Wellbeing & Social Care, Oxford Brookes University, GBS Partnership, Birmingham Campus, United Kingdom

⁵ Department of Health, Wellbeing & Social Care, Oxford Brookes University, GBS Partnership, Birmingham, United Kingdom

⁶ Department of Library and Information Science, Faculty of Social Science, Federal University, Lokoja, Kogi State, Nigeria

⁷ Alras General Hospital, Alras, Al-Qassim, Saudi Arabia

⁸ Department of Biomedical and Forensic Science, School of Sciences, College of Science and Engineering, University of Derby, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author: Dr. Kennedy Oberhiri Obohwemu, PhD, Department of Interdisciplinary Studies & Statistics, PENKUP Research Institute, Birmingham, United Kingdom. Email: Kennedy.Obowhewu@penkup.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5175-1179>.

ABSTRACT: Educational project implementation within Nigeria continues to face significant governance and leadership challenges that undermine institutional effectiveness and educational development outcomes. Persistent concerns surrounding corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, weak accountability systems, political interference, fragmented stakeholder coordination, and poor project monitoring have contributed to delays, abandoned projects, infrastructural decline, and ineffective resource allocation across the educational sector. Existing leadership structures within many public educational institutions often rely heavily on hierarchical administrative systems that struggle to sustain transparency, collaboration, and adaptive decision-making in increasingly complex governance environments.

This conceptual paper examines the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into socialised project leadership as a framework for strengthening educational project governance in Nigeria. Drawing from transformational leadership, servant leadership, collaborative governance, and adaptive leadership perspectives, the paper conceptualises socialised project leadership as a collaborative, ethically grounded, and stakeholder-oriented governance approach capable of improving institutional accountability, participatory decision-making, and project implementation effectiveness. The paper further argues that AI-supported governance systems can complement this leadership framework through predictive analytics, automated monitoring, intelligent reporting systems, enhanced communication structures, and evidence-based decision support.

The discussion focuses particularly on the governance environments associated with the Universal Basic Education Commission and the Tertiary Education Trust Fund, both of which play critical roles in educational project implementation within Nigeria. The paper contends that combining AI-enabled administrative systems with collaborative leadership structures may strengthen transparency, reduce operational inefficiencies, improve project oversight, and enhance institutional responsiveness across educational governance systems.

The paper concludes that AI should not be viewed as a replacement for human leadership but rather as a complementary mechanism that supports ethical governance, collaborative engagement, and data-informed decision-making. Sustainable educational reform in Nigeria requires leadership systems capable of balancing technological innovation with human-centred governance principles, institutional integrity, and stakeholder accountability.

KEYWORDS: Artificial intelligence; socialised project leadership; educational governance; collaborative leadership; educational reform; project management; Nigeria; institutional accountability; educational development; AI-enabled governance.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become one of the most influential developments shaping organisational leadership, governance, and decision-making across contemporary institutions. Educational systems across the world are increasingly adopting AI-driven technologies to improve planning, resource allocation, monitoring, stakeholder engagement, and institutional accountability (UNESCO, 2023; Khairullah et al., 2025; Mcconvey et al., 2026). Within developing countries, interest in AI-enabled governance has intensified because educational institutions continue to face persistent structural challenges linked to corruption, poor project execution, weak accountability systems, and ineffective leadership practices (Adeoye et al., 2025). Nigeria represents a particularly important context for examining these concerns because educational reforms and intervention projects have frequently struggled to achieve intended outcomes despite substantial public investment.

The Nigerian educational system has experienced longstanding difficulties in project implementation across primary, secondary, and tertiary education. Several studies have associated these failures with poor governance structures, weak institutional oversight, political interference, and ineffective project leadership (Lawan et al., 2020; Imolong et al., 2025). Intervention agencies such as the Universal Basic Education Commission and the Tertiary Education Trust Fund were established to strengthen infrastructure development, teacher training, research funding, and institutional capacity. Nevertheless, concerns surrounding transparency, monitoring, delayed execution, and diversion of resources continue to undermine many educational projects (Ladi et al., 2025; Oluranti, 2025). Educational inequalities remain visible across many regions of the country, particularly where overcrowded classrooms, inadequate learning materials, and deteriorating infrastructure continue to affect learning outcomes and institutional performance.

Leadership remains central to the success or failure of educational projects. Research in project governance consistently shows that leadership quality influences strategic coordination, stakeholder trust, accountability mechanisms, team performance, and implementation outcomes (Cai, 2023; Kilonzi et al., 2023). Conventional leadership structures within many public institutions in Nigeria have often been criticised for excessive bureaucracy, rigid hierarchies, and limited stakeholder participation (Bakare, 2021; Asaju & Varzoa, 2026). Such

conditions create environments where project monitoring becomes weak, communication channels deteriorate, and institutional inefficiencies become normalised. Educational projects consequently suffer from delays, abandonment, cost inflation, and poor sustainability.

