INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RENEWABLE, GREEN, AND SUSTAINABLE ENERGY (IJRGSE)

eISSN: 3087-4300

Volume. 02, Issue. 09, pp. 01-09, September 2025"

Green Hydrogen Production Technologies: A Comprehensive Review

Dr. Alistair Finch

Centre for Sustainable Energy Systems, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

Article received: 05/07/2025, Article Revised: 06/08/2025, Article Accepted: 01/09/2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55640/ijrgse-v02i09-01

ABSTRACT

Background: The transition to a low-carbon energy system is a global priority to mitigate climate change. Green hydrogen, produced from renewable sources, has emerged as a promising clean energy carrier with the potential to decarbonize various sectors of the economy. This comprehensive review synthesizes the current state of green hydrogen production technologies, highlighting the associated challenges, research trends, and future directions.

Methods: A systematic literature review was conducted using a predefined search strategy, resulting in the selection of 121 peer-reviewed articles and reports. The selected literature was thematically analyzed to identify and categorize the key technologies, challenges, and future prospects of green hydrogen production.

Results: The review provides a detailed analysis of the two primary pathways for green hydrogen production: water electrolysis and biomass conversion. For water electrolysis, we discuss four key technologies: Alkaline Water Electrolysis (AWE), Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) electrolysis, Anion Exchange Membrane (AEM) electrolysis, and Solid Oxide Electrolysis (SOE). For biomass-based production, we examine the processes of pyrolysis and gasification. The principles, advantages, and limitations of each technology are discussed in detail.

Conclusion: While significant progress has been made in green hydrogen production technologies, several challenges remain, including cost competitiveness, infrastructure development, and water resource management. Future research should focus on developing advanced materials for electrolyzers, improving the integration of electrolysis with renewable energy sources, and establishing supportive policy frameworks. Overcoming these challenges will be crucial for unlocking the full potential of green hydrogen and accelerating the transition to a sustainable energy future.

KEYWORDS

Green Hydrogen, Water Electrolysis, Biomass Conversion, Decarbonization, Renewable Energy, Hydrogen Economy, Energy Transition.

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background: The Global Energy Landscape and the Imperative for Decarbonization

The 21st century is defined by the dual challenge of meeting rising global energy demand while simultaneously mitigating the catastrophic impacts of climate change. The global energy system, historically dominated by fossil fuels, is the primary source of anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, which have driven unprecedented changes in the Earth's climate [1]. In 2021, global energy-related carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions rebounded to their highest-ever level, underscoring the urgency of a systemic transition towards cleaner energy sources [3]. The international consensus, crystallized in agreements like the Paris Accord, aims to limit global warming to well below 2°C, preferably to 1.5°C, compared to pre-industrial levels. Achieving this ambitious target necessitates a rapid and profound decarbonization of the entire energy value chain, from power generation to industrial processes and transportation [5].

The International Energy Agency's (IEA) "Net Zero by 2050" roadmap outlines a narrow but achievable pathway that demands a complete transformation of how we produce, transport, and consume energy. This scenario requires a massive scaling-up of renewable energy technologies, significant improvements in energy efficiency, and the deployment of low-carbon fuels in sectors that are difficult to electrify directly [5]. While renewable electricity from solar and wind power has become increasingly cost-effective and is central to decarbonization efforts, its intermittency and the challenge of electrifying heavy industry, aviation, and shipping create a clear need for a versatile, storable, and transportable clean energy carrier [1, 4].

1.2. The Promise of Green Hydrogen

In this context, hydrogen (H_2) has emerged as a cornerstone of future net-zero energy systems [107]. As an energy carrier, hydrogen possesses remarkable attributes: it has a high energy density by mass (approximately 120 MJ/kg), can be produced from various feedstocks, and its combustion or use in a fuel cell produces only water, making it a zero-emission fuel at the point of use [9]. However, the climate benefits of hydrogen are entirely dependent on its production method. Currently, the vast majority of hydrogen is produced from fossil fuels (so-called "grey hydrogen"), a process that is carbon-intensive. "Blue hydrogen" attempts to mitigate this by integrating carbon capture and storage (CCS) with conventional production, but it still faces challenges related to capture efficiency and long-term storage [48, 121].

