INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NEXT-GENERATION ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

CAPACITANCE BIOSENSORS FOR THE RAPID DETECTION OF ESCHERICHIA COLI IN WATER

Dr. Arjun Prakash Nair
Department of Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi, India

Dr. Nurul Syafiqah Binti Hassan
Institute of Microengineering and Nanoelectronics (IMEN), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Malaysia

Prof. Chen Wei Liang, PhD
School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University (NTU), Singapore

Published Date: 19 December 2024 // Page no.:- 12-16

ABSTRACT

Ensuring the safety of drinking water and environmental water sources is a critical public health priority, with microbial contamination, particularly by fecal indicator bacteria like Escherichia coli (E. coli), posing significant risks. Traditional methods for detecting E. coli are often time-consuming, labor-intensive, and require specialized laboratory facilities, hindering rapid response to contamination events. This article explores the potential of capacitance biosensors as a rapid, label-free, and sensitive alternative for E. coli detection in water. The introduction highlights the importance of water quality monitoring and the limitations of current detection techniques. The methods section details the fundamental principles of impedance/capacitance microbiology and the design considerations for capacitance biosensors tailored for bacterial detection. The results synthesize current research demonstrating the efficacy of these biosensors in real-time monitoring of bacterial activity and specific pathogen identification. The discussion interprets the advantages and challenges of capacitance biosensors, emphasizing their potential for decentralized, on-site water quality assessment, and outlines future directions for research and development to achieve widespread adoption.

Keywords: Escherichia coli, Water Quality, Capacitance Biosensor, Rapid Detection, Impedance Microbiology, Label-free, Biosensing.

INTRODUCTION

Access to safe and clean water is fundamental for human health and sustainable development. However, water sources are frequently subjected to contamination by pathogenic microorganisms, with fecal contamination being a primary concern [1]. Escherichia coli (E. coli) is a widely recognized fecal indicator bacterium whose presence in water signals potential contamination with fecal matter and, consequently, the possible presence of more virulent pathogens [1]. Rapid and accurate detection of E. coli is therefore paramount for safeguarding public health, enabling timely intervention to prevent waterborne disease outbreaks.

Traditional methods for detecting microbial pathogens in water, such as plate counting (culture-based methods), polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and immunological assays, have been the gold standard for decades [2, 3, 4]. While reliable, these methods are often characterized by significant drawbacks: they are typically time-consuming, requiring incubation periods that can extend to several days for culture-based methods [2]; they often necessitate complex sample preparation and specialized laboratory equipment, making on-site or rapid analysis difficult [2, 3]; and they may involve the use of expensive reagents or labeling steps [4]. These limitations hinder the ability to provide real-time information on water quality, which is crucial for effective risk management in environmental monitoring and public health emergencies.

In response to these challenges, there has been a growing interest in developing novel biosensor technologies for rapid microbial detection [2]. Biosensors offer the promise of miniaturization, portability, high sensitivity, and real-time analysis, bridging the gap between rapid screening and comprehensive laboratory confirmation. Among various biosensing platforms, electrical and electrochemical impedance-based biosensors, particularly those leveraging capacitance changes, have emerged as highly promising candidates for label-free bacterial detection [6, 17, 18]. These biosensors exploit the inherent electrical properties of microorganisms and their interactions with a sensing surface. This article aims to explore the principles, current status, and future prospects of capacitance biosensors for the rapid and efficient detection of Escherichia coli in water, highlighting their potential to revolutionize water quality monitoring.

2. Methods

The development of capacitance biosensors for Escherichia coli detection in water relies on the fundamental principles of impedance microbiology and the precise engineering of sensing interfaces. This section

outlines the conceptual approach, encompassing the scientific basis of capacitance changes in response to bacterial presence and the design considerations for fabricating such biosensors.

2.1. Principles of Impedance and Capacitance Microbiology

Bacteria possess inherent electrical properties, including their cellular dielectric properties, conductivity, and the ability to alter the ionic composition of their surrounding medium as they metabolize and grow [7, 8, 10]. Impedance microbiology leverages these changes to detect and enumerate microorganisms [10, 11, 12, 13]. When bacteria are present in a liquid medium, they can cause measurable changes in the electrical impedance of the medium, which is a measure of the opposition to the flow of alternating current [5, 6]. Impedance consists of two components: resistance (due to ionic conductivity) and reactance (due to capacitance or inductance) [5].