Contemporary leadership research increasingly supports collaborative and people-oriented leadership approaches capable of improving institutional trust and organisational adaptability (Eva et al., 2019). Within this context, socialised project leadership offers an important conceptual direction for educational governance. Socialised leadership emphasises participation, shared responsibility, ethical conduct, stakeholder engagement, and collective problem-solving. The model draws strongly from transformational and servant leadership traditions, both of which prioritise motivation, empowerment, collaboration, and human-centred leadership practices (Northouse, 2021). Transformational leadership encourages institutional vision and innovation, while servant leadership strengthens trust, inclusion, and relational accountability. The integration of these approaches creates a leadership orientation capable of responding to the institutional complexities that characterise educational administration in Nigeria.

AI presents additional possibilities for strengthening this leadership framework. Recent studies suggest that AI-driven systems can improve organisational efficiency through predictive analytics, automated reporting, intelligent monitoring systems, and enhanced decision-support processes (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; Dwivedi et al., 2023). Educational institutions increasingly use AI technologies to support administrative planning, improve communication systems, detect irregularities in financial processes, and optimise resource distribution (UNESCO, 2023). Within project leadership environments, AI can support evidence-based decision-making while reducing delays associated with manual administrative procedures. AI-assisted governance systems may also strengthen transparency by improving audit trails, project tracking, and institutional reporting mechanisms.

Despite growing global attention to AI in education, limited conceptual research has examined how AI can be integrated into leadership structures within the Nigerian educational system, particularly within project governance environments. Existing studies frequently focus on technological adoption, digital learning, or administrative automation without adequately addressing leadership transformation and stakeholder-

centred governance (Cleopas, 2023; Adediran et al., 2024). There remains insufficient attention to how AI can support collaborative leadership structures capable of improving accountability, ethical governance, and project delivery within public educational institutions.

This paper addresses that gap through a conceptual examination of AI integration within socialised project leadership in Nigeria's educational sector. The discussion focuses particularly on institutional intervention frameworks associated with educational project administration, including the Universal Basic Education Commission and the Tertiary Education Trust Fund. The paper argues that combining AI-driven systems with collaborative leadership practices may strengthen project governance, improve transparency, enhance stakeholder participation, and support more efficient educational project delivery. Rather than replacing human leadership, AI is positioned as a complementary mechanism capable of supporting ethical and data-informed decision-making within complex institutional environments.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Educational project governance in complex institutional settings needs leadership approaches that can handle organisational uncertainty, stakeholder diversity, political pressures, and demands for greater accountability. The Nigerian educational system offers a particularly relevant context for exploring these matters. Educational intervention projects there often take place amid bureaucratic complexity, limited resources, institutional fragmentation, and governance instability. Traditional leadership models have frequently fallen short in maintaining transparency, collaboration, and successful project delivery in such conditions.

This paper builds its conceptual foundation for socialised project leadership on transformational leadership theory, servant leadership theory, collaborative governance perspectives, and adaptive governance principles. These theoretical traditions together show how collaborative and ethically grounded leadership can support stronger educational project governance. The framework also draws on AI-enabled governance literature to illustrate how technological systems can enhance decision-making, institutional oversight, and administrative coordination in educational leadership settings.

Transformational Leadership Theory

Transformational leadership theory forms one of the main pillars of the conceptual framework in this paper. The theory suggests that effective leaders inspire followers through a shared vision, intellectual stimulation, institutional commitment, and a sense of

collective purpose. Instead of depending only on formal authority or simple exchanges, transformational leaders drive organisational change by fostering inspiration, innovation, and clear strategic direction (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Educational project environments often call for leaders who can unite diverse institutional actors around common developmental objectives. In the Nigerian educational system, transformational leadership can help build stronger institutional commitment to educational reform, raise stakeholder motivation, and stimulate innovation during project implementation. These projects typically bring together policymakers, institutional administrators, contractors, academic staff, and local communities. Approaches that promote collective engagement therefore prove especially valuable.

Yet transformational leadership by itself may not adequately tackle issues of ethical accountability and participatory governance. Systems that place too much emphasis on visionary authority can sometimes centralise decision-making within small administrative circles. In settings influenced by political interference and institutional inequality, such concentration can reduce transparency and limit stakeholder involvement. Additional ethical and collaborative elements are thus required for sustainable educational governance.

