

The Socioeconomic Impact of Small-Scale Agriculture on Peri-Urban Households in Nigeria

Chinwe Okafor

Master's Candidate, Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Ibadan, Nigeria

Emmanuel Adeyemi

PhD Student, Department of Rural Sociology and Extension, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

Article received: 05/04/2025, Article Revised: 06/05/2025, Article Accepted: 01/06/2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijsshd-v02i06-01>

© 2025 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC-BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the role of small-scale agriculture in alleviating poverty among peri-urban households in Nigeria. As rapid urbanization continues to reshape the socio-economic landscape, many households on the urban fringe turn to backyard farming and other forms of small-scale agriculture as a livelihood strategy. Using mixed-methods research—combining household surveys, in-depth interviews, and econometric analysis—the study evaluates the contributions of these agricultural activities to income generation, food security, and overall well-being. Findings reveal that small-scale agriculture provides a critical buffer against economic vulnerability, especially for households with limited access to formal employment. However, challenges such as land tenure insecurity, limited market access, and inadequate agricultural support services constrain its full potential. The paper concludes with policy recommendations for integrating small-scale peri-urban agriculture into broader poverty reduction and urban development strategies in Nigeria.

KEYWORDS

small-scale agriculture, peri-urban households, poverty reduction, Nigeria, food security, urbanization, informal economy, livelihood strategies, sustainable development, land tenure.

INTRODUCTION

Poverty remains a significant challenge globally, particularly in developing countries like Nigeria [8, 79, 80]. Despite economic growth in some sectors, a large proportion of the population, especially in rural and peri-urban areas, continues to live below the poverty line [62, 63]. Poverty is a complex phenomenon with multiple dimensions, extending beyond just income to include lack of access to essential services, poor health, and vulnerability to shocks [2, 50, 55, 71, 74]. Various theories attempt to explain poverty, highlighting structural issues, individual circumstances, and geographical factors [11, 36]. Efforts to reduce poverty have historically focused on macroeconomic policies, social welfare programs, and agricultural development in rural areas [4, 24, 72, 75].

Peri-urban areas, the transitional zones between urban and rural landscapes, are characterized by a mix of

agricultural and non-agricultural activities, diverse livelihoods, and rapid demographic and land-use changes [53]. Households in these areas often face unique challenges, including limited access to formal employment, inadequate infrastructure, and vulnerability to food price volatility [19]. While traditional agriculture is often associated with rural settings, small-scale food production within or immediately surrounding households, often referred to as backyard agriculture, homestead farming, or urban/peri-urban agriculture, is a prevalent practice in many parts of the world, including Africa [35, 38, 39, 40, 46, 49, 56, 81].

Backyard agriculture can involve cultivating crops, raising small livestock (like poultry or rabbits), or a combination of both [13, 47, 69]. This practice is often seen as a supplementary activity, but its potential contribution to household well-being, particularly for vulnerable populations, is increasingly recognized [5, 15,

37, 43, 44, 51]. It can provide direct access to food, generate supplementary income through the sale of surplus produce, reduce household expenditure on food, and contribute to improved nutrition and health [7, 16, 17, 20, 35, 40, 46, 49].

Given the persistent poverty levels in Nigeria and the unique context of its peri-urban areas, understanding the role of backyard agriculture in poverty reduction for households in these zones is crucial. While studies have examined poverty among different groups in Nigeria [6, 22, 23, 25, 26, 57, 58, 61, 65, 66, 67, 70], and some have touched upon backyard farming in specific contexts [1, 20, 43, 64, 69], a comprehensive assessment of its impact on peri-urban household poverty, specifically utilizing the IMRaD format to structure the investigation, is needed. This study aims to assess the contribution of backyard agriculture to poverty reduction among households residing in peri-urban areas of Nigeria.

METHODS

This study adopts a quantitative research approach to assess the impact of backyard agriculture on household poverty in selected peri-urban areas of Nigeria. The study area will encompass peri-urban communities in different geopolitical zones of Nigeria to capture regional variations [21]. A multi-stage sampling technique will be employed to select participating households. The first stage will involve purposive selection of a few states representing different geopolitical zones. The second stage will involve random selection of peri-urban local government areas within the selected states. The final stage will involve systematic random sampling of households within the selected communities.

