

Advancing Circular Business Models through Big Data and Technological Integration: Pathways for Sustainable Value Creation

Rahul van Dijk

Global Institute of Sustainable Management, Netherlands

Article received: 05/10/2025, Article Revised: 06/11/2025, Article Accepted: 01/12/2025

© 2025 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC-BY\)](#), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

ABSTRACT

The global transition toward sustainable development has intensified research on circular business models (CBMs) as mechanisms for economic, social, and environmental value creation. This study synthesizes existing literature on CBMs and explores the intersection of technology, big data analytics, and circular economy principles. Circular business models aim to decouple economic growth from resource consumption by promoting strategies such as product life extension, resource recovery, and service-based value delivery (Geissdoerfer, Vladimirova, & Evans, 2018; Frishammar & Parida, 2019). While numerous typologies and frameworks have been proposed, the integration of digital technologies remains underexplored in systematically advancing CBM implementation (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2019; Gupta et al., 2018). This research adopts a qualitative literature synthesis approach, drawing on 30 seminal and recent publications that address sustainable business models, circular economy tools, and technology-enabled business innovations. The study identifies the mechanisms through which big data, artificial intelligence, and cloud-based manufacturing systems enhance circularity by improving resource tracking, predictive maintenance, and lifecycle optimization (Grover et al., 2018; Fisher et al., 2018). Results suggest that CBMs benefit from a hybridized approach that combines traditional sustainability strategies with digital transformation, enabling firms to navigate complex supply chains, manage critical material scarcity, and foster stakeholder engagement (Gaustad et al., 2018; Hopkinson et al., 2018). The discussion elaborates on the theoretical implications of CBM digitalization, highlighting the role of data-driven decision-making in sustaining competitive advantage while addressing environmental imperatives. Limitations include the predominance of secondary data analysis and the need for empirical validation across industries and geographies. Future research directions involve the development of quantitative frameworks to measure circularity impact, longitudinal studies on CBM performance, and policy integration strategies that harmonize technological adoption with regulatory incentives (Wasserbaur, Sakao, & Milios, 2022; Kanther, 2025). This article contributes to the scholarship on sustainable business models by emphasizing the strategic integration of technology and circular economy principles, offering a roadmap for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers committed to sustainable industrial transformation.

KEYWORDS

Circular business models, sustainable business, big data analytics, artificial intelligence, circular economy, technological integration, resource optimization.

INTRODUCTION

The persistent environmental challenges of resource depletion, climate change, and ecological degradation have catalyzed a paradigm shift in business and policy discourse toward sustainability-oriented strategies. Traditional linear business models, characterized by take-make-dispose production processes, are increasingly

deemed unsustainable due to their inherent inefficiency in resource utilization and negative environmental externalities (Lewandowski, 2016; Dentchev et al., 2018). Against this backdrop, circular business models (CBMs) have emerged as a critical area of inquiry, offering a framework through which organizations can reconcile economic performance with environmental

stewardship (Geissdoerfer, Vladimirova, & Evans, 2018). CBMs are designed to close material loops, extend product lifecycles, and facilitate value recovery, thereby decoupling economic activity from resource consumption. This aligns with the broader principles of the circular economy, which emphasizes regenerative processes, waste minimization, and systemic efficiency (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2015).

Despite a growing body of research on CBMs, the literature exhibits several notable gaps. First, while multiple typologies and frameworks have been proposed to classify CBM strategies, there remains limited consensus on standardized measurement criteria for circularity and value creation (Rosa, Sassanelli, & Terzi, 2019; Ludeke-Freund, Gold, & Bocken, 2019). Second, empirical evidence linking CBMs to firm performance, particularly in financial terms, remains fragmented and inconclusive, highlighting the need for integrated approaches that address both sustainability and profitability (Kanzari et al., 2022). Third, the potential of digital technologies—particularly big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and cloud manufacturing—to enhance CBM implementation has only recently begun to receive systematic attention (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2019; Gupta et al., 2018). While technological integration promises enhanced resource tracking, predictive analytics, and real-time operational optimization, there is a lack of comprehensive research synthesizing these dimensions across industries and value chains.