Servant Leadership Theory

Servant leadership theory supplies the ethical and relational core of socialised project leadership. This theory highlights empathy, stewardship, inclusion, stakeholder wellbeing, and relational responsibility. Servant-oriented leaders focus on the needs of institutional communities rather than on personal power, political advantage, or hierarchical control. Leadership success is judged not only by organisational results but also by the strength of relationships, ethical standards, and levels of institutional trust (Eva et al., 2019).

This perspective holds special relevance for public educational systems where corruption, resource mismanagement, and weak accountability have eroded trust in governance. Educational project leadership needs models that can rebuild confidence between institutions and stakeholders while advancing fairness, inclusion, and transparency. Servant leadership advances these aims by promoting participatory engagement and ethical responsibility throughout governance processes.

The focus on stakeholder wellbeing also connects directly with broader educational development objectives. Educational projects ultimately serve students, teachers, administrators, and local communities. Leadership approaches that value institutional service and collective benefit are therefore

better placed to deliver sustainable and socially responsive educational reform.

Collaborative Governance and Stakeholder Engagement

Collaborative governance theory adds another vital element to the framework. Current governance research shows that complex institutional problems cannot be solved effectively through isolated or overly centralised leadership (Ansell & Torfing, 2021). Success now depends on cooperation among multiple actors, institutions, and stakeholder groups.

Educational projects in Nigeria regularly involve federal agencies, state governments, educational institutions, funding bodies, contractors, and local communities. Leadership structures that fail to involve these stakeholders meaningfully often produce poor implementation results, lower institutional legitimacy, and reduced long-term sustainability. Collaborative governance therefore favours systems that support dialogue, shared responsibility, participatory decision-making, and genuine institutional partnerships.

Stakeholder engagement also bolsters accountability and transparency. Shared governance processes raise visibility across projects and limit unilateral decision-making. Educational leaders working in collaborative settings tend to promote open communication, participatory monitoring, and joint evaluation.

Adaptive Governance and Agile Leadership

Adaptive governance perspectives enrich the framework by stressing flexibility and responsiveness in complex environments. Educational institutions now function amid fast-changing technological, political, and social conditions. Rigid or excessively bureaucratic leadership struggles to meet evolving governance needs.

Agile and adaptive leadership prioritises decentralised communication, collaborative problem-solving, continuous learning, and iterative decision-making (Denning, 2018). These qualities are particularly useful in educational systems dealing with financial uncertainty, infrastructural shortfalls, and policy changes. Such leadership can improve institutional responsiveness and project coordination.

AI-Enabled Governance and Decision Support

The final part of the theoretical framework concerns AI-enabled governance systems. Recent AI research indicates that intelligent technologies can markedly improve organisational decision-making, project monitoring, administrative coordination, and strategic planning. Educational institutions are increasingly applying AI-supported systems to handle large

datasets, automate reporting, forecast needs, and strengthen oversight.

In the Nigerian educational system, AI integration can complement socialised project leadership by enhancing transparency, predictive analytics, intelligent monitoring, and evidence-based decision support. These technologies may also ease administrative inefficiencies linked to fragmented documentation, delayed reporting, and weak coordination.

This paper nevertheless positions AI as a complementary mechanism rather than a replacement for human leadership. Ethical reasoning, contextual understanding, stakeholder relationships, and institutional responsibility remain essentially human tasks. AI therefore serves as an enabling technology that reinforces collaborative leadership while upholding ethical governance principles.

These theoretical perspectives together establish the conceptual basis for socialised project leadership. They also clarify how AI-supported systems can improve educational project implementation, institutional accountability, and sustainable educational development in Nigeria.

PROJECT LEADERSHIP CHALLENGES IN THE NIGERIAN EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

Educational development projects in Nigeria continue to encounter major implementation difficulties even after many policy reforms and intervention efforts. Issues such as weak governance structures, limited accountability, irregular monitoring, and political involvement persist in both basic and tertiary education. These problems have affected project delivery, infrastructure provision, staff training, and overall educational planning (World Bank, 2015).

The system relies significantly on government-funded agencies to enhance institutional growth and widen access to resources. Key bodies include the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) and the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund). These agencies aim to improve infrastructure, teacher quality, research capacity, and overall institutional strength. While they have supported expansion in several areas, project results often fall short because of ongoing governance problems (Wapmuk & Amini, 2018).

Universal Basic Education and Project Governance Challenges

The Universal Basic Education programme seeks to deliver equitable, free, and compulsory basic education nationwide. It funds classroom building, teaching materials, teacher recruitment, and school development in primary and junior secondary levels (Ezeunala, 2022). Despite clear goals, implementation shortfalls continue to

limit sustainability and quality in many parts of the country.

