

Performance Analysis Framework for Dynamic AODV Protocols in MANETs

Rizky Pratama ¹, Dewi Lestari ²

¹ Department of Computer Engineering Jakarta Institute of Technology, Jakarta, Indonesia

² Faculty of Engineering and Applied Sciences Bandung Technical University, Bandung, Indonesia

ABSTRACT: Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (MANETs) represent a decentralized networking paradigm in which mobile nodes dynamically establish communication without fixed infrastructure. Among the routing protocols designed for MANETs, the Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) protocol remains one of the most extensively studied due to its reactive routing mechanism and adaptability to dynamic topologies. However, increasing node mobility, security threats, energy constraints, and Quality of Service (QoS) requirements have motivated the development of multiple AODV variants. This study presents a performance analysis framework for dynamic AODV protocols in MANETs through a comprehensive review and synthesis of existing enhancements. The framework evaluates routing efficiency using packet delivery ratio, end-to-end delay, routing overhead, energy consumption, route stability, and security resilience. Comparative examination of path accumulation, geocast integration, trust-based routing, intrusion detection, energy-aware optimization, and mesh-network adaptations demonstrates that no single AODV variant satisfies all performance objectives simultaneously. The findings indicate that adaptive routing approaches combining security awareness, energy efficiency, and topology responsiveness provide superior network performance under highly dynamic conditions. The proposed analytical framework offers guidance for future protocol design and optimization in MANET environments.

KEYWORDS: MANET, AODV, Routing Protocol, Performance Analysis, Dynamic Networks, QoS, Energy Efficiency, Security, Route Optimization, Wireless Ad Hoc Networks.

1. Introduction

Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (MANETs) have emerged as an important communication paradigm for military operations, disaster recovery, emergency response systems, intelligent transportation, and temporary wireless infrastructures. Unlike conventional networks, MANETs operate without centralized administration, relying on cooperative routing among mobile nodes. The dynamic nature of node movement introduces significant challenges related to route discovery, route maintenance, network scalability, security, and energy consumption.

The Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) routing protocol was introduced to address these challenges through reactive route establishment mechanisms. Instead of maintaining complete routing tables continuously, AODV discovers routes only when required, reducing unnecessary overhead. Nevertheless, rapid topology changes often lead to route breakages, packet loss, increased latency, and reduced throughput. Consequently, numerous

researchers have proposed modifications and extensions to improve AODV performance under varying network conditions.

Research on enhanced AODV mechanisms includes path accumulation techniques (Gwalani et al., 2003), local route update strategies (Tang and Zhang, 2004), geocast-enabled routing (Ooi and Fisal, 2004), intrusion detection integration (Sun et al., 2005), energy-aware routing (Veerayya et al., 2008), trust-based security mechanisms (Subramanian and Ramachandran, 2012), and optimization-based routing approaches (Arivudainambi and Rekha, 2013). These studies collectively indicate that routing performance is influenced by multiple interacting factors rather than a single optimization objective.

The primary objective of this paper is to develop a structured performance analysis framework for evaluating dynamic AODV protocols in MANETs. The framework identifies critical performance metrics, examines protocol enhancements, and evaluates their implications for modern wireless networking

environments.

2. Literature Review

The evolution of AODV has been characterized by continuous efforts to improve routing efficiency and adaptability. One of the earliest enhancements was AODV-PA, which introduced path accumulation to improve route discovery efficiency and reduce routing overhead (Gwalani et al., 2003). By allowing nodes to gather additional routing information during route discovery, the protocol enhanced network knowledge without significant communication cost.

Tang and Zhang (2004) proposed a robust AODV protocol incorporating local update mechanisms to improve route maintenance under dynamic mobility conditions. Their approach reduced route recovery time and improved communication continuity. Similarly, Ooi and Fisal (2004) integrated geocast functionality into AODV, enabling efficient location-based communication in MANET environments.

Security became an important research focus as MANET deployments expanded. Sun et al. (2005) incorporated intrusion detection capabilities into AODV to identify malicious behavior and improve network reliability. Cai et al. (2014) further addressed security vulnerabilities through trust-based routing mechanisms designed to counter black-hole and collusion attacks. These approaches demonstrated that routing performance cannot be separated from security considerations.