For capacitance biosensors, the primary focus is on changes in the capacitive component of the impedance. This change can arise from several mechanisms:

- Dielectric Properties of Cells: Bacterial cells themselves have distinct dielectric properties compared to the surrounding aqueous medium [8, 9]. When cells bind to an electrode surface or grow within an electrode gap, they alter the overall dielectric constant of the sensing region, leading to a change in capacitance [15].
- Electrode-Electrolyte Interface: The accumulation of bacteria or their metabolic byproducts on or near an electrode surface can modify the electrical double layer at the electrode-electrolyte interface, which has a significant capacitive component [6].
- Changes in Medium Conductivity: As bacteria metabolize substrates in a growth medium, they produce charged metabolic byproducts (e.g., from sugars to organic acids), leading to changes in the overall ionic conductivity of the medium. While primarily affecting resistance, this can also indirectly influence the overall impedance and, in some setups, the capacitive response [14].

2.2. Capacitance Biosensor Design and Operation for E. coli Detection

A typical capacitance biosensor for bacterial detection comprises three key elements: a recognition element, a transducer, and a signal processing unit.

- Recognition Element (Bio-recognition Layer): To achieve specificity for E. coli, the biosensor must incorporate a biological recognition element immobilized on the sensing surface that selectively binds to E. coli cells. Common choices include:

o Antibodies: Highly specific antibodies against E. coli surface antigens can be immobilized on the electrode surface.

o Phages: Bacteriophages are viruses that specifically infect bacteria. Phages engineered to recognize E. coli can be immobilized to bind and concentrate the target bacteria [16].

o Aptamers: These are synthetic oligonucleotide (DNA or RNA) sequences that can bind to specific target molecules or cells with high affinity and selectivity. Aptamer-functionalized sensors offer advantages in terms of stability and ease of synthesis [18].

o Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs): Synthetic receptors designed to mimic natural recognition elements.

The choice of recognition element is crucial for the sensor's sensitivity and selectivity, especially in complex water samples containing diverse microbial populations.

- Transducer (Capacitive Electrode Array): The transducer converts the biological binding event into an electrical signal, specifically a change in capacitance. This typically involves:

o Interdigitated Electrodes (IDEs): These are commonly used planar microelectrode structures consisting of two interleaved comb-like electrodes fabricated on an insulating substrate (e.g., silicon, glass) [9]. The capacitance between these electrodes is highly sensitive to changes in the dielectric properties of the material or solution between them.

o CMOS-based Sensors: Advanced designs leverage complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology to integrate the capacitive sensing elements with on-chip amplification and signal processing circuitry [15, 16]. Differential capacitive sensors can be employed to enhance sensitivity and reject common-mode noise [15].

o Broadband Capacitive Sensing: Some approaches utilize broadband frequency analysis to capture more comprehensive dielectric information from the bacterial interaction, potentially improving detection capabilities and specificity [17].

- Signal Processing Unit: The capacitance changes, typically in the pico- to nano-farad range, are measured using specialized electronic circuitry (e.g., LCR meters, impedance analyzers, custom-designed CMOS circuits) [5]. The raw capacitance signal is then amplified, filtered, and processed to correlate with the concentration or presence of E. coli. For real-time monitoring, continuous data acquisition and analysis are performed [18].

2.3. Operational Steps for E. coli Detection

1. Sensor Fabrication and Functionalization: The capacitive electrode array is fabricated, and the chosen recognition element (e.g., antibodies, aptamers, phages) is immobilized onto its surface.

2. Sample Introduction: A water sample suspected of E. coli contamination is introduced to the sensing surface.

3. Binding and Capacitance Change: If E. coli cells are present, they specifically bind to the immobilized recognition elements. This binding event, or the subsequent metabolic activity if growth is monitored, alters the dielectric properties and/or ionic environment near the electrodes, leading to a measurable change in capacitance.