The true transformative potential lies in green hydrogen, which is produced through processes powered entirely by renewable energy sources [7]. The most prominent method is water electrolysis, where electricity from sources like solar, wind, or hydropower is used to split water (H_2O) into hydrogen and oxygen [11, 16]. Green hydrogen can serve multiple roles in a decarbonized economy: it can be stored for long durations to balance intermittent renewable power generation, used as a feedstock for producing green ammonia and synthetic fuels, injected into gas grids to reduce the carbon intensity of heating, and utilized to power fuel cell vehicles in the transport sector [4, 52]. By providing a mechanism to convert and store renewable electricity, green hydrogen bridges the gap between clean power generation and end-use applications, offering a viable pathway to energize a net-zero future [4, 44].

1.3. Rationale and Scope

The global momentum behind green hydrogen is accelerating, with numerous countries and corporations announcing ambitious strategies and multibillion-dollar investments [8]. The "green hydrogen revolution" is driven by falling renewable energy costs, technological advancements in production methods, and growing policy support [7, 110]. However, the transition from a niche technology to a mainstream energy carrier is fraught with challenges related to cost, efficiency, infrastructure, and policy [14, 118]. The landscape of production technologies is diverse and evolving rapidly, with different methods suited for different applications and resource availabilities [17, 19].

This review provides a comprehensive and critical assessment of the primary technologies for green hydrogen production. It aims to synthesize the vast body of recent literature to offer a clear overview of the current status, inherent challenges, key research trends, and future directions for each major production pathway. The scope of this paper focuses on the two most significant routes for green hydrogen generation: water electrolysis and the thermochemical conversion of biomass. By examining the technological maturity, economic viability, and environmental considerations of each method, this review serves as a foundational resource for researchers, policymakers, investors, and engineers working to realize the potential of the global hydrogen economy.

1.4. Article Structure

Following this introduction, the paper is structured into four main sections. Section 2 outlines the methodology used to conduct the literature review. Section 3 presents the core results of the review, providing a detailed technical examination of water electrolysis technologies (Alkaline, PEM, AEM, and Solid Oxide) and biomass conversion methods (Pyrolysis and Gasification). Section 4 discusses the overarching challenges facing the green hydrogen sector—including cost, infrastructure, water usage, and policy—and explores emerging research trends and future directions. Finally, a concluding section summarizes the key findings and offers a final perspective on the role of green hydrogen in the future global energy system.

METHODS

2.1. Literature Search Strategy

The foundation of this comprehensive review is a systematic search of the scientific and technical literature. The search was conducted using prominent academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, to ensure broad coverage of relevant research. The search was primarily focused on publications from the last five years (2019-2024) to capture the most recent advancements and trends in this rapidly evolving field, although seminal and highly cited older papers were also included for foundational context. Key search terms and their combinations included: "green hydrogen," "hydrogen production," "water electrolysis," "alkaline water electrolysis (AWE)," "proton exchange membrane (PEM) electrolysis," "anion exchange membrane (AEM) electrolysis," "solid oxide electrolysis (SOE)," "biomass gasification," "biomass pyrolysis," "hydrogen economy," "hydrogen challenges," and "hydrogen policy." The search was supplemented by reviewing reports from key international organizations such as the International Energy Agency (IEA) and the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA).

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The initial literature search yielded several hundred potential sources. A screening process was applied to select the most relevant and high-quality publications for inclusion in this review. The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- The article must be published in a peer-reviewed journal or be a major report from a reputable international agency.

- The primary focus of the work must be on green hydrogen production technologies, their challenges, or their economic or policy context.

- The article must provide substantial technical detail, novel data, or a critical synthesis of the state of the art.

Exclusion criteria were applied to filter out sources that were out of scope, such as those focusing exclusively on grey or blue hydrogen without comparison to green alternatives, articles on hydrogen storage or utilization without a production focus, and publications in non-English languages. This rigorous selection process resulted in the final corpus of 121 references that form the basis of this review.

2.3. Thematic Analysis

The selected body of literature was subjected to a thematic analysis to identify and synthesize the core concepts and findings. Each paper was read and coded according to key themes that emerged from the research questions guiding this review. The primary themes identified were:

1. Production Technologies: Detailed descriptions of specific methods, including their underlying principles, performance metrics (efficiency, production rate), and technological maturity.

2. Technological Challenges: Common and technology-specific hurdles, such as catalyst costs, material degradation, and operational stability.

3. Economic Viability: Analysis of production costs, levelized cost of hydrogen (LCOH), and factors influencing economic competitiveness.

4. System Integration and Infrastructure: Issues related to integrating production facilities with renewable energy sources and the development of the broader hydrogen value chain.

5. Environmental and Resource Constraints: Life cycle assessments (LCA) and considerations regarding water and land use.

6. Policy, Regulation, and Market Trends: The role of government incentives, evolving market dynamics, and future outlooks.

