

## The Great Reset of Direct-To-Consumer Architectures: Navigating the Integration of Multistage Robust Optimization, Consumer Perceived Value, And Wholesale Re-Expansion in The New Retailing Era

Professor Maria Mitchell

Department of Operations and Marketing Strategy, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Article Received: 05/12/2025, Article Revised: 25/12/2025, Article Accepted: 10/01/2026, Article Published: 31/01/2026

© 2026 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC-BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

---

### ABSTRACT

The retail landscape is currently undergoing a transformative period termed "The Great DTC Reset," characterized by a shift from digital isolation toward complex omnichannel integration. This research article explores the convergence of operational optimization and consumer behavioral psychology within the Direct-to-Consumer (DTC) framework. By synthesizing recent advancements in multistage adaptive optimization and robust linear programming with empirical evidence regarding consumer perceived value and loyalty behavior, this study identifies a critical shift in brand strategy. Specifically, it examines how the re-expansion into wholesale channels acts as a vital stress management mechanism to reduce operating tail risk. The article provides an exhaustive theoretical elaboration on the "Omni-Channel Commerce Gap," where retailer capabilities often fail to meet escalating customer desires for transparency and inventory reliability. Through a systematic review of contemporary literature and a descriptive analysis of algorithmic forecasting solutions, the paper argues that the future of retail lies in a hybrid morphology. This morphology must balance the high-touch engagement of social commerce with the logistical resilience afforded by robust inventory transshipment and replenishment policies. The findings suggest that brand innovativeness and consumer engagement are increasingly mediated by the reliability of physical and digital infrastructure, necessitating a move beyond simplistic B2C models toward integrated value-creation platforms.

**Keywords:** Direct-to-Consumer, Multistage Robust Optimization, Omnichannel Integration, Wholesale Re-expansion, Consumer Perceived Value, Operating Tail Risk, Social Commerce.

### INTRODUCTION

The genesis of the Direct-to-Consumer (DTC) movement was predicated on the promise of disintermediation—a revolutionary idea that brands could bypass traditional retail gatekeepers to forge intimate, data-rich relationships directly with their end users. However, as we approach the mid-2020s, the initial euphoria surrounding digitally native vertical brands has met a harsh economic reality. This research explores the phenomenon known as "The Great DTC Reset," a strategic pivot where brands originally designed to evade wholesale are now aggressively returning to it as a means of survival and risk mitigation (Shounik, 2025). This reset is not merely a retreat to older business models but a sophisticated integration of multichannel strategies designed to address what scholars have identified as the

"Omni-Channel Commerce Gap" (Customer Desires vs. Retailer Capabilities, 2014).

In the contemporary "New Retailing Era," the distinction between online and offline channels is no longer sustainable. Consumers, particularly Millennial and Generation Z cohorts, view brand interaction through a lens of total fluidity (Munsch, 2021). They demand a seamless experience where social media discovery, mobile engagement, and physical store interactions are perfectly synchronized. Yet, the backend operations required to support this fluidity are immensely complex. Traditional linear supply chains are being replaced by adaptive, multistage networks that must account for uncertainty in demand, shipping times, and raw material availability. This has led to the rise of robust optimization

as a foundational tool for modern retail management (Bertsimas et al., 2011).

The problem statement of this research centers on the tension between the high expectations of the modern consumer and the inherent volatility of the digital-first retail model. High customer acquisition costs (CAC) on social media platforms have eroded the margins that DTC brands once enjoyed. Concurrently, the operational burden of managing individual shipments across a global landscape has exposed brands to "operating tail risk"-the danger of localized disruptions cascading into systemic brand failure. To counter these pressures, brands are seeking a "morphology of digital direct-to-consumer models" that includes wholesale partnerships to stabilize cash flow and reach broader audiences (Schacker and Stanoevska-Slabeva, 2023).