Corruption and financial mismanagement remain serious concerns. Studies show that diversion of funds, inflated contracts, weak procurement, and politically driven allocations frequently undermine progress (Aja et al., 2023; Ogunbunmi & Adefabi, 2023). As a result, many projects are abandoned or completed to low standards. Schools then face shortages of facilities, materials, and trained staff even when funding flows in.

Bureaucratic delays also slow project work. Excessive paperwork, poor coordination between government levels, and unclear responsibilities create bottlenecks that hinder timely execution (Sukare & Abdullahi, 2025). These issues reduce the system's ability to respond quickly and erode trust among stakeholders.

In addition, poor data management hampers planning. Accurate information is vital for assessing needs, tracking progress, and distributing resources. Yet many institutions work with incomplete or old records, which weakens evidence-based choices (Opara et al., 2023).

TETFund and Institutional Leadership Constraints

TETFund supports higher education through infrastructure, staff training, research grants, and other interventions. Universities, polytechnics, and colleges of education depend on these funds for development. Research confirms that TETFund has helped expand facilities and build capacity in various institutions (Yakub & Audu, 2026). However, leadership and governance issues still reduce the impact of many projects.

Political influence often shapes funding decisions more than actual needs. This practice lowers the quality of project selection and damages confidence in the system (Nnadi et al., 2024). Leaders may then favour high-profile initiatives over essential academic or structural priorities.

Lack of transparency and accountability further affects outcomes. Delays in starting work, weak financial reporting, and limited oversight allow problems to go unnoticed until projects stall. These patterns reflect wider weaknesses in public project management.

Leadership skills present another difficulty. Effective project leaders need abilities in strategic thinking, teamwork, digital tools, ethics, and flexibility. Many administrators, however, work in rigid structures that limit innovation and involvement.

The Need for Leadership Transformation

These persistent challenges reveal the shortcomings of traditional top-down leadership in Nigerian education. Such models often fail to handle complexity, diverse stakeholders, and changing demands. There is a clear need for approaches that promote collaboration, trust, accountability, and adaptive choices.

Socialised project leadership, which emphasises shared responsibility and inclusive practices, offers one promising direction. It could ease over-centralisation and improve transparency. Still, it may not resolve all operational problems related to data, monitoring, delays, or finances.

In this setting, artificial intelligence tools become useful. AI can support monitoring, forecasting, reporting, and decision processes. Pairing these capabilities with collaborative leadership may create stronger, more accountable systems for managing educational projects in Nigeria.

SOCIALISED PROJECT LEADERSHIP AS A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR EDUCATIONAL REFORM

Educational institutions working in complex governance settings increasingly need leadership approaches that go beyond traditional hierarchical administration. Modern project environments involve institutional uncertainty, stakeholder diversity, financial pressures, rapid technological shifts, and rising public expectations for accountability. Leadership models that rely too much on centralised authority often find it difficult to maintain transparency, collaboration, and organisational responsiveness in these conditions. In the Nigerian educational system, such issues carry particular weight because educational intervention projects usually involve many different actors, competing interests, and complicated administrative arrangements.

Socialised project leadership is presented here as a conceptual framework for addressing many of the governance and implementation challenges facing educational development in Nigeria. It represents a collaborative and ethically grounded approach in which leadership responsibilities are distributed across stakeholders, decision making is shared, and project governance is anchored in trust, transparency, and collective accountability. The model emphasises stakeholder participation, shared responsibility, relational accountability, and institutional openness. Moving beyond rigid command and control structures, socialised project leadership supports participatory governance practices that strengthen institutional trust and enable more effective collaborative engagement.

Unlike conventional project leadership models that rely heavily on administrative hierarchy and centralised control, socialised project leadership conceptualises

educational governance as a distributed and relational process shaped through continuous stakeholder interaction, collective accountability, and participatory decision-making. The framework therefore repositions project leadership from an authority-driven activity towards a collaborative governance mechanism capable of improving institutional legitimacy and implementation sustainability within complex educational environments.

Stakeholder Engagement and Collaborative Governance

Educational projects touch a wide range of stakeholders, such as students, teachers, institutional administrators, policymakers, contractors, funding agencies, and local communities. Leadership systems that leave these groups out of meaningful participation often meet resistance, weak implementation results, and reduced institutional legitimacy. Recent governance research stresses the value of collaborative leadership models that support cross-sector engagement and shared institutional responsibility (Torfing & Ansell, 2017).

In the Nigerian educational system, stakeholder engagement holds special importance because educational projects frequently work in socially and politically sensitive contexts. Community trust and institutional legitimacy can shape project sustainability and implementation success. Socialised project leadership promotes ongoing dialogue among institutional actors and supports governance systems in which information-sharing, transparency, and accountability form core leadership priorities.