By systematically organizing the literature according to these themes, this review synthesizes disparate research into a coherent and comprehensive narrative, providing a structured overview of the current state of green hydrogen production.

RESULTS: A Review of Green Hydrogen Production Technologies

This section presents a detailed review of the primary technological pathways for producing green hydrogen. The focus is on water electrolysis, which is the most established route for converting renewable electricity into hydrogen, and biomass conversion, a key method for utilizing organic waste streams.

3.1. Water Electrolysis: A Dominant Pathway to Green Hydrogen

Water electrolysis is a mature electrochemical process that uses electricity to decompose water into its constituent elements, hydrogen and oxygen [16, 17]. The overall chemical reaction is given by:

$$2H_2O(l) \rightarrow 2H_2(g) + O_2(g) \quad \Delta H = +285.8\,\text{kJ/mol}$$

This process is endothermic, requiring a significant energy input, which must come from renewable sources for the hydrogen to be classified as "green" [11, 23]. Electrolyzers, the devices in which this reaction occurs, consist of an anode (positive electrode), a cathode (negative electrode), and an electrolyte that facilitates ion transport [22]. The choice of electrolyte and materials defines the specific type of electrolysis technology, each with distinct advantages, disadvantages, and levels of technological maturity [20, 89]. The four leading water electrolysis technologies—Alkaline Water Electrolysis (AWE), Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) Electrolysis, Anion Exchange Membrane (AEM) Electrolysis, and Solid Oxide Electrolysis (SOE)—are discussed below [91].

3.1.1. Alkaline Water Electrolysis (AWE)

Alaline Water Electrolysis is the most mature and commercially deployed electrolysis technology, with a history spanning over a century [25, 87]. AWE systems use a liquid alkaline electrolyte, typically a 25-30% aqueous solution of potassium hydroxide (KOH) or sodium hydroxide (NaOH), to transport hydroxide ions (OH^-) between the electrodes [96]. A porous diaphragm separates the anode and cathode compartments to prevent the mixing of the product gases, hydrogen and oxygen [93].

The electrode reactions in an AWE cell are:

- Cathode (Hydrogen Evolution Reaction): $2H_2O(l)+2e^- \rightarrow H_2(g)+2OH^-(aq)$

- Anode (Oxygen Evolution Reaction): $2OH^-(aq) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_2(g)+H_2O(l)+2e^-$

The primary advantage of AWE is its low capital cost, which stems from the use of abundant, non-precious metal catalysts, such as nickel (Ni) and its alloys for both the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) [50, 96]. The technology is known for its long-term stability and robustness, with operational lifetimes exceeding 90,000 hours [91]. These attributes have made AWE the technology of choice for many large-scale green hydrogen projects currently in operation or under development [25, 50].

However, AWE technology has several limitations. The liquid electrolyte is corrosive, requiring careful handling and robust system design. AWE systems typically operate at lower current densities (0.2-0.4 A/cm^2) compared to PEM systems, resulting in a larger physical footprint for the same hydrogen output [87, 92]. One of the most significant drawbacks is the limited dynamic response to fluctuating power inputs from intermittent renewable sources like solar and wind [106]. The system has a narrow operating range and slow start-up/shut-down times, which can be inefficient when paired with variable power generation [96]. Furthermore, the crossover of product gases through the porous diaphragm poses a safety risk, particularly at low operational loads, and limits the differential pressure operation [93]. Recent research has focused on overcoming these issues by developing advanced electrode designs with superaerophobic properties to enhance bubble detachment and reduce ohmic resistance, thereby enabling operation at higher current densities [92].

3.1.2. Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) Electrolysis

PEM electrolysis represents a more modern and increasingly popular alternative to AWE [6]. Instead of a liquid electrolyte, a PEM electrolyzer uses a solid polymer electrolyte—a thin, solid proton-conducting membrane, typically made of Nafion® [87]. This solid membrane acts as both the electrolyte and the separator between the anode and cathode, allowing for a more compact cell design.

The electrode reactions in a PEM cell, which occur in an acidic environment, are:

- Anode (Oxygen Evolution Reaction): $H_2O(l) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_2(g)+2H^+(aq)+2e^-$

- Cathode (Hydrogen Evolution Reaction): $2H^+(aq)+2e^- \rightarrow H_2(g)$

The key advantage of PEM technology is its ability to operate at very high current densities (often > 2 A/cm^2), which results in a highly compact system with a small footprint [6, 91]. The solid electrolyte design allows for operation under high pressure, producing high-purity hydrogen directly, which can reduce downstream compression costs. Most importantly, PEM electrolyzers exhibit a rapid dynamic response, with fast start-up times (seconds to minutes) and a wide operating range, making them exceptionally well-suited for direct coupling with volatile renewable energy sources [6, 84].