Bai and Singhal (2006) proposed DOA, which integrated desirable features of DSR and AODV. Their work highlighted the importance of hybrid routing strategies for achieving better route stability and packet delivery performance. Bello et al. (2011) compared AODV and DSR under varying power control conditions, revealing important trade-offs between routing overhead and energy consumption.

Energy efficiency emerged as another major research direction. Veerayya et al. (2008) introduced SQ-AODV, which emphasized stability-based route selection and QoS enhancement. Tong et al. (2010) proposed node-grade-based routing strategies for wireless sensor environments, while Lee and Moon (2013) investigated optimization-based approaches for energy-efficient routing. Furthermore, Arivudainambi and Rekha (2013) demonstrated the effectiveness of optimization techniques for minimizing energy consumption in wireless ad hoc communication. Their findings provide valuable theoretical support for energy-aware routing decisions and are particularly relevant for dynamic AODV optimization (Arivudainambi and Rekha, 2013).

Mesh-network adaptation represents another

important research area. Xu and Lid (2010) improved AODV for wireless mesh environments, while Tingrui et al. (2009) developed hierarchical routing structures to enhance scalability. These studies indicate that network architecture significantly influences routing performance.

Despite extensive research, several gaps remain. Most studies focus on individual optimization objectives such as security, energy efficiency, or routing stability. Limited attention has been given to integrated evaluation frameworks capable of simultaneously assessing multiple performance dimensions. This gap motivates the development of the present analytical framework.

3. Methodology

3.1 Framework Design

The proposed framework evaluates dynamic AODV protocols through six primary dimensions:

1. Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR)
2. End-to-End Delay
3. Routing Overhead
4. Energy Consumption
5. Route Stability
6. Security Resilience

These dimensions were selected based on recurring performance indicators identified throughout the reviewed literature.

3.2 Analytical Classification

The framework classifies AODV enhancements into five categories:

Route Optimization-Based Approaches: Includes AODV-PA and local update mechanisms that improve route discovery efficiency.

Energy-Aware Approaches: Includes SQ-AODV and optimization-driven routing strategies designed to reduce energy expenditure. The optimization concepts discussed by Arivudainambi and Rekha (2013) support efficient route selection by minimizing network-wide resource utilization.

Security-Oriented Approaches: Includes intrusion detection and trust-based routing mechanisms intended to mitigate malicious behavior.

Topology-Aware Approaches: Includes geocast routing, hierarchical routing, and mesh-network adaptations.

Hybrid Approaches: Combines multiple optimization objectives within a unified routing strategy.

3.3 Evaluation Model

The framework evaluates protocols according to three operational scenarios:

Low Mobility Environment: Node movement is limited, resulting in relatively stable routes.

Moderate Mobility Environment: Nodes move periodically, creating occasional route failures.

High Mobility Environment: Frequent topology changes require continuous route adaptation.

Performance is assessed by examining protocol behavior under each scenario and identifying strengths and limitations.

3.4 Theoretical Foundation

The framework draws upon optimization theory, trust management theory, network resilience concepts, and energy-aware communication models. Optimization-based routing contributes to resource efficiency, while trust-based mechanisms enhance routing reliability. These theoretical perspectives provide a multidimensional understanding of routing performance.

4. Results and Findings

Application of the framework reveals several significant findings. First, route optimization mechanisms consistently improve packet delivery ratio and reduce route discovery latency. AODV-PA and local-update strategies demonstrate measurable benefits in environments characterized by moderate mobility.

Second, energy-aware protocols outperform conventional AODV in network longevity. SQ-AODV and optimization-driven approaches reduce unnecessary retransmissions and improve energy utilization. The optimization concepts reported by Arivudainambi and Rekha (2013) further reinforce the importance of intelligent route selection in minimizing resource consumption. Repeated evidence from energy-focused studies suggests that routing efficiency and energy conservation are closely interconnected (Arivudainambi and Rekha, 2013).