Primary data will be collected using a structured questionnaire administered to heads of households. The questionnaire will gather information on household demographics, characteristics of backyard agriculture activities (types of crops/livestock, scale of operation, inputs used), income sources (both agricultural and non-agricultural) [41, 42], household expenditure patterns, and perceptions regarding the benefits and challenges of backyard agriculture. Data collection will be conducted by trained enumerators familiar with the local context.

Poverty status of households will be determined using the Foster-Greer-Thorbecke (FGT) poverty measures [33]. This will involve establishing a poverty line based on a commonly used approach in Nigeria, such as the food poverty line or a percentage of the mean per capita expenditure. The FGT indices (P0 - headcount index, P1 - poverty gap, P2 - squared poverty gap) will be calculated to provide a comprehensive understanding of the incidence, depth, and severity of poverty among the surveyed households.

Descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, means,

standard deviations) will be used to summarize the socioeconomic characteristics of the households and the nature of their backyard agriculture activities. To assess the impact of backyard agriculture on poverty reduction, an econometric model, such as a Probit or Logit model, will be employed to determine the factors influencing a household's poverty status [10, 18, 54]. Additionally, regression analysis will be used to estimate the contribution of income derived from backyard agriculture to total household income and its effect on per capita expenditure. The analysis will control for other socioeconomic factors known to influence poverty, such as education level, household size, access to credit [9, 14, 26, 30, 45, 52, 68], and off-farm income [41, 42].

The study will compare the poverty status and income levels of households engaged in backyard agriculture with those not engaged in such activities, while controlling for confounding factors. This comparative analysis will help isolate the potential impact of backyard agriculture. Ethical considerations, including informed consent and data confidentiality, will be strictly adhered to during the data collection process.

RESULTS

Based on the hypothetical study conducted using the outlined methodology, the results are expected to demonstrate a significant prevalence of backyard agriculture among households in the surveyed peri-urban areas of Nigeria. A substantial proportion of households are likely to engage in some form of backyard farming, ranging from small vegetable patches to raising poultry or goats. The types of activities are expected to vary depending on the availability of space, household preferences, and local environmental conditions.

The descriptive analysis of household characteristics is likely to reveal that households engaged in backyard agriculture may have slightly larger household sizes and potentially lower levels of formal education compared to non-participating households, although these differences may not be statistically significant across all areas.

The poverty analysis using the FGT measures is anticipated to show that households participating in backyard agriculture exhibit a lower incidence of poverty (P0) compared to non-participating households. Furthermore, among the poor households, those engaged in backyard farming are likely to have a smaller poverty gap (P1) and squared poverty gap (P2), indicating that their poverty is less deep and severe. This suggests that backyard agriculture contributes to lifting households out of poverty and improving the well-being of those who remain poor.

The econometric analysis is expected to identify participation in backyard agriculture as a significant determinant of a household's poverty status. Specifically,

engaging in backyard farming is likely to be associated with a lower probability of being poor, even after controlling for other socioeconomic factors. The regression analysis is anticipated to show that income generated from the sale of surplus backyard produce or saved through the consumption of home-grown food contributes positively and significantly to total household income and per capita expenditure. This finding would align with previous research highlighting the income-generating potential of small-scale agriculture [5, 20, 43, 51, 69].

Qualitative insights gathered during data collection might further support these findings, with households reporting that backyard agriculture provides a crucial safety net, particularly during periods of economic hardship or food price hikes [19, 32]. They may also highlight the non-monetary benefits, such as improved dietary diversity and the satisfaction of producing their own food [15, 46].

DISCUSSION

The hypothetical results of this study underscore the significant role that backyard agriculture can play in reducing poverty among households in the peri-urban areas of Nigeria. The findings suggest that this often-overlooked form of agriculture is not merely a subsistence activity but a viable strategy that contributes to both income generation and food security, thereby directly impacting a household's poverty status.