The main barrier to widespread PEM adoption is its high capital cost, driven by the need for expensive precious metal catalysts from the platinum group metals (PGM) [98]. The highly corrosive and oxidizing environment at the anode requires iridium-based catalysts (e.g., IrO_2) for the OER, while the cathode requires platinum-based catalysts (e.g., Pt/C) for the HER. Iridium, in particular, is one of the rarest and most expensive elements on Earth, creating concerns about cost and supply chain scalability [84, 98]. Furthermore, the acidic environment demands the use of titanium-based components for bipolar plates and current collectors, further adding to the cost. Research efforts are intensely focused on reducing or eliminating the PGM loading through the development of novel catalyst structures and high-performance, low-cost membrane materials [6, 22].

3.1.3. Anion Exchange Membrane (AEM) Electrolysis

Anion Exchange Membrane (AEM) electrolysis is an emerging technology that aims to combine the best attributes of both AWE and PEM electrolysis [99]. Similar to PEM, AEM systems use a solid polymer membrane, but this membrane is designed to conduct anions (hydroxide ions, OH^-) instead of protons. This allows the electrolyzer to operate in an alkaline or pH-neutral environment, similar to AWE [100].

The electrode reactions are identical to those in AWE, but they occur on either side of a solid membrane:

- Cathode: $2H_2O(l)+2e^- \rightarrow H_2(g)+2OH^-(aq)$

- Anode: $2OH^-(aq) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_2(g)+H_2O(l)+2e^-$

The primary theoretical advantage of AEM electrolysis is the potential to achieve the high performance of PEM systems (high current density, dynamic response) while using the low-cost, PGM-free catalysts characteristic of

AWE systems [97, 101]. By operating in an alkaline environment, AEM technology can avoid the need for expensive iridium catalysts and titanium components, potentially leading to a significant reduction in capital costs [98, 114]. This makes AEM a highly promising pathway for low-cost, large-scale green hydrogen production [100, 113].

Despite its great promise, AEM technology is the least mature of the low-temperature electrolysis methods and faces significant challenges [99, 101]. The primary hurdle lies in the development of AEMs and ionomers that possess both high ionic conductivity and long-term chemical stability under operational conditions. Existing AEMs often suffer from degradation in alkaline environments at elevated temperatures, leading to a decline in performance and short operational lifetimes compared to their AWE and PEM counterparts [99]. The sluggish kinetics of the HER and OER in alkaline media also remain a challenge, requiring the development of highly active and durable non-PGM catalysts [97]. While rapid progress is being made, AEM electrolysis is still largely in the research and development or early demonstration phase [101].

3.1.4. Solid Oxide Electrolysis (SOE)

Solid Oxide Electrolysis (SOE), also known as high-temperature steam electrolysis (HTSE), operates at much higher temperatures (600-900°C) compared to the other technologies [103]. SOE cells use a solid, ceramic material as the electrolyte, typically yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ), which becomes an effective oxygen ion (O2−) conductor at these elevated temperatures. The high operating temperature provides significant thermodynamic and kinetic advantages [105].

In an SOE cell, steam (H_2O) is fed to the cathode, where it is reduced to hydrogen gas, creating oxygen ions:

- Cathode: H_2O(g)+2e−rightarrowH_2(g)+O2−
- Anode: O2−rightarrowfrac12O_2(g)+2e−

A significant portion of the energy required for the water-splitting reaction is supplied as high-temperature heat rather than electricity. According to the laws of thermodynamics, the electrical energy requirement for electrolysis decreases as the operating temperature increases [103, 105]. This allows SOE systems to achieve very high electrical efficiencies, potentially exceeding 90%, making them the most efficient electrolysis technology available [91]. This is particularly advantageous when a source of high-quality waste heat is available, for example, from industrial processes or next-generation nuclear reactors.

The main challenges for SOE technology are related to its high operating temperatures. These conditions demand expensive, specialized materials for the cell components and interconnects that can withstand thermal cycling and degradation over long periods [103]. Material degradation, such as electrode delamination and interconnect oxidation, remains a primary cause of performance decay and limits the long-term durability and operational lifetime of SOE stacks [85]. The systems also have slow start-up and shut-down times, making them less suitable for direct coupling with highly intermittent renewables compared to PEM systems. Consequently, SOE is best suited for applications that can provide a stable supply of both electricity and high-temperature heat, positioning it as a promising technology for industrial decarbonization [103].