The framework also connects with principles from agile and adaptive management approaches. Within educational project environments, adaptive leadership practices may improve institutional responsiveness, stakeholder coordination, and implementation flexibility during periods of administrative uncertainty. Educational projects in unstable or resource-limited settings can gain considerably from leadership systems that adapt swiftly to institutional changes and implementation difficulties.

Ethical Governance and Institutional Accountability

Ethical governance forms another key part of socialised project leadership. Corruption, political patronage, weak procurement systems, and irregular project monitoring continue to undermine educational development efforts across Nigeria. Traditional hierarchical systems can sometimes produce settings

where accountability concentrates in limited administrative groups, lowering transparency and weakening oversight.

Socialised leadership seeks to lessen these risks by creating collective accountability mechanisms and wider stakeholder participation. Shared governance structures raise visibility across project processes and limit chances for unilateral decisions. Educational leaders working within collaborative frameworks tend to encourage open communication, participatory evaluation, and transparent reporting.

Ethical leadership in educational institutions goes beyond financial accountability. It also covers fairness in resource allocation, inclusive decision-making, institutional integrity, and responsiveness to educational needs. Socialised project leadership therefore advances a governance approach that balances organisational efficiency with social responsibility.

Limitations of Socialised Leadership Without Technological Support

Even with its advantages, socialised project leadership can encounter operational constraints when applied in large-scale educational systems marked by bureaucratic complexity and limited resources. Collaborative governance processes may prove time-consuming, administratively heavy, and hard to coordinate across multiple institutions. Decision-making delays, fragmented communication, and inconsistent data management can reduce implementation efficiency if collaborative structures lack proper technological backing.

These operational constraints provide a strong reason for integrating artificial intelligence into socialised leadership systems. AI-supported tools can strengthen collaborative governance through automated reporting, predictive analytics, intelligent communication, real-time monitoring, and better decision support. The integration of AI therefore complements socialised leadership by raising administrative efficiency while keeping human-centred governance principles intact.

Figure 1 illustrates the conceptual architecture of the AI-integrated socialised project leadership framework proposed in this paper. The framework demonstrates how collaborative governance structures, ethical leadership processes, and AI-supported administrative systems may collectively strengthen educational project implementation, institutional accountability, and sustainable educational development within Nigeria.



Figure 1: AI-integrated Socialised Project Leadership Framework for Educational Development in Nigeria

INTEGRATING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE INTO SOCIALISED PROJECT LEADERSHIP

The growing complexity of educational governance has increased interest in technological systems that can improve institutional efficiency, accountability, and decision-making. Artificial intelligence has become one of the most important technological advances shaping modern organisational management, public administration, and project governance. Educational institutions now draw on AI-supported systems to strengthen administrative coordination, resource allocation, stakeholder communication, monitoring, and strategic planning. In the Nigerian educational system, where project implementation problems persist, AI integration presents valuable opportunities for raising leadership effectiveness and institutional performance (Uriri & Mmom, 2025; Nnaji, 2026).

This paper views AI not as a substitute for educational leadership but as a supportive tool that can reinforce socialised project leadership structures. Human-centred leadership stays vital because educational governance depends on ethical reasoning, contextual awareness, stakeholder trust, and relational engagement. AI serves instead as an enabling system that boosts the efficiency, responsiveness, and analytical strength of collaborative leadership settings.

AI and Data-Driven Decision-Making

One of the main contributions of AI to project leadership is its ability to support evidence-based decision-making. Educational institutions produce large amounts of administrative, financial, infrastructural, and operational data. Yet many public educational organisations in Nigeria still work with fragmented information systems, weak monitoring structures, and limited analytical capacity. Leadership decisions are therefore often guided by incomplete information, delayed reporting, or political factors rather than sound institutional evidence.

AI-supported analytics systems can markedly improve this situation through automated data processing, predictive modelling, and intelligent reporting. Machine-learning technologies can help educational leaders spot implementation delays, forecast infrastructural needs, monitor expenditure patterns, and assess project outcomes more effectively in real time (Selwyn, 2022). Educational intervention agencies such as UBEC and TETFund stand to gain from AI-supported governance systems that enhance project oversight and cut administrative inefficiencies.

Predictive analytics also strengthens strategic planning in educational project environments. AI systems can detect patterns linked to project delays, financial irregularities, or resource shortages before these issues develop into serious implementation failures. Educational leaders can then make better-informed choices about contractor performance, institutional

resource allocation, and project prioritisation. This approach improves institutional responsiveness and reduces reliance on reactive crisis management.