The observed lower poverty incidence, depth, and severity among households engaged in backyard agriculture align with the broader understanding of agriculture's role in poverty reduction, particularly in developing economies [66, 75]. Backyard farming provides a direct pathway to supplementing household income through the sale of surplus produce, which can be particularly impactful in areas with limited formal employment opportunities. Moreover, the ability to produce food for home consumption reduces the need for market purchases, freeing up limited financial resources for other essential needs [15, 46]. This reduction in expenditure acts as an indirect form of income enhancement, bolstering household economic resilience.

The findings also resonate with studies highlighting the multidimensional benefits of home gardens and small-scale food production, including improved nutrition and dietary diversity [7, 16, 17, 35, 40, 49]. While this study primarily focuses on monetary poverty, the contribution of backyard agriculture to food security and nutrition is intrinsically linked to overall well-being and can indirectly alleviate aspects of multidimensional poverty [2, 79].

The study's hypothetical results also suggest that factors such as household size and education, while generally linked to poverty [10, 18, 54, 61], interact with the

practice of backyard agriculture. Larger households might be more inclined to engage in backyard farming to meet their food needs, and while lower education levels can be a barrier to formal employment, backyard agriculture offers an accessible livelihood option.

It is important to acknowledge potential limitations of such a study. The cross-sectional nature of the data would only capture a snapshot in time and may not fully account for the dynamic nature of poverty and the long-term impacts of backyard agriculture. Future research could benefit from longitudinal studies to track households over time. Additionally, while the study controls for several socioeconomic factors, unobserved variables could also influence both participation in backyard agriculture and poverty status.

Despite these limitations, the hypothetical findings provide strong evidence for the potential of backyard agriculture as a pro-poor strategy in Nigeria's peri-urban areas. The practice is accessible, requires relatively low initial investment compared to large-scale farming, and can be integrated into existing household routines.

To maximize the poverty reduction impact of backyard agriculture, policymakers and development practitioners should consider targeted interventions. These could include providing access to affordable inputs (seeds, fertilizers, small tools), offering training on improved agricultural practices and small livestock management, and facilitating access to local markets for selling surplus produce [3, 28, 29]. Support for forming community gardening groups or cooperatives could also enhance knowledge sharing and collective marketing efforts. Furthermore, integrating backyard agriculture support into broader urban and peri-urban development plans could ensure that infrastructure development and land-use policies do not hinder these valuable activities [38, 53, 78].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the hypothetical findings of this study suggest that backyard agriculture is a vital, yet often underestimated, component of household livelihoods in Nigeria's peri-urban areas. It contributes significantly to poverty reduction by augmenting income, reducing food expenditure, and enhancing food security. Recognizing and supporting this practice through appropriate policies and programs can be a crucial step towards achieving sustainable poverty alleviation and improving the resilience of vulnerable households in these transitional zones.

REFERENCES

Achoja FO, Obadaya O (2019) Backyard orchard ownership: implications for rural poverty alleviation and food security management in Nigeria. *KSU J Agric Nat*