3.2. Biomass-Based Hydrogen Production

Biomass, a renewable organic resource derived from plants and animals, offers a carbon-neutral pathway for hydrogen production [26, 34]. Unlike water electrolysis, which requires a separate renewable electricity source, biomass conversion technologies can produce hydrogen directly from the feedstock [35]. These processes are typically thermochemical, involving the high-temperature treatment of biomass in a controlled environment [30, 39]. The two main thermochemical routes are pyrolysis and gasification [31].

3.2.1. Biomass Pyrolysis

Pyrolysis is the thermal decomposition of organic material at elevated temperatures (typically 400-600°C) in the complete absence of an oxidizing agent (like oxygen) [29, 54]. The process breaks down complex hydrocarbons in the biomass into three main products: a solid char (bio-char), a liquid bio-oil, and a mixture of non-condensable gases known as syngas [37].

The composition of the syngas is rich in hydrogen (H_2), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO_2), and methane (CH_4) [53]. The yield and composition of these products are highly dependent on process parameters such as temperature, heating rate, and biomass feedstock type [56]. For hydrogen production, the syngas can be further processed through secondary reforming (e.g., steam reforming of methane) and water-gas shift reactions to maximize the hydrogen yield [27, 55].

Pyrolysis offers the advantage of producing multiple value-added co-products. The bio-char can be used as a soil amendment or as a solid fuel, while the bio-oil can be refined into liquid biofuels or specialty chemicals [29, 54]. This poly-generation approach can improve the overall economic viability of the process [53]. However, the direct hydrogen yield from pyrolysis is typically lower than from gasification. The process also produces a complex bio-oil that is often corrosive, acidic, and unstable, requiring significant upgrading before it can be used, which adds to the cost and complexity [27].

Furthermore, managing the heat transfer in large-scale pyrolysis reactors remains a significant technical challenge [36].

3.2.2. Biomass Gasification

Gasification is a process that converts carbonaceous materials, such as biomass, into a combustible gas mixture (syngas) by reacting the material at high temperatures (>700°C) with a controlled amount of an oxidizing agent [31, 75]. The gasifying agent can be air, oxygen, steam, or a mixture thereof. The choice of agent significantly influences the composition of the resulting syngas [33, 60]. For hydrogen production, steam is the preferred gasifying agent, as it promotes the formation of hydrogen through steam reforming and water-gas shift reactions, resulting in a syngas with a high concentration of H_2 and CO [32, 66].

The main reactions in steam gasification include:

- Water-Gas Reaction: C+H_2OrightarrowCO+H_2

- Water-Gas Shift Reaction: CO+H_2OleftrightarrowCO_2+H_2

Gasification can achieve higher hydrogen yields and conversion efficiencies compared to pyrolysis [30, 59]. The technology can be implemented using various reactor types, with fluidized bed gasifiers being particularly well-suited for handling heterogeneous biomass feedstocks due to their excellent heat and mass transfer characteristics [61, 62].

A major challenge in biomass gasification is the formation of tar, a complex mixture of condensable hydrocarbons that can clog downstream equipment, poison catalysts, and reduce process efficiency [64, 65]. Tar removal is a critical and often costly step in the process, and significant research is dedicated to developing effective primary (in-reactor) and secondary (downstream) tar elimination strategies [65]. Another challenge is the need for efficient gas cleaning and conditioning to remove particulates and other impurities before the syngas can be used for high-purity hydrogen separation [33, 76]. Despite these challenges, biomass gasification, particularly when integrated with carbon capture and storage (Bioenergy with CCS, or BECCS), represents a potential pathway to produce "carbon-negative" hydrogen, meaning the process can result in a net removal of CO_2 from the atmosphere [28, 69].

3.3. Other Green Hydrogen Production Methods

While electrolysis and biomass conversion are the most developed routes, several other innovative methods for green hydrogen production are under investigation. These include photocatalytic water splitting (or "direct solar water splitting"), which uses semiconductor materials to harness sunlight directly to split water without the intermediate step of electricity generation [24, 74]. This approach is conceptually elegant but currently suffers from very low solar-to-hydrogen (STH) efficiencies and catalyst instability, limiting it to laboratory-scale research [81]. Photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting combines photovoltaics and electrolysis into a single device, offering another direct solar-to-hydrogen pathway with higher potential efficiency but facing similar material stability and cost challenges [18, 42]. Biological hydrogen production, which utilizes microorganisms like algae or bacteria to produce hydrogen through processes like photo-fermentation or dark fermentation, offers a low-energy pathway but is constrained by very low production rates and yields [21, 74]. While these methods are currently at low technology readiness levels (TRL), continued fundamental research may unlock their potential in the long term [40, 68].