Despite the growing body of literature on either marketing or logistics, there remains a significant gap in the literature regarding the intersection of these fields. Specifically, how does a brand's decision to adopt a "Buy Online, Pick Up in Store" (BOPS) model affect its perceived brand loyalty and innovativeness? Furthermore, how do the mathematical principles of two-stage adjustable robust linear optimization (Bertsimas et al., 2015) translate into the everyday consumer experience of inventory availability? This article addresses these gaps by providing a holistic analysis of the DTC ecosystem, from the algorithmic forecasting of buying intentions (Prasad and Ghosal, 2022) to the psychological underpinnings of consumer betrayal and regret (Sameeni et al., 2022).

## METHODOLOGY

The methodology employed in this research is rooted in a comprehensive systematic review and theoretical synthesis of current academic and industry data. Rather than relying on a single empirical experiment, this study utilizes a meta-analytical approach to aggregate findings from high-impact retail and operations journals. A primary focus is placed on the bibliometric analysis of retail marketing and distribution management trends (International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management, 2023). By comparing datasets across platforms like Scopus and Web of Science (Gorraiz and Schloegl, 2008), this research identifies the most influential theories currently shaping the DTC and omnichannel discourse.

The operational analysis segment of the methodology investigates the application of Distributionally Robust Optimization (DRO) models. These models are typically solved using sophisticated solvers like CPLEX, utilizing box ambiguity sets to account for seasonal product volatility in omnichannel environments (European Journal of Operational Research, 2024). This study describes these mathematical approaches in a qualitative

manner, explaining how retailers use "near-optimal policies" to manage multistage adaptive optimization challenges (Bertsimas et al., 2011). We examine the logic behind dual theory and how it allows for the transformation of complex ambiguity sets into solvable linear formulations, thereby providing a bridge between abstract mathematics and practical inventory management.

From the behavioral perspective, the methodology incorporates the Stimulus-Organism-Response (SOR) framework to analyze how environmental psychology influences consumer behavior (Mehrabian and Russell, 1974). We analyze qualitative explorations of Gen Z digital marketing communication (Munsch, 2021) and the use of Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) to forecast buying intentions (Prasad and Ghosal, 2022). The study also employs case study analysis, specifically looking at the engagement strategies of modern luxury DTC brands like Glossier to understand the role of shared personal experiences in online consumer engagement (Paintsil and Kim, 2022).

Finally, the methodology integrates a "Morphology of Digital DTC Models," which classifies various business structures based on their level of channel integration, inventory ownership, and data utilization (Schacker and Stanoevska-Slabeva, 2023). This taxonomic approach allows for a more nuanced discussion of why certain brands succeed in the "Great DTC Reset" while others succumb to post-purchase regret or brand betrayal (Sameeni et al., 2022). By combining these disparate methodologies-operational optimization, bibliometric analysis, psychometric evaluation, and taxonomic classification-the research provides a high-fidelity view of the current state of retail.

## RESULTS

The results of this analysis indicate a decisive shift in the retail equilibrium. The "New Retailing Era" is characterized by a fundamental misalignment between customer desires and retailer capabilities (Cai et al., 2020). Consumers increasingly expect real-time transparency regarding inventory availability. Empirical evidence suggests that when retailers share reliable inventory information across channels, it significantly increases both online and offline sales, as it reduces the perceived risk of "stock-out" disappointment (Gallino et al., 2014). This reliability is a key driver of trust in online markets (Grabner-Kräuter, 2004).

One of the most significant findings involves the "Stress Management" role of wholesale re-expansion. Direct-to-consumer brands that successfully reintegrate wholesale into their strategy show a marked reduction in "Operating Tail Risk" (Shounik, 2025). This is because wholesale provides a "buffer" or a base-stock level that stabilizes the supply chain, allowing the digital channel to focus on

high-margin, high-engagement activities. The results show that brands maintaining a pure DTC model are more susceptible to fluctuating shipping costs and digital advertising volatility.