The present study addresses these gaps by examining the intersection of CBMs, digital technologies, and value creation. It aims to develop a nuanced understanding of how data-driven strategies and technological enablers can accelerate the transition toward circularity while sustaining competitive advantage. The research situates itself within the broader literature on sustainable business model innovation, circular economy principles, and technological transformation, drawing upon theoretical frameworks and empirical studies spanning multiple sectors, including manufacturing, fashion, energy, and construction (Hopkinson et al., 2018; Arribas-Ibar, Nylund, & Brem, 2022; Mendoza & Ibarra, 2023; Kanther, 2025).

The significance of this research lies in its potential to inform managerial decision-making, policy formulation, and academic inquiry. By synthesizing insights from both CBM and technology adoption literature, this study elucidates mechanisms through which firms can operationalize circular strategies, optimize resource use, and enhance stakeholder engagement. Furthermore, it contributes to the ongoing discourse on sustainable business model innovation by providing an integrated perspective that links conceptual frameworks, practical tools, and emerging digital technologies (Foss & Saebi, 2017; Bocken et al., 2019).

METHODOLOGY

This research employs a qualitative literature synthesis methodology, which enables an in-depth exploration of existing knowledge and identification of research gaps within the domain of circular business models and technological integration. The selection of literature was guided by relevance to CBM frameworks, technological enablers, and sustainability outcomes. A total of thirty key publications were analyzed, encompassing peer-reviewed journal articles, policy toolkits, and industry reports published between 2015 and 2025. The approach entailed three primary steps: systematic identification, thematic coding, and integrative synthesis.

The first step involved systematic identification of literature through database searches in Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using search terms including “circular business models,” “sustainable business model innovation,” “big data analytics,” “artificial intelligence,” and “circular economy.” Selection criteria emphasized peer-reviewed articles with empirical, theoretical, or conceptual contributions to the understanding of CBMs or technology-enabled sustainability strategies. Seminal works, such as Geissdoerfer et al. (2018) and Lewandowski (2016), were included to ensure foundational frameworks were captured.

In the thematic coding step, the selected literature was analyzed to extract key constructs, methodologies, outcomes, and theoretical underpinnings. Coding categories included CBM typologies, technology adoption mechanisms, stakeholder engagement, financial performance implications, and policy interactions. NVivo software was utilized to facilitate the organization and visualization of themes, allowing for systematic comparison and identification of patterns across sectors.

The final integrative synthesis involved constructing a conceptual framework linking CBMs with technological enablers. This step emphasized narrative synthesis, enabling detailed discussion of theoretical implications, operational pathways, and practical challenges. Particular attention was given to the interplay between digital technologies—such as AI-driven analytics, cloud manufacturing, and big data systems—and circular economy strategies, including design for disassembly, resource recovery, and service-oriented business models (Favi et al., 2019; Fisher et al., 2018). The methodology prioritized descriptive and interpretive analysis over quantitative modeling, consistent with the study’s aim of providing an extensive, conceptual elaboration of CBM strategies in technologically complex contexts.

RESULTS

Analysis of the literature reveals several key findings regarding the mechanisms, enablers, and challenges

associated with CBMs. First, CBMs are operationalized through diverse strategies, including product life extension, resource recovery, sharing platforms, and service-oriented models (Ludeke-Freund, Gold, & Bocken, 2019; Rosa, Sassanelli, & Terzi, 2019). Product life extension involves activities such as remanufacturing, refurbishment, and repair, which prolong the functional utility of goods while reducing material throughput. Resource recovery strategies focus on the extraction and reuse of materials from end-of-life products, thereby mitigating dependence on virgin resources and addressing supply chain vulnerabilities (Gaustad et al., 2018). Service-oriented models replace product ownership with access-based offerings, fostering sustainable consumption patterns and reducing waste generation (Lewandowski, 2016).

Second, the integration of digital technologies emerges as a critical enabler of CBM efficacy. Big data analytics facilitates predictive maintenance, lifecycle optimization, and real-time resource tracking, thereby improving operational efficiency and reducing material losses (Grover et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2018). AI algorithms support decision-making processes related to demand forecasting, logistics optimization, and adaptive production scheduling, enhancing the responsiveness and flexibility of circular value chains (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2019). Cloud manufacturing systems enable distributed production, modular design, and scalable service delivery, offering opportunities for collaborative circularity across geographically dispersed operations (Fisher et al., 2018).