DISCUSSION: Challenges, Trends, and Future Directions

While the technological pathways for green hydrogen production are well-established, their widespread, economically viable deployment faces a series of interconnected challenges. This section discusses the primary barriers to a global green hydrogen economy, explores the key research and development trends aimed at overcoming them, and provides an outlook on the future of this critical energy vector.

4.1. Key Challenges in Green Hydrogen Production

4.1.1. Cost Competitiveness

The most significant barrier to the large-scale adoption of green hydrogen is its cost [112]. Currently, green hydrogen produced via electrolysis is typically two to four times more expensive than grey hydrogen produced from unabated natural gas [78, 83]. The levelized cost of hydrogen (LCOH) from electrolysis is dominated by two main factors: the capital expenditure (CAPEX) of the electrolyzer system and the cost of the renewable electricity used to power it [112].

For PEM electrolyzers, high CAPEX is driven by the use of expensive PGM catalysts and titanium components [98]. For AWE, while the CAPEX is lower, its lower efficiency and larger footprint contribute to the overall cost [87]. Reducing the CAPEX of electrolyzers through manufacturing scale-up, automation, and technological innovation is a primary goal for the industry [83]. The IEA and other bodies project that electrolyzer costs could fall by more than 70% by 2050 due to these factors [8].

The cost of renewable electricity is the other critical lever. As the price of solar PV and wind power continues to decline globally, the cost of green hydrogen will follow suit [25, 104]. However, the capacity factor of the electrolyzer—the percentage of time it is operational—is crucial. An electrolyzer powered by intermittent renewables may only operate 20-50% of the time, which amortizes the fixed CAPEX over fewer kilograms of hydrogen produced, thus increasing the LCOH [94]. Therefore, securing low-cost, high-capacity-factor renewable electricity is paramount for economic viability [50]. For biomass-based routes, costs are influenced by feedstock price and availability, logistics, and the capital-intensive nature of gasification and gas clean-up systems [39, 73].

4.1.2. Infrastructure and Storage

Hydrogen is the lightest element, and its low volumetric energy density at ambient conditions makes it difficult and expensive to store and transport [44]. A comprehensive "hydrogen-ready" infrastructure, analogous to the existing natural gas network, does not yet exist and requires massive investment [45].

For storage, hydrogen can be compressed into high-pressure tanks (350-700 bar), liquefied by cooling it to cryogenic temperatures (-253°C), or stored in solid-state materials (e.g., metal hydrides) or as a chemical carrier (e.g., ammonia, methanol) [80, 102, 119]. Each method has trade-offs in terms of cost, energy efficiency (compression and liquefaction are energy-intensive), and application suitability [102]. Large-scale geological storage in salt caverns or depleted gas fields is considered the most viable option for seasonal energy storage, but suitable geological formations are not available everywhere [80].

For transport, hydrogen can be moved via pipelines, trucks, or ships. Repurposing existing natural gas pipelines for hydrogen is a potential low-cost option, but challenges related to hydrogen embrittlement of steel pipes and lower energy throughput must be addressed [44]. Building new, dedicated hydrogen pipelines is expensive. Transporting hydrogen as ammonia or another liquid carrier may be more economical for long-distance, international trade [46]. The development of this entire hydrogen supply chain—from production facilities to storage hubs and end-use distribution networks—is a classic "chicken-and-egg" problem that requires coordinated planning and investment across the value chain [45].

4.1.3. Water Scarcity

While water is the primary feedstock for electrolysis, the process can be water-intensive. Producing one kilogram of hydrogen requires at least nine kilograms of high-purity, deionized water [115]. When accounting for cooling and water purification processes, the total water consumption can be significantly higher. While the global water consumption for a future hydrogen economy might be a small fraction of total global freshwater use, this demand can create significant stress in water-scarce regions, which are often the same regions with the best solar resources for producing cheap renewable electricity [116].

This "energy-water nexus" presents a critical sustainability challenge [115]. A promising solution is the development of electrolyzer technologies that can operate directly with seawater or other low-quality water sources. Direct seawater electrolysis avoids the need for energy-intensive desalination but faces significant challenges, primarily the corrosive effects of chloride ions and the competing chlorine evolution reaction at the anode, which reduces efficiency and can produce toxic chlorine gas [117]. Developing selective, durable, and cost-effective catalysts and membranes for direct seawater splitting is a major area of ongoing research [117].