In terms of consumer behavior, the research highlights the critical importance of brand innovativeness. It was found that brand innovativeness positively affects brand loyalty, but this relationship is mediated by the "Perceived Value" of the service (Pappu and Quester, 2016). For digital-first brands, this value is often derived from the design of the social commerce website. Factors such as ease of navigation, aesthetic appeal, and the presence of social proof (reviews and shared experiences) are paramount (Molinillo et al., 2021). However, the results also warn of the "betrayal discovery mode." If a consumer discovers that a brand has failed to deliver on its utilitarian or hedonic promises—such as through a late shipment or a product that does not match the social media imagery—the resulting post-purchase regret is significantly more damaging to a DTC brand than to a traditional retailer (Sameeni et al., 2022).

The analysis of operational data confirms that replenishment and fulfillment decisions are the "engine room" of the omnichannel network. Most successful retailers are moving toward "multi-period replenishment" models. Unlike simpler base-stock policies, these models optimize order quantities based on state-dependent variables, such as current store traffic and real-time online click-through rates (European Journal of Operational Research, 2023). Furthermore, the implementation of "Buy Online, Pick Up in Store" (BOPS) has been shown to be particularly beneficial when store visiting costs are high, as it provides a convenient middle ground for the consumer while allowing the retailer to save on last-mile delivery costs (Transportation Research Part E, 2022).

Finally, the results suggest that the "Future of Retail" is being shaped by digitized interactive platforms that integrate B2B and B2C models (He and Zhang, 2022). These platforms allow brands to act as both a direct seller and a platform for other complementary products, creating a new form of value for the customer. This integration is essential for brands looking to scale beyond the initial "niche" phase of DTC growth (Rangan et al., 2021).

## DISCUSSION

The deep interpretation of these results reveals a complex landscape where mathematics and psychology are inextricably linked. The "Great DTC Reset" should not be viewed as the death of the DTC model, but rather as its maturation. The initial DTC 1.0 was about "Storytelling and Disintermediation." DTC 2.0, as evidenced by this research, is about "Operational Robustness and Channel Fluidity." The re-expansion into

wholesale, as noted by Shounik (2025), is a rational response to the rising costs of the digital-only environment. By leveraging wholesale, brands can achieve the "scale of a legacy brand" while maintaining the "soul of a startup."

The theoretical implications of using multistage adaptive optimization (Bertsimas et al., 2011) cannot be overstated. In a world where global supply chains are prone to sudden shocks, the ability to create "near-optimal policies" that can adapt to new information in real-time is a significant competitive advantage. This research posits that operational resilience is actually a form of marketing. When a brand can consistently deliver on its promises through a robust supply chain, it builds "Perceived Value" and "Consumer Loyalty" (Oliver, 1999). Conversely, operational failure leads to brand betrayal.

A nuanced analysis of consumer engagement, particularly through the lens of brands like Glossier, shows that "online consumer engagement" is no longer just about likes and comments. It is about "sharing personal experiences" (Paintsil and Kim, 2022). This creates a community-led growth model that is much more sustainable than traditional advertising. However, this model is also more fragile. If the community feels that the brand is becoming "too corporate" or losing its innovative edge, the loyalty can vanish rapidly. This is why "Brand Innovativeness" (Pappu and Quester, 2016) must be a constant focus, even as the brand scales.

The "Omni-Channel Commerce Gap" remains the biggest hurdle for most retailers. While consumers want to shop anywhere and return anywhere, most retailers still operate with "siloes" of inventory. Bridging this gap requires significant investment in "Information and Entertainment Mobile Services" (Pihlström and Brush, 2008). It also requires a cultural shift within the organization to move toward "Organizational Buying Behavior" that is integrative rather than competitive (Johnston and Lewin, 1996). The future research agenda must focus on how AI and algorithmic solutions (Prasad and Ghosal, 2022) can further personalize the shopping experience without infringing on consumer privacy or creating "algorithmic bias" in product recommendations.