Automation and Administrative Efficiency

Administrative inefficiency continues to present a serious challenge in many public institutions in Nigeria. Project implementation processes are often held back by manual documentation systems, bureaucratic approval structures, fragmented communication channels, and weak monitoring mechanisms. These conditions lower institutional efficiency and open doors to corruption, duplication, and project abandonment.

AI-supported automation systems can substantially ease these operational constraints. Automated reporting technologies can streamline documentation, track project milestones, monitor financial transactions, and produce real-time implementation updates. Intelligent workflow systems may also reduce delays linked to administrative approvals and institutional coordination. Leadership structures within collaborative governance systems can therefore operate more efficiently as routine administrative tasks become partly automated.

Importantly, automation does not remove the need for human oversight. Ethical governance still depends on responsible leadership practices, transparent institutional culture, and regulatory accountability mechanisms. AI systems themselves can be vulnerable to misuse, poor programming, algorithmic bias, and political manipulation if proper safeguards are absent. Effective AI integration therefore calls for careful institutional regulation and responsible leadership oversight (Crawford, 2021).

Enhancing Transparency and Accountability

Transparency and accountability form central concerns in educational project governance in Nigeria. Research consistently links weak accountability structures to corruption, resource diversion, poor procurement practices, and project implementation failures. Socialised project leadership seeks to tackle these issues through collaborative governance and stakeholder engagement. AI integration can further support these processes by increasing institutional visibility and monitoring capacity.

AI-supported systems can generate digital audit trails that improve financial transparency and project monitoring. Real-time expenditure tracking, automated procurement monitoring, and intelligent reporting may limit opportunities for fund diversion and unauthorised project changes. Educational leaders can thereby exercise stronger oversight throughout project implementation (UNESCO, 2023).

Natural language processing technologies can also improve communication among institutional stakeholders. Educational projects often involve exchanges between government agencies, institutional administrators, contractors, academic staff, and local communities. AI-supported communication systems can aid information-sharing, stakeholder feedback collection, automated documentation analysis, and multilingual support. These features strengthen collaborative governance and encourage wider stakeholder participation in educational leadership systems (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

AI, Ethical Leadership, and Human Responsibility

Despite its potential benefits, AI integration in educational leadership settings also raises notable ethical concerns. Algorithmic bias, unequal technological access, data privacy risks, and over-reliance on automated systems may introduce new governance challenges if implementation is poorly regulated. Educational institutions in resource-constrained environments may additionally face limitations related to digital connectivity, technical expertise, and institutional readiness.

Socialised project leadership therefore remains crucial because collaborative and ethical governance cannot be fully automated. Human leadership continues to play an essential role in interpreting institutional realities, managing stakeholder relationships, resolving conflicts, and upholding ethical accountability. AI systems can enhance administrative and analytical functions, but they cannot replace the moral and relational aspects of educational leadership (Holmes et al., 2022).

The integration of AI into socialised project leadership should therefore be seen as a complementary partnership between technological capability and human-centred governance. Educational reform in Nigeria requires both institutional innovation and ethical leadership practices that can sustain transparency, participation, and accountability across complex governance environments.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To strengthen educational project leadership in Nigeria, AI should be embedded within socialised governance structures in the following ways.

First, educational intervention agencies such as the Universal Basic Education Commission and TETFund should gradually adopt AI-supported project monitoring and reporting systems capable of improving transparency, accountability, and real-time institutional oversight. Digital governance platforms may strengthen project tracking while reducing administrative inefficiencies and implementation delays.

Second, policymakers should prioritise leadership

development programmes that promote collaborative governance, ethical leadership practices, stakeholder engagement, and digital competence among educational administrators. Leadership transformation remains essential if technological systems are to function effectively within public institutions.

Third, AI integration within educational governance should operate within clear ethical and regulatory frameworks. Institutional safeguards are necessary to reduce risks associated with algorithmic bias, misuse of educational data, weak accountability mechanisms, and excessive dependence on automated systems. Transparent governance policies should therefore guide the responsible use of AI within educational administration.

Fourth, stronger investment in educational data infrastructure and digital capacity-building is required across public institutions. AI-supported governance systems cannot function effectively without reliable institutional data, stable technological infrastructure, and adequately trained personnel.

Finally, future research should explore empirical applications of AI-supported leadership systems within Nigerian educational institutions. Further studies may examine stakeholder perceptions, implementation barriers, institutional readiness, and the long-term effectiveness of AI-enabled governance models across different educational contexts.

CONCLUSION

Educational project implementation within Nigeria continues to face substantial governance and leadership challenges that limit institutional effectiveness and educational development outcomes. Persistent concerns surrounding corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, political interference, weak accountability systems, and ineffective project coordination have affected the sustainability and success of several intervention programmes across both basic and tertiary education sectors. These institutional difficulties have contributed to infrastructural decline, poor monitoring systems, resource mismanagement, and inconsistent educational delivery.