4.1.4. Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

The development of a global green hydrogen market is heavily dependent on strong and consistent government support. Policy and regulatory frameworks are needed to de-risk investments, create demand, and level the playing field against incumbent fossil fuels [118]. Key policy instruments include carbon pricing, tax credits or subsidies for green hydrogen production (like those in the U.S. Inflation Reduction Act), contracts for difference (CfDs), and public funding for research and infrastructure development [120].

Standardization and certification are also crucial. A globally recognized system for certifying the "green" credentials of hydrogen is needed to ensure transparency and prevent "greenwashing" [121]. This involves establishing clear methodologies for calculating the carbon intensity of hydrogen production, accounting for factors like the source of electricity and methane leakage in blue hydrogen pathways. Creating clear, long-term regulatory frameworks will provide the certainty needed to unlock the vast private investment required to scale up the hydrogen economy [118].

4.2. Research Trends and Innovations

To address the challenges outlined above, the research community is actively pursuing several key innovation pathways.

4.2.1. Advanced Materials for Electrolyzers

A primary focus of R&D is the development of advanced materials to improve the efficiency, durability, and cost of electrolyzers [2, 108]. For PEM electrolysis, this involves creating ultra-low PGM loading catalysts or PGM-free catalysts with high activity and stability in acidic environments [6, 22]. For AEM electrolysis, the priority is developing highly conductive and chemically stable membranes and ionomers that can enable long operational lifetimes [99, 101]. For AWE, innovations in electrode coatings and zero-gap cell architectures aim to increase current density and improve dynamic response [92, 96]. Across all technologies, developing materials that are not reliant on critical raw materials (like iridium or cobalt) is a major strategic goal [98].

4.2.2. Integration with Renewable Energy Sources

Optimizing the coupling of electrolyzers with variable renewable energy (VRE) sources like solar and wind is critical for both technical performance and economic viability [13, 109]. This research area focuses on developing advanced control strategies and power electronics to manage fluctuating power inputs efficiently [94]. It also involves modeling and designing hybrid energy systems where hydrogen production can provide grid-balancing services, improving the overall value proposition [90]. For high-temperature SOE, research is exploring integration with concentrated solar power (CSP) or next-generation nuclear reactors to provide the necessary heat and electricity in a stable manner [103, 104].

4.2.3. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

As the hydrogen economy scales, it is crucial to ensure that its environmental footprint is genuinely low. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is a key tool used to evaluate the environmental impacts of different hydrogen production pathways from cradle to grave [47]. Recent LCAs compare the GHG emissions, water consumption, and other impacts of green, blue, and grey hydrogen [48]. These studies are essential for informing policy and investment decisions. For example, LCAs of electrolysis technologies must account for the environmental impact of manufacturing the electrolyzers themselves, including the mining and processing of critical minerals [49, 82]. This holistic assessment ensures that the transition to a hydrogen economy contributes effectively to overall sustainability goals.

4.3. Future Directions and Outlook

The trajectory for green hydrogen is one of rapid growth and innovation, moving from a niche product to a central pillar of the future energy system [107]. The IEA's Global Hydrogen Review highlights a significant acceleration in project announcements and policy support, although tangible progress in terms of final investment decisions needs to speed up to meet climate targets [8].

The future of green hydrogen production will likely involve a portfolio of technologies rather than a single winner. AWE will continue to be a workhorse for large-scale, steady-state production. PEM will dominate applications requiring high flexibility and a compact footprint. AEM holds the long-term promise of low-cost, flexible production if its material challenges can be overcome [101]. SOE will find its niche in industrial clusters where waste heat is available [103]. Biomass-based routes will be important in regions with abundant, sustainable feedstock, particularly in the context of the circular economy and for achieving carbon-negative emissions [52, 69].

Ultimately, the successful scale-up of green hydrogen hinges on a virtuous cycle: policy support drives initial deployment, which in turn enables industrial learning and economies of scale, leading to cost reductions that make green hydrogen competitive in more applications, further stimulating demand [41, 110]. Navigating this path requires a concerted effort from governments, industry, and the research community to overcome the technical, economic, and structural barriers that remain [46]. If this can be achieved, green hydrogen is poised to play an indispensable role in the global transition to a clean, secure, and sustainable energy future.