4. Signal Acquisition and Analysis: The capacitance change is recorded in real-time or at specific intervals. The magnitude of the capacitance change is then correlated with the concentration of E. coli. For growth monitoring, the rate of change in capacitance can indicate bacterial proliferation [18].

This approach offers the potential for label-free detection, meaning no fluorescent tags or enzymes are required, simplifying the assay and reducing costs.

3. RESULTS

Capacitance biosensors have demonstrated significant promise for the rapid and label-free detection of bacteria, including Escherichia coli. Research findings highlight their ability to detect bacterial presence, monitor growth kinetics, and potentially assess antibiotic susceptibility, offering distinct advantages over traditional methods.

3.1. Rapid and Label-Free Bacterial Detection

One of the primary advantages demonstrated by capacitance biosensors is their capability for rapid and label-free detection [6, 17, 18]. Unlike conventional microbiological techniques that require lengthy incubation periods for bacterial growth or involve complex labeling steps, capacitance biosensors provide near real-time results based on the direct electrical interaction between bacteria and the sensing surface [13]. For instance, Yang and Bashir (2008) extensively reviewed the application of electrical/electrochemical impedance for rapid detection of foodborne pathogenic bacteria, underscoring the shift from time-consuming conventional methods to faster electrical impedance-based approaches [6]. Rydosz et al. (2016) specifically showcased a broadband capacitive sensing method for label-free bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) detection, demonstrating the principle of detecting bacterial components without the need for additional tags [17]. This label-free capability simplifies the assay procedure, reduces reagent costs, and speeds up the overall detection process.

3.2. Monitoring Bacterial Growth and Activity

Beyond simply detecting the presence of bacteria, capacitance biosensors have proven effective in monitoring bacterial growth kinetics and metabolic activity. The impedance of a microbial culture changes over time as bacteria multiply and metabolize the nutrients in the medium, altering its electrical properties [10, 11, 12, 13]. Early work by Ur and Brown (1975) demonstrated impedance monitoring as a method for bacterial activity, laying the groundwork for later developments [12]. Ghafar-Zadeh et al. (2010) developed a differential CMOS capacitive sensor specifically for bacteria growth monitoring, showcasing the ability to detect subtle changes associated with bacterial proliferation [15]. This real-time monitoring capability is crucial for applications requiring continuous assessment, such as water treatment plants or industrial processes. Jo et al. (2018) further extended this by demonstrating aptamer-functionalized capacitance sensors for real-time monitoring of bacterial growth and even antibiotic susceptibility, indicating the potential for advanced applications in clinical microbiology and water quality testing [18].

3.3. Specificity and Sensitivity

The ability of capacitance biosensors to specifically detect E. coli depends heavily on the chosen biological recognition element. Researchers have successfully employed bacteriophages [16] and aptamers [18] as highly specific recognition elements immobilized on capacitive sensing surfaces. For example, Yao et al. (2008) described a CMOS capacitive sensor system utilizing phage organisms for bacteria detection, demonstrating the integration of biological specificity with electrical transduction [16]. The sensitivity of these biosensors allows for the detection of relatively low concentrations of bacteria, which is critical for water quality monitoring where even small numbers of pathogenic E. coli can pose a health risk. The miniaturization of electrodes, as highlighted by Borkholder (1998) in his work on cell-based biosensors using microelectrodes, contributes to increased sensitivity by concentrating the electric field in a small detection volume [9].

3.4. Advancements in Sensor Design and Integration

Recent advancements in microfabrication and electronics have led to the development of more sophisticated and integrated capacitance biosensors. The use of CMOS technology, as seen in the work by Ghafar-Zadeh et al. (2010) and Yao et al. (2008), allows for the integration of the sensing electrodes with the signal processing circuitry on a single chip [15, 16]. This integration leads to smaller, more portable, and potentially lower-cost devices, paving the way for on-site and decentralized water quality testing. The ability to perform measurements over a broad range of frequencies can also provide richer information about the dielectric properties of the sample, potentially aiding in distinguishing between different bacterial species or their physiological states [17].