This paper has argued that addressing these longstanding challenges requires more than increased funding or policy reform alone. Educational transformation also depends on leadership systems capable of strengthening collaboration, transparency, ethical governance, and institutional responsiveness. Socialised project leadership provides an important conceptual foundation for achieving these objectives because it promotes stakeholder participation, shared responsibility, collaborative governance, and human-centred leadership practices. Drawing from

transformational and servant leadership traditions, the framework encourages institutional cultures that prioritise trust, accountability, inclusion, and collective problem-solving.

The paper further demonstrates that AI can significantly strengthen the effectiveness of socialised project leadership within the Nigerian educational system. AI-supported technologies offer important opportunities for improving project monitoring, data-driven decision-making, administrative coordination, financial oversight, and strategic planning. Automated reporting systems, predictive analytics, intelligent communication platforms, and digital monitoring tools may help educational institutions reduce inefficiencies while strengthening transparency and institutional accountability.

Nevertheless, the paper also recognises that technological integration alone cannot resolve governance failures without ethical and responsible leadership structures. AI systems remain dependent on institutional integrity, regulatory oversight, infrastructural readiness, and responsible implementation practices. Educational leadership therefore remains fundamentally human-centred. Ethical judgement, stakeholder engagement, cultural understanding, and relational accountability continue to require active human participation and institutional responsibility.

The integration of AI into socialised project leadership should consequently be understood as a complementary governance strategy rather than a technological replacement for leadership itself. Combining collaborative leadership principles with AI-supported governance systems may provide a more adaptive, transparent, and efficient framework for educational project administration in Nigeria. Such an approach offers important possibilities for improving educational development outcomes while strengthening institutional trust and accountability across public educational systems.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

All authors contributed meaningfully to the development of this study and the preparation of the manuscript. The conception and design of the study were undertaken by O.P.A. The development of the theoretical framework was carried out by O.P.A. and K.O.O., who also contributed to the primary drafting of the manuscript. All authors collaborated in drafting, revising, and approving the final manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

FUNDING

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the management and technical staff of PENKUP Research Institute, Birmingham, UK, for their excellent assistance and for providing medical writing and editorial support in accordance with the Good Publication Practice (GPP3) guidelines.

REFERENCES

- Adediran, E., Sakpere, W., & Ogunyinka, T. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Nigeria: challenges and opportunities. Available at SSRN 5269530.
- Adeoye, M. A., Obi, S. N., Sulaimon, J. T., & Yusuf, J. (2025). Navigating the Digital Era: AI's Influence on Educational Quality Management. *JERIT: Journal of Educational Research and Innovation Technology*, 2(1), 14-27.
- Ansell, C., & Torfing, J. (2021). Public governance as co-creation: A strategy for revitalizing the public sector and rejuvenating democracy. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108765381>
- Aja, N.S., Ogbaga, E. and Ede, F. N. (2023). Universal basic education (UBE) funding practice and challenges on management of basic education in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Administration and Planning*, 20(1). <https://njeapjournal.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/20-Universal-Basic-Education-UBE-Funding-Practice-and-Challenges-on-Management-of-Basic-Education-In-Ebonyi-State-Nigeria.pdf>
- Akinola, A. O., & Adesopo, A. A. (2021). Governance deficits and educational development in Nigeria. *Africa Education Review*, 18(2), 115-132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2020.1863648>
- Asaju, K., & Varzoa, L. (2026). Building strong, virile and dynamic public institutions in Nigeria: A case of Nigerian public service. *Advances in Corporate Governance*, 3(1), 14.
- Bakare, K. A. (2021). Bureaucracy and corporate practice in Nigerian universities. *Global Journal of Human Resource Management*, 9(1), 20-36.
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership (2nd ed.). Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410617095>
- Cai, J. (2023). Effects of leadership styles and organizational strategy to enhance performance efficiency. *Journal of Enterprise and Business Intelligence*, 3(1), 012-022.
- Cleopas, B. C. (2023). Advent of artificial intelligence: Prospects and challenges in Nigeria education system. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 6(6), 1-9.
- Crawford, K. (2021). Atlas of AI: Power, politics, and the planetary costs of artificial intelligence. Yale University Press. https://doi.org/10.1162/leon_r_02206
- Denning, S. (2018). The age of agile: How smart companies are transforming the way work gets done. AMACOM.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., ... & Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, Article 101994. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M., Al-Busaidi, A. S., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D., ... Wright, R. (2023). "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
- Eva, N., Robin, M., Sendjaya, S., van Dierendonck, D., & Liden, R. C. (2019). Servant leadership: A systematic review and call for future research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 30(1), 111-132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2018.07.004>
- Ezeunala, C. B. (2022). Management of universal basic education (UBE): Policy implementation in public primary schools [Doctoral dissertation, University of Wales Trinity Saint David]. <https://repository.uwtsd.ac.uk/id/eprint/2058/>
- Holmes, W., Porayska-Pomsta, K., Holstein, K., Sutherland, E., Baker, T., Shum, S. B., ... & Koedinger, K. R. (2022). Ethics of AI in education: Towards a community-wide framework. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 32(3), 504-526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00239-1>