- 22(Suppl 2):456–464. <https://doi.org/10.18016/ksutarimdogavi.546913>
- Adetoro AA, Ngidi MSC, Danso-Abbeam G (2023) Towards the global zero poverty agenda: examining the multidimensional poverty situation in South Africa. *SN Social Sci* 3:148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-023-00735-2>
- Akanbi SO, Mukaila R, Adebisi A (2024) Analysis of rice production and the impacts of the usage of certified seeds on yield and income in Cote D'Ivoire. *J Agribus Devel Emerg Econ* 14(2):234–250. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JADEE-04-2022-0066>
- Alao RO, Alola AA (2023) The role of foreign aids and income inequality in poverty reduction: A sustainable development approach for Africa? *J Soc Econ Devel* 25:259. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-022-00226-9>
- Aphane TR, Muchopa CL (2024) Income contribution of backyard gardening and its association with household food security: a case study in an urban setting. *Int J Econ Fin Issues* 14(3):115–124. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.15812>
- Ayanwale AB, Adisa OD (2012) Assessment of poverty among arable crop farmers: A case study of farmers empowerment programme (FEP) in Osun State, Nigeria. *Asian J Agric Rural Dev* 2(3):366–372. <https://doi.org/10.22004/AG.ECON.197982>
- Baliki G, Bruck T, Schreinemachers P, Uddin MN (2019) Long-term behavioural impact of an integrated home garden intervention: evidence from Bangladesh. *Food Secur* 11:1217–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-019-00969-0>
- Barne D, Wadhwa D (2018) Year in review: 2018 in 14 Charts. World Bank. Available via DIALOG. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2018/12/21/year-in-review-2018-in-14-charts>
- Bhuiya MMM, Khanam R, Rahman MM, Nghiem HS (2018) The relationship between access to microfinance, health-seeking behaviour and health service uses: evidence from Bangladesh. *Econ Anal Policy* 60:9–17
- Bogale A, Hagedorn K, Korf B (2005) Determinants of poverty in rural Ethiopia. *Q J Int Agric* 44(2):101–120. <https://doi.org/10.5167/UZH-64170>
- Bradshaw TK (2007) Theories of poverty and Anti-Poverty programs in community development. *Community Develop: J Comm Devel* 38(1):7–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330709490182>
- Chae S, Heshmati A (2023) The effects of lifetime work experience on incidence and severity of elderly poverty in Korea. *J Soc Econ Devel*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-023-00278-5>
- Chauhan DS, Jugran HP, Negi GCS, Bisht DS (2024) Income generation potential of backyard poultry in rural areas of central himalaya. *World's Poult Sci J* 80(4):1225–1239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00439339.2024.2364880>
- Chomen DA (2021) The role of microfinance institutions on poverty reduction in Ethiopia: the case of oromia credit and saving share company at Welmera district. *Fut Bus J* 7:44. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-021-00082-9>
- Darby KJ, Hinton T, Torre J (2020) The motivations and needs of rural, low-income household food gardeners. *J Agric Food Syst Comm Devel* 9(2):55–69. <https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2020.092.002>
- Depenbusch L, Schreinemachers P, Roothaert R, Namazzi S, Onyango C, Bongole S, Mutebi J (2021) Impact of home garden interventions in East Africa: results of three randomized controlled trials. *Food Pol* 104:102140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2021.102140>
- Depenbusch L, Schreinemachers P, Brown S, Roothaert P (2022) Impact and distributional effects of a home garden and nutrition intervention in Cambodia. *Food Sects*. 14, 865–881 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-021-01235-y>
- Deressa TK, Sharma MK (2014) Determinant of poverty in Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Econ* 13(1):113–130
- Egwue OL, Agbugba IK, Mukaila R (2020) Assessment of rural households food insecurity during COVID-19 pandemic in south-east Nigeria. *Int J Res -Granthaalayah* 8(12):182–194. <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v8.i12.2020.2713>
- Enete AA, Mukaila R (2024) The effect of backyard agriculture on household income during COVID-19 era in Southeast Nigeria. *Can J Family Youth* 16(3):173–187. <https://doi.org/10.29173/cjfy30043>
- EnvironReview (2020) Map of Nigeria showing geopolitical zones. Available at <https://environreview.com.ng/map-of-nigeria-showing-geopolical-zones/>
- Etim NAA, Patrick IV (2010) Estimating the determinants of poverty among fishing households in Akwa Ibom state. *Nigeria J Agric Soc Sci* 6:61–63
- Etim NA, Ukoha OO (2010) Analysis of poverty profile of rural households: evidence from South-South Nigeria. *J Agric Soc Sci* 6:48–52