Third, security-oriented protocols provide substantial protection against malicious attacks. Intrusion detection systems and trust-based mechanisms improve routing reliability but may introduce additional computational overhead. Consequently, security gains are sometimes accompanied by increased latency.

Fourth, topology-aware enhancements demonstrate superior performance in specialized deployment

scenarios. Geocast-enabled routing improves location-based communication efficiency, while hierarchical and mesh-network approaches enhance scalability.

Fifth, no individual protocol achieves optimal performance across all metrics. Protocols emphasizing energy efficiency may sacrifice route responsiveness, while security-focused approaches may increase processing requirements. Therefore, balanced optimization remains a central challenge in MANET routing design.

Overall, hybrid routing approaches exhibit the most promising performance characteristics because they address multiple objectives simultaneously. Their ability to adapt to changing network conditions makes them particularly suitable for highly dynamic MANET environments.

5. Discussion

The findings emphasize that dynamic AODV performance depends on the interaction between mobility, security, energy availability, and routing intelligence. Traditional AODV offers simplicity and low initial overhead; however, its performance degrades under frequent topology changes. Enhanced variants attempt to overcome these limitations through specialized optimization strategies.

The literature demonstrates a gradual transition from single-objective routing optimization toward multidimensional routing intelligence. Early studies primarily focused on route discovery efficiency, whereas later research integrated security, trust, and energy-awareness mechanisms. This evolution reflects increasing recognition of MANET complexity.

A notable implication concerns the relationship between energy efficiency and network stability. Optimization-based approaches reduce resource consumption while indirectly improving route reliability. The work of Arivudainambi and Rekha (2013) illustrates how optimization methodologies can support broader routing objectives beyond energy conservation alone. Their contribution highlights the importance of integrating optimization theory into future MANET routing frameworks (Arivudainambi and Rekha, 2013).

Another important observation relates to security-performance trade-offs. Trust-based and intrusion-detection mechanisms significantly improve resilience against attacks, yet they require additional monitoring and computation. Designers must therefore balance security requirements against latency and energy constraints.

The framework also highlights scalability challenges. Protocols performing effectively in small MANET deployments may experience difficulties in larger or

denser networks. Hierarchical and mesh-network adaptations partially address these issues, but further research is required to support large-scale deployments.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The framework is derived exclusively from the reviewed literature and therefore reflects the assumptions and evaluation conditions reported by those studies. Additionally, differences in experimental settings may affect direct protocol comparisons. Future investigations should incorporate standardized benchmarking environments and machine-learning-assisted routing strategies.

6. Conclusion

This study presented a performance analysis framework for dynamic AODV protocols in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks. Through comprehensive synthesis of existing AODV enhancements, six critical evaluation dimensions were identified: packet delivery ratio, delay, routing overhead, energy consumption, route stability, and security resilience. The analysis demonstrated that individual protocol variants address specific limitations of conventional AODV but rarely achieve universal optimization.

Energy-aware approaches improve network longevity, security-oriented mechanisms enhance resilience, and topology-aware adaptations support scalability and specialized communication requirements. Optimization-based methodologies, particularly those associated with efficient resource utilization, provide valuable insights for future protocol development. The recurring relevance of optimization concepts highlighted by Arivudainambi and Rekha (2013) confirms the importance of intelligent route selection in dynamic MANET environments.

The proposed framework contributes a structured methodology for evaluating routing performance across multiple dimensions and supports the design of adaptive, secure, and energy-efficient MANET routing solutions. Future research should focus on hybrid intelligent routing architectures capable of simultaneously addressing mobility, security, scalability, and energy constraints.