Third, sector-specific applications illustrate the versatility and complexity of CBM implementation. In the construction sector, CBMs are applied through industrialized design, modular construction, and design-for-disassembly approaches, enabling efficient material reuse and reduced waste (Kanter, 2025; Heesbeen & Prieto, 2020). The fashion industry has experimented with circular strategies including take-back programs, resale, and upcycling, while confronting challenges related to supply chain traceability, consumer behavior, and cost implications (Arribas-Ibar, Nylund, & Brem, 2022; Abdelmeguid, Afy-Shararah, & Salonitis, 2022). In the energy sector, hybridized renewable energy systems, integrating wind, solar, and power-to-gas technologies, exemplify technology-enabled circular business models that optimize resource efficiency and support decarbonization objectives (Mendoza & Ibarra, 2023).

Fourth, governance and policy interactions are pivotal in shaping the scalability and effectiveness of CBMs. Regulatory incentives, extended producer responsibility frameworks, and sustainability standards influence firm adoption rates and investment in circular innovations (Wasserbaur, Sakao, & Milios, 2022; Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2015). Collaborative networks, including

multi-stakeholder partnerships and ecosystemic approaches, facilitate knowledge sharing, reduce transaction costs, and enable systemic change toward circularity (Frishammar & Parida, 2019; Hopkinson et al., 2018).

Finally, the literature identifies persistent challenges, including technological complexity, high initial investment costs, insufficient metrics for circularity performance, and organizational inertia (Hofmann, 2019; Kanzari et al., 2022). These obstacles underscore the necessity of integrated approaches that combine strategic management, technological innovation, and policy alignment to achieve sustainable, scalable circular business models.

DISCUSSION

The findings from the literature synthesis highlight several critical insights into the theory and practice of circular business models. Theoretically, the integration of CBMs with digital technologies extends the conceptual boundaries of sustainable business model innovation. Whereas traditional CBM frameworks emphasized physical resource loops and service redesign (Lewandowski, 2016; Bocken et al., 2019), the infusion of data-driven decision-making enables dynamic adaptation, predictive optimization, and enhanced stakeholder engagement (Grover et al., 2018; Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2019). This hybridization suggests a paradigm shift in which digital capabilities are not merely operational enablers but integral components of circular business model design.

The discussion of sectoral applications illuminates the heterogeneity of CBM adoption. In construction, modular design and industrialized manufacturing exemplify operational strategies that leverage both technological sophistication and process standardization to enhance circularity (Kanter, 2025; Heesbeen & Prieto, 2020). The fashion industry demonstrates the interplay between consumer behavior, supply chain transparency, and circular strategy adoption, revealing the social and market dimensions of CBM implementation (Arribas-Ibar, Nylund, & Brem, 2022; Abdelmeguid, Afy-Shararah, & Salonitis, 2022). In energy systems, hybridized renewable infrastructures illustrate how technological integration can optimize resource use and achieve decarbonization, suggesting that CBMs are not limited to product-oriented sectors but have relevance across complex, service-dominated industries (Mendoza & Ibarra, 2023).

From a practical standpoint, the integration of big data and AI into CBMs presents both opportunities and challenges. Predictive analytics and real-time monitoring can reduce material losses, improve supply chain resilience, and enhance customer satisfaction. However, the high costs of technology adoption, coupled with

organizational resistance and knowledge gaps, may constrain the scalability of such initiatives (Gupta et al., 2018; Hofmann, 2019). Furthermore, the ethical and regulatory dimensions of data usage, including privacy, cybersecurity, and algorithmic bias, necessitate careful governance frameworks to ensure responsible deployment.

The literature also emphasizes the importance of policy and ecosystemic alignment. Governmental interventions, including tax incentives, extended producer responsibility regulations, and standardization of circular metrics, are instrumental in lowering barriers to adoption and fostering industry-wide transition (Wasserbaur, Sakao, & Milios, 2022; Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2015). Collaborative ecosystems, encompassing suppliers, customers, and regulatory bodies, enable knowledge sharing and resource pooling, enhancing the feasibility of systemic circular transformation (Frishammar & Parida, 2019; Hopkinson et al., 2018).

Limitations of the current research landscape include the predominance of qualitative and conceptual studies, with limited longitudinal and quantitative evidence on the financial and environmental performance of CBMs. There is also a need for context-specific analyses, as technological and regulatory environments vary significantly across regions and industries (Kanzari et al., 2022; Pangarso, Sisilia, & Peranginangin, 2022). Future research should prioritize multi-sectoral empirical investigations, the development of standardized circularity performance metrics, and the exploration of policy-technology-firm interactions to create actionable insights for both practitioners and regulators.