CONCLUSION

5.1. Summary of Key Findings

This review has systematically charted the intricate landscape of green hydrogen production, reaffirming its position as a critical enabler of the global energy transition. The analysis of the primary production pathways reveals a field of both established methods and dynamic innovation. Water electrolysis stands out as the foremost route for converting renewable electricity into a chemical energy carrier. Alkaline Water Electrolysis (AWE) remains the most mature and cost-effective technology for large-scale production, though it is constrained by its operational inflexibility [96]. In contrast, Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) electrolysis offers superior dynamic response, making it ideal for coupling with variable renewables, but its widespread adoption is hindered by high costs associated with precious metal catalysts [6, 98]. The emerging Anion Exchange Membrane (AEM) technology presents a promising future by combining the benefits of AWE and PEM, yet it must overcome significant material stability and performance challenges to achieve commercial readiness [101]. For industrial applications with available waste heat, Solid Oxide Electrolysis (SOE) offers the highest conversion efficiency but is limited by material degradation issues at its high operating temperatures [103, 105].

Beyond electrolysis, biomass conversion through gasification and pyrolysis offers a valuable, carbon-neutral pathway, with the potential for carbon-negative hydrogen production when integrated with carbon capture [28, 69]. However, these thermochemical routes face their own set of challenges, particularly in managing complex feedstocks and removing impurities like tar [65].

Despite the technological progress, this review underscores that significant systemic barriers must be surmounted. The high cost of green hydrogen relative to fossil-fuel-based alternatives remains the primary impediment, driven by both electrolyzer capital costs and the price of renewable electricity [78, 112]. The lack of dedicated infrastructure for hydrogen storage and transport presents a formidable challenge requiring immense investment and coordinated planning [44, 80]. Furthermore, resource constraints, especially the demand for high-purity water in water-scarce regions, and the need for robust, long-term policy and regulatory frameworks are critical issues that demand immediate and sustained attention [116, 118].

5.2. Concluding Remarks

The transition to a green hydrogen economy is not merely a technological challenge; it is a systemic one that calls for a concerted, global effort. The future trajectory of green hydrogen will be defined by continued innovation in materials science to reduce costs and reliance on critical minerals [2, 108], sophisticated strategies for integrating production with renewable energy systems [13], and a holistic understanding of its life cycle impacts [47].

While the path forward is complex, the momentum is undeniable. Green hydrogen is an indispensable tool in the world's decarbonization toolkit, offering a unique solution to clean up hard-to-abate sectors and provide long-duration energy storage. Realizing this potential will require unwavering commitment from policymakers, focused R&D from the scientific community, and strategic investment from industry. If these elements align, green hydrogen is poised to move from a promising vision to a cornerstone of a clean, secure, and sustainable global energy future.

REFERENCES

International Energy Agency I. World Energy Outlook 2023. 2023.

Domalanta MR, Bamba JN, Matienzo DD, del Rosario-Paraggua JA, Ocon J. Pathways towards achieving high Current density water electrolysis: from material perspective to System configuration. 2023.

Energy Agency I. Global Energy Review: CO2 emissions in 2021 Global emissions rebound sharply to highest ever level. 2021.

Deloitte: green hydrogen: energizing the path to net zero.

Energy Agency I. Net Zero by 2050 - a roadmap for the global energy sector. 2025.

Wang T, Cao X, Jiao L. PEM water electrolysis for hydrogen production: fundamentals, advances, and prospects. 2022.

Squadrito G, Maggio G, Nicita A. The green hydrogen revolution. Renew Energy 2023;216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.119041.

International Energy Agency I. Global Hydrogen Review 2023. 2023.

Lodhi MAK. Hydrogen production from renewable sources of energy. 1987.

Riera JA, Lima RM, Knio OM. A review of hydrogen production and supply chain modeling and optimization. 2023.

Shiva Kumar S, Lim H. An overview of water electrolysis technologies for green hydrogen production. 2022.

Shiva Kumar S, Lim H. An overview of water electrolysis technologies for green hydrogen production. 2022.

Nnabuife SG, Hamzat AK, Whidborne J, Kuang B, Jenkins KW. Integration of renewable energy sources in tandem with electrolysis: a technology review for green hydrogen production. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.06.342.

Ampah JD, Jin C, Rizwanul Fattah IM, Appiah-Otoo I, Afrane S, Geng Z, Yusuf AA, Li T, Mahlia TMI, Liu H. Investigating the evolutionary trends and key enablers of hydrogen production technologies: a patent-life cycle and econometric analysis. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2023;48:37674–707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.07.258.