18. Imolong, O. M., Adesola, O. M., & Nwakaego, E. C. (2025). Addressing accountability challenges in higher education management for sustainable national development. *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Excep. Res*, 4(3), 43-49.
19. Khairullah, S. A., Harris, S., Hadi, H. J., Sandhu, R. A., Ahmad, N., & Alshara, M. A. (2025, February). Implementing artificial intelligence in academic and administrative processes through responsible strategic leadership in the higher education institutions. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 10, p. 1548104). Frontiers Media SA.
20. Kilonzi, T. M., Atikiya, R., & Atambo, W. N. (2023). Leadership practices, stakeholder involvement and performance of national government departments in Kenya. *International Journal of Business Administration*, 14(1), 36-51.
21. Mcconvey, K., Ghai, M., Lee, R., & Guha, S. (2026). Risk, Retention, and the Algorithmic Institution: Artificial Intelligence as a Policy Response to Higher Education in Crisis. *Canadian Public Policy*, 52(S1), 155-169.
22. Nnadi, E. E., Omerhi, B., and Boniface, N. A. (2024). Rating the delivery rate of TETFund projects in South-East Nigerian state universities. *Journal of Engineering, Technology, and Applied Science*, 6(1), 45-58.
<https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.jetas-0601.622>
23. Nnaji, I. L., Ayanwale, M. A., Ukeje, I. O., Ekwunife, R. A., Igwe, C. M., Okwor, E. O., & Nwuzor, C. I. (2026). Enhancing governance and performance in Nigerian higher education through AI-driven digital transformation: Insights from stakeholder perspectives. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 13, 102348.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.102348>
24. Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). Sage.
25. Ogunbunmi, S. T., & Adefabi, R. A. (2023). Effects of corruption on educational development in Nigeria: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Economics*, 6(2), 195-209. <https://iafee.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/Effects-of-Corruption-on-Educational-Development-in-Nigeria-An-Empirical-Analysis-Ogunbunmi-Adefabi-195-209.pdf>
26. Oluranti, A. Y. (2025). Corruption in Nigeria: A new paradigm for underdevelopment. *Islamic University Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(3), 610-631.
27. Opara, V., Ahiauzu, B. E. and Oladipupo, R. O. (2023). Awareness and use of big data management systems by lecturers in universities in Rivers State. *Library Philosophy and Practice*.
<https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=14974&context=libphilprac>
28. Selwyn, N. (2022). The future of AI and education: Some cautionary notes. *European Journal of Education*, 57(4), 620-631.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12532>
29. Sukare, B. M., & Abdullahi, A. (2025). Universal basic education and public administration in Nigeria: Policy implementation challenges and prospects. *Harvard International Journal of Research in Administrative Sciences*, 4(1).
<https://doi.org/10.70382/hijiras.v09i2.067>
30. Torfing, J., & Ansell, C. (2017). Strengthening political leadership and policy innovation through the expansion of collaborative forms of governance. *Public Management Review*, 19(1), 37-54.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2016.1200662>
31. UNESCO. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. Available at: <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/guidance-generative-ai-education-and-research>, [Accessed: 26 November 2025]
32. Uriri, C., & Mmom, C. P. C. (2025). Integration of artificial intelligence in educational leadership for sustainable development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Educational Development and Management*, 2(1), 19-35.
<https://ijedm.com/index.php/ijedm/article/view/19>
33. Wapmuk, S. and Amini, C. M. (2018). The impact of Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund) in funding public higher education in Lagos State. *West African Journal of Open and Flexible Learning*, 7(1).
<https://wajofel.org/index.php/wajofel/article/download/23/43?inline=1>
34. World Bank. (2015). Nigeria: Governance and finance analysis of the basic education sector. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/123131468195000690/pdf/ACS14245-WP-P153070-Box394836B-PUBLIC-Nigeria-Governance-and-Finance-Analysis-Dec30.pdf>
35. Yakub, A. M., & Audu, J. (2026). Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund), staff development and infrastructure facilities development in Nigerian tertiary institutions: A review paper.
<https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/703661-tertiary-education-trust-fund-tetfund-st-0e4a47e8.pdf>

36. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>