Overall, the results indicate that capacitance biosensors represent a promising paradigm shift in bacterial detection, offering speed, label-free operation, and real-time monitoring capabilities, making them highly suitable for critical applications like E. coli detection in water.

4. DISCUSSION

The development of capacitance biosensors for Escherichia coli detection in water holds transformative potential for public health and environmental monitoring. The current limitations of traditional microbiological methods, primarily their lengthy turnaround times and dependence on specialized laboratories, underscore the urgent need for rapid, on-site, and user-friendly diagnostic tools [2, 3]. Capacitance biosensors emerge as a compelling solution, leveraging the intrinsic electrical properties of bacteria to provide real-time information [6, 10, 13].

The core strength of capacitance biosensors lies in their label-free operation and rapid response [6, 17, 18]. By detecting changes in electrical properties directly resulting from bacterial presence or metabolic activity, these sensors eliminate the need for time-consuming and costly labeling steps often associated with immunological or molecular techniques [4, 17]. This not only streamlines the detection process but also reduces the overall cost per test, making it more feasible for frequent monitoring. The ability to monitor bacterial growth in real-time, as demonstrated by several studies [15, 18], is particularly valuable for applications such as assessing water treatment efficacy or detecting early signs of contamination.

However, the widespread adoption of capacitance biosensors for E. coli detection in complex water matrices still faces several challenges.

- Sensitivity and Selectivity in Complex Samples: Real-world water samples contain a myriad of microorganisms and suspended particles that could potentially interfere with the specific detection of E. coli. While specific recognition elements like aptamers and phages enhance selectivity [16, 18], achieving high specificity in highly diverse microbial populations remains a challenge. Non-specific binding can lead to false positives, and low concentrations of target bacteria might be difficult to detect reliably, especially if E. coli is present in very low numbers, as is often the case in regulated water sources.

- Interference and Matrix Effects: Dissolved salts, organic matter, and other chemical contaminants in water can influence the electrical properties of the medium, potentially masking or distorting the signal generated by E. coli. Robust sensor designs and signal processing algorithms are needed to compensate for these matrix effects [5].

- Sensor Stability and Longevity: For practical field applications, biosensors must maintain their performance over extended periods without significant degradation of the recognition layer or sensing electrodes. Biorecognition elements can denature, and electrodes can foul in harsh environmental conditions.

- Standardization and Validation: A lack of standardized protocols for sensor fabrication, functionalization, and performance evaluation currently limits comparability between different research efforts. Rigorous validation against established methods and across various water matrices is essential before commercialization.

- Cost of Manufacturing: While the promise of low-cost detection exists, the initial manufacturing costs of microfabricated electrodes and integrated CMOS circuitry can be high, particularly for small-scale production. Scaling up production and reducing per-unit cost will be crucial for widespread implementation.

Despite these challenges, the trajectory of research in this field is promising. Advancements in nanotechnology, microfluidics, and materials science continue to address these limitations. Miniaturization of sensor platforms, leading to portable and handheld devices, will enable on-site testing without the need for sample transportation to a laboratory, which is a significant advantage for emergency response and remote area monitoring. The integration of multiplexing capabilities, allowing for the simultaneous detection of multiple pathogens or contaminants on a single chip, would further enhance the utility of these biosensors. Continued research into novel, highly stable, and specific recognition elements will also be critical.

4.1. Implications for Water Quality Management

The successful implementation of capacitance biosensors could revolutionize water quality management by:

- Enabling Real-time Monitoring: Providing immediate feedback on water safety, allowing for quick decisions on water use restrictions or treatment adjustments.

- Decentralized Testing: Facilitating testing in remote or underserved areas without centralized laboratory access.

- Cost-Effectiveness: Potentially reducing the long-term operational costs associated with conventional testing.

- Improved Public Health Outcomes: Rapid detection leads to faster interventions, reducing the incidence and severity of waterborne diseases.