CONCLUSION

Circular business models represent a transformative approach to achieving sustainable value creation by decoupling economic growth from resource consumption. This study highlights the critical role of digital technologies, including big data analytics, AI, and cloud manufacturing, in enhancing CBM implementation across diverse sectors. Key strategies include product life extension, resource recovery, and service-oriented offerings, all of which benefit from data-driven optimization and predictive capabilities. Sector-specific applications in construction, fashion, and energy demonstrate the practical versatility and complexity of CBM adoption, while policy and ecosystemic alignment emerge as crucial determinants of scalability. The integration of CBMs with technological enablers not only advances theoretical understanding of sustainable business model innovation but also provides actionable insights for practitioners and policymakers. Addressing existing research gaps through empirical validation, quantitative measurement, and longitudinal studies will be critical for realizing the full potential of circular business models in promoting a sustainable, resilient, and

technologically empowered economy.

REFERENCES

1. Abdelmeguid, A., Afy-Shararah, M., & Salonitis, K. (2022). Investigating the challenges of applying the principles of the circular economy in the fashion industry: A systematic review. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 32, 505–518. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2022.05.009>
2. Arribas-Ibar, M., Nylund, P. A., & Brem, A. (2022). Circular business models in the luxury fashion industry: Toward an ecosystemic dominant design? *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, 37, 100673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2022.100673>
3. Bocken, N., Strupeit, L., Whalen, K., & Nußholz, J. (2019). A review and evaluation of circular business model innovation tools. *Sustainability*, 11, 2210. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11082210>
4. Dentchev, N., Rauter, R., Johannsdottir, L., Snihur, Y., Rosano, M., Baumgartner, R., Nyberg, T., Tang, X., van Hoof, B., & Jonker, J. (2018). Embracing the variety of sustainable business models: A prolific field of research and a future research agenda. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 194, 695–703.
5. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2015). Delivering the circular economy - A toolkit for policymakers. Retrieved from https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/publications/EllenMacArthurFoundation_PolicymakerToolkit.pdf
6. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2019). Artificial intelligence and the circular economy - AI as a tool to accelerate the transition. Retrieved from <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/Artificial-intelligenceand-the-circular-economy.pdf>
7. Favi, C., Marconi, M., Germani, M., & Mandolini, M. (2019). A design for disassembly tool oriented to mechatronic product de-manufacturing and recycling. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 39, 62–79.
8. Fisher, O., Watson, N., Porcu, L., Bacon, D., Rigley, M., & Gomes, R. L. (2018). Cloud manufacturing as a sustainable process manufacturing route. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 47, 53–68.
9. Foss, N., & Saebi, T. (2017). Fifteen Years of Research on Business Model Innovation: How Far Have We Come, and Where Should We Go? *Journal of Management*, 43(1), 200–227.

- 10.** Frishammar, J., & Parida, V. (2019). Circular Business Model Transformation: A Roadmap for Incumbent Firms. *California Management Review*, 61(2), 5–29.
- 11.** Gaustad, G., Krystofik, M., Bustamante, M., & Badami, K. (2018). Circular economy strategies for mitigating critical material supply issues. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 135, 24–33.
- 12.** Geissdoerfer, M., Vladimirova, D., & Evans, S. (2018). Sustainable business model innovation: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 198, 401–416.
- 13.** Grover, V., Chiang, R., Liang, T., & Zhang, D. (2018). Creating Strategic Business Value from Big Data Analytics: A Research Framework. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 35(2), 388–423.
- 14.** Kanther, S. D. (2025). Integrating circular business models in construction: A framework for design and planning to enhance sustainability. *International Journal of Sustainability and Innovation in Engineering*, 3, 26–33.
<https://doi.org/10.56830/IJSIE202502>
- 15.** Gupta, S., Chen, H., Hazen, B., Kaur, S., & Santibañez Gonzalez, E. (2018). Circular economy and big data analytics: A stakeholder perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*.
- 16.** Günther, W., Rezazade Mehrizi, M., Huysman, M., & Feldberg, F. (2017). Debating big data: A literature review on realizing value from big data. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*.
- 17.** Hartmann, P. (2016). Capturing value from big data – a taxonomy of data-driven business models used