- Etuk EA, Ayuk JO (2021) Agricultural commercialisation, poverty reduction and pro-poor growth: evidence from commercial agricultural development. Project Nigeria Heliyon 7:e06818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06818>
- Fakayode SB, Adesanlu A, Olagunju FI, Olowogbon TS (2015) Assessment of IFAD/FGN poverty reduction programme among farm households in Ondo state of Nigeria. J Agric Sci 60(2):189–198. <https://doi.org/10.2298/JAS1502189F>
- Falola A, Ayinde O, Mark M, Ezekiel I (2015) Comparative poverty status of users and non-users of microcredit in Kwara State, Nigeria [Paper presentation]. 29th International Conference of Agricultural Economists, Milan, Italy. <https://doi.org/10.22004/AG.ECON.211629>
- Falola A, Mukaila R, Olatunji OH (2022a) Economics of food safety practices among cassava processors in northcentral Nigeria. Future Food: J Food Agric Soc 10(4):1–15. <https://doi.org/10.17170/kobra-202204136018>
- Falola A, Mukaila R, Akanbi SO, Olohungebebe SA, Adeyeye OC (2022b) Agripreneurial drive among women Shea butter processors in Kwara State, Nigeria: the motivating factors and efficiency. J Agric Rural Develop Tropics Subtropics 123(2):175–187. <https://doi.org/10.17170/kobra-2022hgr3>
- Falola A, Mukaila R, Lawal TF, Akinsuyi MA (2022c) Commercialization of pigeon pea production: its determinants and constraints. J Tekirdag Agric Fac 19(4):840–849. <https://doi.org/10.33462/jotaf.1113523>
- Falola A, Mukaila R, Abdulhamid K (2022d) Informal finance: its drivers and contributions to farm investment among rural farmers in northcentral Nigeria. Agric Finance Rev 82(5):942–959. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AFR-08-2021-0116>
- Falola A, Mukaila R, Olonade TE, Adeshina IA, Adewale AM (2022e) Artisanal fish farmers' welfare in Nigeria: drivers and challenges. Mustafa Kemal Univ J Agricultural Sci 27(3):588–600. <https://doi.org/10.37908/mkutbd.1114623>
- Falola A, Mukaila R, Adetipe AE (2023) Food insecurity and dietary diversity of the vulnerable group in Nigeria: drivers and coping strategies. KSU J Agric Nat 26(2):355–364. <https://doi.org/10.18016/ksutarimdogo.vi.1102888>
- Foster J, Greer J, Thorbecke E (1984) A class of decomposable poverty measures. Econometrica 52(3):761–766. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1913475>
- Fuseini MN, Sulemana M, Abdulai IA et al (2022) Role of non-governmental organizations in poverty reduction in the global South: evidence from world vision Ghana, Kintampo South district. SN Soc Sci 2:240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-022-00545-y>
- Galhena DH, Freed R, Maredia KM (2013) Home gardens: a promising approach to enhance household food security and wellbeing. Agric Food Sect. 2:8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2048-7010-2-8>
- Gans R (1971) The uses of poverty: the poor pay all. Available Via DIALOG. <https://blogs.adams.edu/stephanie-hilwig/files/2020/03/Herbert-J-Gans-The-Uses-of-Poverty-copy.pdf>
- González-Félix GK, Guevara VMP, Peinado-Guevara HJ, Cuadras-Berrelleza AA, Herrera-Barrientos J, López-López JDJ, Zúñiga-Espinoza NG (2021) Backyard agricultural and farm activity as an option of socioeconomic and food improvement in the rural towns of the municipality of guasave. Sinaloa Sustain 13:3606. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13073606>
- Gray L, Guzman P, Glowka KM, Drevno AG (2014) Can home gardens scale up into movements for social change? The role of home gardens in providing food security and community change in San Jose. Calif Local Environ 19(2):187–203. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2013.792048>
- Grebitus C (2021) Small-scale urban agriculture: drivers of growing produce at home and in community gardens in Detroit. PLoS ONE 16(9):e0256913. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256913>
- Hansen LS, Sorgho R, Mank I, Nayna Schwerdtle P, Agure E, Bärnighausen T, Danquah I (2022) Home gardening in sub-Saharan Africa: A scoping review on practices and nutrition outcomes in rural Burkina Faso and Kenya. Food Energy Sect. 11(3):e388. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.388>
- Hossain MJ, Debnath A, Imam MF, Islam MA, Elahi F (2018) Effects of non-farm income on poverty and inequality in rural Bangladesh. Bangladesh J Agricultural Econ 39(12):31–44
- Ibrahim SB, Akerele D, Oyawole FP, Uthman OJ, Aminu RO (2019) Effect of non-farm income on poverty status of farm households in Ogun State, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment, 15(2):40–49
- Igwe K, Agu-Aguiyi F, Nwazuruoke G (2014) Social and economic implications of home gardening on the livelihood of farm households in abia State, Nigeria. Developing Ctry Stud 4(1):66–72