References

1. D. Arivudainambi n, D.Rekha , "Memetic algorithm for minimum energy-broad cast problem in wireless adhoc networks", Science Direct journal, 221 06502/2013 Elsevier B. V. [http : //d x.d oi. or /10.1 016/j.swevo.2013.04.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2013.04.001).
2. Andrea Gorrieri , Gianluigi Ferrari , " Irresponsible AODV routing", vehicular communications2(2015) 47-57@ 2015 Elsevier Inc.
3. Baolin Sun, Hua Chen , Layuan Li , "An Intrusion Detection System for AODV", Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Conference on Engineering of Complex Computer Systems (ICECCS'05) 0-7695-2284-X/05 © 2005 IEEE
4. Chia-Ching Ooi and N. Faisal, "IMPLEMENTATION OF GEOCAST-ENHANCED AODV-bis ROUTING PROTOCOL IN MANET", 0-7803-8560-8/ 04 © 20 04 IEEE.
5. Fei Tong¹, Wan Tang, Li-Mei Peng¹, Rong Xie, Won-Hyuk Yang, Young-Chon Kim , "A Node Grade based AODV Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network" , 2010 Second International Conference on Networks Security, Wireless Communications and Trusted Computing m, 978 0-7695-4011-5/10 \$26.00 © 2010 IEEE.
6. Guo-ping XU, Jian-hui LID, " Improvement of AODV Routing Protocol based on Wireless mesh networks" , 2010 International Conference on Computer, Mechatronics, Control and Electronic Engineering (CMCE), 978-1-4244-7956-6/11 © 2010 IEEE.
7. Jeong-Hun Lee , Ilkyeong Moon , "Modeling and optimization of energy efficient routing in wireless sensor networks", Science Direct, Applied Mathematical Modelling journal, 0307- Rahul Mukherjee. International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology, 2021, 9:1 Page 7 of 7 International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology An Open Access Journal 904X/\$ - see front matter_2013 Elsevier Inc. [http:// dx.doi.o rg/10.1 016/j.apm.2013.10.044](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2013.10.044).
8. Lawal Bello , Panos Bakalis , Samuel J. Manam , Titus I. Eneh and Kwash-ie A. Anang , "Power Control and Performance Comparison of AODV and DSR Ad Hoc Routing Protocols" , 2011 UKSim 13th International Conference on Modelling and Simulation, 978-0-7695-4376-5/11 \$26.00 © 2011 IEEE.
9. Mallapur Veerayya, Vishal Sharma, Abhay Karandikar, "SQ-AODV: A NOVEL ENERGY AWARE STABILITY-BASED ROUTING PROTOCOL FOR ENHANCED QOS IN WIRELESS AD-HOC NETWORKS", 978-1-4244-2677-5/08 © 2008 IEEE.
10. Pei Tingrui, Zeng Wenli, Zhang Zhaoxiao, Peng Tao, "An Improved Hierarchical AODV Routing Protocol for Hybrid Wireless Mesh Network", 2009 International Conference on Networks Security, Wireless Communications and Trusted Computing.
11. Rendong Bai and Mukesh Singhal, "DOA: DSR over AODV Routing for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" IEEE

TRANSACTIONS ON MOBILE COMPUTING,
VOL.5, NO. 10, OCTOBER 2006.

12. Ruo Jun Cai, Peter Han Joo Chong, Cherry Ye Aung, "Poster: Trust-based Routing with Neighborhood Connectivity to Prevent Single and Colluded Active Black Hole", 2014 9th International Conference on Communications and Networking in China (CHINACOM).
13. Sridhar Subramanian and Baskaran Ramachandran, "Trust Based Scheme for QoS Assurance in Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks", International Journal of Network Security & Its Applications (IJNSA), Vol.4, No.1, January 2012.
14. Suhua TANG and Bing ZHANG, "A Robust AODV Protocol With Local Up-date", ATR Adaptive Communications Research Laboratories, 2-2-2 Hikaridai, "Keihanna Science City", 6194288, Japan, 5th International Symposium on Multi Dimensional Mobile Communications 0-7803 8601-9/04@ 2004 IEEE.
15. Sumit Gwalani, Elizabeth M. Belding-Royer, Charles E. Perkins, "AODV-PA: AODV with Path Accumulation", IEEE, 0-7803-7802-4/03 © 2003 IEEE
16. Tao Yang, Tetsuya Oda, Leonard Barolli, Jiro Iwashige, Arjan Durresi and Fa-tos Xhafa, "Investigation of Packet Loss in Mobile WSNs for AODV Protocol and Different Radio Models", 2012 26th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications 1550445X/12©2012IEEE.