5. CONCLUSION

Capacitance biosensors represent a cutting-edge approach to the rapid, label-free, and sensitive detection of Escherichia coli in water. By leveraging the principles of impedance microbiology and advancements in microelectronics, these sensors offer a powerful alternative to traditional, time-consuming methods. While challenges related to sensitivity, selectivity, and environmental interference persist, ongoing research is steadily overcoming these hurdles. As these technologies mature, capacitance biosensors are poised to play a pivotal role in proactive water quality management, contributing

significantly to public health protection and the efficient allocation of resources in preventing waterborne illnesses globally. Continued collaborative efforts between engineers, microbiologists, and public health officials will be essential to translate this promising laboratory technology into robust, widely deployable solutions for safe water monitoring.

REFERENCES

[1] Quiroz, K. L., Rodriguez, N. G., Murinda, S., & Ibekwe, M. (2018). Determination of the water quality of a constructed wetland monitoring fecal indicator bacteria.

[2] Lazcka, O., Del Campo, F. J., & Munoz, F. X. (2007). Pathogen detection: A perspective of traditional methods and biosensors. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 22(7), 1205–1217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2006.06.036

[3] Toze, S. (1999). PCR and the detection of microbial pathogens in water and wastewater. Water Research, 33(17), 3545–3556. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00071-8

[4] Haglund, J. R., Ayres, J. C., Paton, A. M., Kraft, A. A., & Quinn, L. Y. (1964). Detection of Salmonella in eggs and egg products with fluorescent antibody. Applied Microbiology, 12(5), 447–450. https://doi.org/10.1128/am.12.5.447-450.1964

[5] Ates, M. (2011). Review study of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and equivalent electrical circuits of conducting polymers on carbon surfaces. Progress in Organic Coatings, 71, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2010.12.015

[6] Yang, L., & Bashir, R. (2008). Electrical/electrochemical impedance for rapid detection of foodborne pathogenic bacteria. Biotechnology Advances, 26, 135–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2007.10.003

[7] Pethig, R., & Markx, G. H. (1997). Applications of dielectrophoresis in biotechnology. Trends in Biotechnology, 15, 426–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(97)01096-2

[8] Pethig, R. (1979). Dielectric and electronic properties of biological materials. Wiley.

[9] Borkholder, D. A. (1998). Cell-based biosensors using microelectrodes (Ph.D. dissertation). Rochester Institute of Technology.

[10] Firstenberg-Eden, R., & Eden, G. (1984). Impedance microbiology. Research Studies Press Ltd.

[11] Wawerla, M., Stolle, A., Schalch, B., & Eisgruber, H. (1999). Impedance microbiology: Applications in food hygiene. Journal of Food Protection, 62, 1488–1496. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-62.12.1488

[12] Ur, A., & Brown, D. F. J. (1975). Impedance monitoring of bacterial activity. Journal of Medical Microbiology, 8, 19–28. https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-8-1-19

[13] Silley, P., & Forsythe, S. (1996). Impedance microbiology: A rapid change for microbiologists. Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 80, 233–243. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1996.tb03215.x

[14] Owens, J. D., Thomas, D. S., Thompson, P. S., & Timmerman, W. (1989). Indirect conductimetry: A novel approach to the conductimetric enumeration of microbial populations. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 9, 245–249. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.1989.tb00337.3x

[15] Ghafar-Zadeh, E., Sawan, M., Chodavarapu, V. P., & Hosseini-Nia, T. (2010). Bacteria growth monitoring through a differential CMOS capacitive sensor. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems, 4(4), 232–238. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBCAS.2010.2048430

[16] Yao, L., Hajj-Hassan, M., Ghafar-Zadeh, E., Shabani, A., Chodavarapu, V., & Zourob, M. (2008, May). CMOS capacitive sensor system for bacteria detection using phage organisms. 2008 Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering, 000877–000880. IEEE.

[17] Rydosz, A., Brzozowska, E., Górska, S., Wincza, K., Gamian, A., & Gruszczynski, S. (2016). A broadband capacitive sensing method for label-free bacterial LPS detection. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 75, 328–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2015.08.019

[18] Jo, N., Kim, B., Lee, S. M., Oh, J., Park, I. H., Lim, K. J., Shin, J. S., & Yoo, K. H. (2018). Aptamer-functionalized capacitance sensors for real-time monitoring of bacterial growth and antibiotic susceptibility. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 102, 164–170.