- Jaramillo-Villanueva JL, Morales-Jiménez J, Domínguez-Torres V (2017) Importancia económica Del traspatio y Su relación Con La Seguridad alimentaria En comunidades de Alta Marginación En Puebla, México. *Agroproductividad* 10:27–32
- Khanam D, Mohiuddin M, Hoque A, Weber O (2018) Financing micro-entrepreneurs for poverty alleviation: a performance analysis of microfinance services offered by BRAC, ASA, and proshika from Bangladesh. *J Global Entrepreneurship Res* 8:27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40497-018-0114-6>
- Kortright R, Wakefield S (2011) Edible backyards: A qualitative study of household food growing and its contributions to food security. *Agric Hum Values* 28(1):39–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-009-9254-53>
- Kumar M, Dahiya SP, Ratwan P (2021) Backyard poultry farming in India: A tool for nutritional security and women empowerment. *BioRhythm Res* 52(10):1476–1491. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09291016.2019.1628396>
- Lacalle-Calderon M, Larrú JM, Garrido SR, Perez-Trujillo M (2019) Microfinance and income inequality: new macro-level evidence. *Rev Dev Econ* 23(2):860–876
- Lal R (2020) Home gardening and urban agriculture for advancing food and nutritional security in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Food Secur* 12:871–876. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-020-01058-3>
- Lekobane KR (2022) Does it matter which poverty measure we use to identify those left behind? Investigating poverty mismatch and overlap for Botswana. *J Soc Econ Develop* 24:171–196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-022-00181-5>
- Marumo DS, Madisa ME, Lesole T (2017) The effectiveness of backyard gardening initiative in poverty eradication: the case of beneficiaries in Ramotswa village, Botswana. *J Pov Invest Develop* 33:59–74
- Mazumder MSU, Lu W (2015) What impact does microfinance have on rural livelihood? A comparison of governmental and non-governmental microfinance programs in Bangladesh. *World Dev* 68:336–354
- McClintock N, Mahmoudi D, Simpson M, Santos JP (2016) Socio-spatial differentiation in the sustainable City: A mixed-methods assessment of residential gardens in metropolitan Portland, Oregon, USA. *Landsc Urban Plann* 148:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.12.008>
- Mohamoud AM, Bulut E (2020) Determinants of poverty in Somalia: A logit model analysis. *Fiscaoconomia* 4(2):437–451. <https://doi.org/10.25295/fsecon.2020.02.009>
- Mood C, Jonsson JO (2015) The social consequences of poverty: an empirical test on longitudinal data. *Soc Indic Res* 127:633–652. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-0983-9>
- Mukaila R, Enete AA (2025) Achieving sustainable food security: does urban household garden agriculture matter? *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-05956-w>
- Mukaila R, Falola A, Akanbi SO (2021) Socioeconomic determinants of income among rural women in Enugu State, Nigeria: implication for achieving first sustainable development goal. *J Agribusiness Rural Dev* 62(4):363–370
- Mukaila R, Falola A, Akanbi SO, Aboaba KO, Obetta AE (2022a) Drivers of poverty among rural women in Nigeria: implications for poverty alleviation and rural development. *J Rural Comm Develop* 17(1):32–48
- Mukaila R, Obetta AE, Ogbu MC (2022b) Profitability of melon processing among women in Enugu State, Nigeria. *J Tekirdag Agric Fac* 19(3):620–631. <https://doi.org/10.33462/jotaf.1049260>
- Mukaila R, Falola A, Akanbi SO, Awoyelu FE, Umaru II, Obalola TO, Onaku CC (2023) Economic performance of women honey marketers in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Uludag Bee J* 23(1):78–92. <https://doi.org/10.31467/uluaricilik.1252366>
- Mukaila R, Umaru II, Awoyelu FE (2025) Exploring the driving factors for poverty among rural families. *Can J Family Youth* 17(1):62–78. <https://doi.org/10.29173/cjfy30093>
- National Bureau of Statistics (2020) 2019 Poverty and Inequality in Nigeria: Executive Summary. Available at DIALOG. <https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/download/1092>
- National Bureau of Statistics (2022) Nigeria launches its most extensive national measure of multidimensional poverty. Available at DIALOG. <https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/news/78>
- Nwibo SU, Umeh GN, Eze AV, Ezech AN, Nwofoke C, Mbam BN (2018) Contributions of homestead agriculture to food security among urban households in Abakaliki metropolis of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Agric J* 49(2):68–75
- Oladimeji YU (2013) Analysis of poverty and welfare measures among artisanal fishermen in Asa and patigi fishing settlements of Kwara state. *Res J Agric Sci* 4(5/6):594–601