Limitations of the current study include the fact that much of the data on "The Great DTC Reset" is still emerging. Long-term longitudinal studies are needed to see if the return to wholesale will truly provide the stability brands are seeking. Furthermore, the impact of "Social Commerce Website Design" (Molinillo et al., 2021) may vary significantly across different cultures and age groups, necessitating more targeted demographic studies in the context of global e-commerce (Islam et al.).

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, "The Great DTC Reset" marks the end of the "DTC vs. Traditional Retail" binary. We are entering an era of "Omnichannel Synthesis" where the most successful brands will be those that can master the art of storytelling while simultaneously mastering the science of robust logistics. This research has demonstrated that wholesale re-expansion is a vital strategy for reducing operating tail risk and ensuring long-term brand health. It has also shown that the psychological aspects of brand loyalty-perceived value, engagement, and innovativeness-are deeply dependent on the reliability of the underlying operational infrastructure.

The future of retail is not just about selling products; it is about creating digitized interactive platforms that offer a suite of services and experiences. To bridge the "Omni-Channel Commerce Gap," retailers must move toward multi-period replenishment models and shared inventory transparency. As we move forward, the integration of algorithmic forecasting and environmental psychology will become the standard for brand management. Ultimately, the "Great Reset" is an opportunity for brands to build more resilient, more valuable, and more loyal relationships with their consumers in an increasingly uncertain world.

## REFERENCES

1. Bertsimas, D., et al. (2015). A tight characterization of the performance of static solutions in two-stage adjustable robust linear optimization. *Math. Program.*
2. Bertsimas, D., et al. (2011). A hierarchy of near-optimal policies for multistage adaptive optimization. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control.*
3. Cai, Y. J., et al. (2020). Omni-channel management in the new retailing era: A systematic review and future research agenda. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*
4. Customer Desires vs. Retailer Capabilities: Minding the Omni-Channel Commerce Gap (2014).
5. Gallino, S., et al. (2014). Integration of online and offline channels in retail: The impact of sharing reliable inventory availability information. *Manage. Sci.*
6. Incorporating risk aversion and time preference into omnichannel retail operations considering assortment and inventory optimization (2024). *European Journal of Operational Research.*
7. Replenishment and fulfillment decisions for stores in an omni-channel retail network (2023). *European Journal of Operational Research.*
8. Effects of BOPS implementation under market competition and decision timing in omnichannel retailing (2023). *Computers and Industrial Engineering.*
9. Benefit from a high store visiting cost in an omnichannel with BOPS (2022). *Transportation Research Part E Logistics and Transportation Review.*
10. Data-driven ordering and transshipment decisions for online retailers and logistics service providers (2022). *Transportation Research Part E Logistics and Transportation Review.*
11. Omnichannel retailing: exploring future research avenues in retail marketing and distribution management (2023). *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management.*
12. Mehrabian, A., & Russell, J. A. (1974). *An approach to environmental psychology.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
13. Molinillo, S., Aguilar-Illescas, R., Anaya-Sánchez, R., & Liébana-Cabanillas, F. (2021). Social commerce website design, perceived value and loyalty behavior intentions: the moderating roles of gender, age and frequency of use. *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.* 63:102404. doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102404
14. Morar, D. D. (2013). An overview of the consumer value literature—perceived value, desired value. *Market. Informat. Decis.* 6, 169–186.
15. Munsch, A. (2021). Millennial and generation Z digital marketing communication and advertising effectiveness: a qualitative exploration. *J. Glob. Scholars Market. Sci.* 31, 10–29. doi: 10.1080/21639159.2020.1808812
16. Naim, A. (2023). Consumer behavior in marketing patterns, types, segmentation. *European J. Econ. Finan. Bus. Dev.* 1, 1–18.
17. Naz, I. (2022). E-commerce and the changing landscape of media advertising. *J. Media Bus. Stud. Res.* 1, 1–14.
18. Oliver, R. L. (1999). Whence consumer loyalty? *J. Mark.* 63, 33–44. doi: 10.1177/00222429990634s105
19. Paintsil, A. (2019). *Consumer engagement with modern luxury direct-to-consumer brands on social media: A study of glossier.* Newark, DE: University of Delaware.
20. Paintsil, A., & Kim, H. S. (2022). Sharing personal experiences and online consumer engagement: a case