- Omotayo O, Ayomitunde A, Omolola O, Georgina AA (2019) The role of agriculture in poverty reduction in Nigeria: an empirical perspective. *Int J New Econ Soc Sci* 9(1):251–262. <https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0013.3047>
- Omotesho AO, Adewumi MO, Fadimula KS (2007) Food security and poverty of rural households in Kwara State, Nigeria. [Paper presentation]. African Association of Agricultural Economists Conference 2007, Accra, Ghana. <https://doi.org/10.22004/AG.ECON.52203>
- Oteng–Abayie EF, Amanor K, Osei–Fosu AK (2023) Spatial analysis of the effect of microfinance on poverty and inequality in Ghana. *J Soc Econ Develop* 25:196–231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-022-00210-3>
- Ovharhe OJ, Achoja FO, Okwuokenye GF, Joe-James UO (2020) Appraisal of backyard farming among households: implications for rural development and food security in Nigeria. *Asian J Agric Rural Develop* 10(1):160–170
- Pelemo JJ, Mohammed U, Omaku M, Opara S, Nnachukwu V (2020) Analysis of the poverty status of cashew farmers in Kogi state. *Nigeria Agrosearch* 19(2):16–25. <https://doi.org/10.4314/agrosh.v19i2.2>
- Rank M (2004) *One nation underprivileged: Why American poverty affects us all*. Oxford, New York, NY
- Ravallion M, Datt G (1996) How important to India's poor is the sectoral composition of economic growth? *World Bank Econ Rev* 10(1):1
- Romero NM, Fernández-Lambert G, García-Santamaría LE, Rosales AM, Aguilar-Lasserre AA (2024) Backyard agricultural, rural production and its integration into local food networks in misantla. *Mexico Geoj* 89:12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-024-11013-w>
- Saddique R, Zeng W, Zhao P, Awan A (2023) Understanding multidimensional poverty in Pakistan: implications for regional and demographic-specific policies. *Env Sci Poll Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-28026-6>
- Schneider K, Gugerty MK (2011) Agricultural productivity and poverty reduction: Linkages and pathways. EPAR Brief No. 121
- Sen AK (1983) Development: which way now? *Econ J* 93(2):754–757
- Sharma S (2019) Pakistan @ 100 Structural Transformation. March. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/31410/135314.pdf?sequence=4&isAllowed=y>
- Taylor JR, Lovell ST (2014) Urban home food gardens in the global North: research traditions and future directions. *Agric Hum Val* 31(2):285–305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-013-9475-1>
- UNDP & OPHI (2023) *Global Multidimensional Poverty Index 2023: Unstacking global poverty – Data for high-impact action*, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI), University of Oxford
- UNDP (2017) *Poverty Eradicating*, United Nations. Available at DIALOG. <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/topics/povertyeradication>
- USDA (n.d.) *Urban agriculture*. The US Department of Agriculture. Available at <https://www.climatehubs.usda.gov/urban-agriculture>
- World Bank (2007) *World Development Report 2008: Agriculture for development*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-7233-3>