- study of glossier. *J. Glob. Fash. Market.* 13, 1–15. doi: 10.1080/20932685.2021.1947150
21. Pappu, R., & Quester, P. G. (2016). How does brand innovativeness affect brand loyalty? *Eur. J. Mark.* 50, 2–28. doi: 10.1108/EJM-01-2014-0020
22. Pihlström, M., & Brush, G. J. (2008). Comparing the perceived value of information and entertainment mobile services. *Psychol. Mark.* 25, 732–755. doi: 10.1002/mar.20236
23. Prasad, B., & Ghosal, I. (2022). Forecasting buying intention through artificial neural network: an algorithmic solution on direct-to-consumer brands. *FIIB Bus. Rev.* 11, 405–421. doi: 10.1177/231971452111046126
24. Rangan, V. K., Corsten, D., Higgins, M., & Schlesinger, L. A. (2021). How direct-to-consumer brands can continue to grow. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* 99, 100–109.
25. Ryu, S., & Park, J. (2020). The effects of benefit-driven commitment on usage of social media for shopping and positive word-of-mouth. *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.* 55:102094. doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102094
26. Sameeni, M. S., Ahmad, W., & Filieri, R. (2022). Brand betrayal, post-purchase regret, and consumer responses to hedonic versus utilitarian products: the moderating role of betrayal discovery mode. *J. Bus. Res.* 141, 137–150. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.12.019
27. Schacker, M., & Stanoevska-Slabeva, K. (2023). A morphology of digital direct-to-consumer (D2C) models. *Proc. Comput. Sci.* 219, 170–177. doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2023.01.278
28. Gorraiz, J., & Schloegl, C. (2008). A bibliometric analysis of pharmacology and pharmacy journals: Scopus versus Web of Science. *Journal of information science*, 34(5), 715-725.
29. Grabner-Kräuter, S. (2004). Building consumer trust in online markets. In *Business Ethics and the Electronic Economy* (pp. 223-236). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
30. He, J., & Zhang, S. (2022). How digitalized interactive platforms create new value for customers by integrating B2B and B2C models? An empirical study in China. *Journal of Business Research*, 142, 694-706.
31. Hernandez, S., Alvarez, P., Fabra, J., & Ezpeleta, J. (2017). Analysis of users' behavior in structured ecommerce websites. *IEEE Access*, 5, 11941-11958.
32. Hoffman, D. L., & Novak, T. P. (1996). Marketing in hypermedia computer-mediated environments: Conceptual foundations. *Journal of marketing*, 60(3), 50-68.
33. Islam, M. W., Imtiaz, M. A., & Hamid, S. B. FACTORS AFFECTING B2C BUYERS BEHAVIOUR OF ECOMMERCE INDUSTRY IN THE CONTEXT OF BANGLADESH.
34. Ivanova, N. V. (2020, October). The future of retail: Innovations and basic trends. In *Innovative economic symposium* (pp. 157-163). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
35. Johnston, W. J., & Lewin, J. E. (1996). Organizational buying behavior: Toward an integrative framework. *Journal of Business research*, 35(1), 1-15.
36. Shounik, S. (2025). The Great DTC Reset as Stress Management: Evidence that Wholesale Re-Expansion Reduces "Operating Tail Risk" in Consumer Brands. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2(6), 1221-1231. 10.5281/zenodo.17995468