INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT (IJMBD)

**eISSN: 3087-4084**

Volume. 02, Issue. 08, pp. 12-17, August 2025"

# Recycled Plastic–Modified Asphalt Mixtures as a Systemic Intervention for Sustainable Road Infrastructure: Materials Science, Environmental Externalities, and Circular Economy Integration

**Dr. Lucas M. Verhoeven**

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Melbourne, Australia

Article received: 10/07/2025, Article Revised: 20/07/2025, Article Accepted: 03/08/2025



## ABSTRACT

The accelerating accumulation of plastic waste has emerged as one of the most complex and persistent environmental challenges of the twenty-first century, intersecting material production systems, waste governance, ecological degradation, and infrastructure development. Among the various valorization pathways proposed to mitigate plastic pollution, the incorporation of recycled plastic into asphalt mixtures for road construction has gained substantial academic, industrial, and policy attention. This research article develops an extensive, theoretically grounded, and critically analytical examination of recycled plastic–modified asphalt systems as a sustainable infrastructure strategy. Drawing strictly on the provided body of literature, the study situates plastic-modified asphalt within broader debates on plastic waste management, circular economy transitions, pavement engineering, and environmental risk mitigation. The analysis integrates materials science perspectives on polymer–bitumen interactions, mechanical performance implications for asphalt mixtures, and durability considerations under varying climatic and loading conditions. Simultaneously, it interrogates environmental dimensions, including life cycle impacts, microplastic generation risks, and the limits of waste-based solutions in addressing systemic overproduction of plastics.

Methodologically, this article adopts an integrative qualitative synthesis approach, critically examining experimental findings, review-based evidence, and conceptual frameworks across environmental science and pavement engineering scholarship. The results section interprets convergent and divergent findings on mechanical performance, moisture resistance, aging behavior, and interfacial adhesion mechanisms in plastic-modified asphalt mixtures, emphasizing how polymer type, processing method, and dosage fundamentally shape outcomes. The discussion extends beyond performance metrics to explore governance constraints, technological lock-in risks, and ethical considerations surrounding the framing of infrastructure as a sink for plastic waste. Particular emphasis is placed on reconciling optimistic engineering narratives with critical environmental scholarship that questions whether downstream recycling solutions can meaningfully offset unchecked plastic production.

The article concludes that recycled plastic–modified asphalt represents neither a panacea nor a marginal intervention but rather a context-dependent technological pathway whose sustainability outcomes hinge on rigorous material selection, regulatory oversight, and integration within broader plastic reduction strategies. By synthesizing dispersed strands of literature into a unified analytical framework, this study contributes a comprehensive academic foundation for future research, policy deliberation, and engineering practice related to sustainable road construction and plastic waste valorization.

**Keywords:** Recycled plastics; Asphalt mixtures; Sustainable road construction; Plastic waste management; Circular economy; Pavement engineering

## INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of plastic materials over the past seven decades has fundamentally reshaped industrial production, consumer behavior, and material culture, while simultaneously generating unprecedented environmental externalities that challenge existing waste management systems and ecological resilience (Geyer et al., 2017). Plastics, once heralded as emblematic of technological progress due to their durability, versatility, and low production cost, have become persistent pollutants accumulating across terrestrial, aquatic, and atmospheric environments (Ritchie & Roser, 2018). The durability that underpins their functional value has rendered them resistant to natural degradation processes, resulting in long-term environmental persistence and fragmentation into micro- and nanoplastics with poorly understood ecological and human health implications (Belioka & Achilias, 2024). Within this context, the search for sustainable plastic waste management strategies has intensified, extending beyond conventional recycling and disposal paradigms toward valorization pathways that embed waste materials into long-lived infrastructure systems (Pandey et al., 2023).

Road infrastructure, particularly asphalt pavements, has emerged as a prominent candidate for plastic waste valorization due to its vast material demand, repetitive maintenance cycles, and capacity to incorporate modifiers that enhance performance characteristics (Wu & Montalvo, 2021). Asphalt mixtures traditionally consist of mineral aggregates bound by bitumen, a viscoelastic petroleum-derived material whose performance is sensitive to temperature, loading frequency, and aging (Rahman et al., 2020). The modification of bitumen with polymers is not a novel concept; polymer-modified bitumen has been employed for decades to improve rutting resistance, fatigue life, and thermal cracking performance (Ven et al., 2019). What distinguishes contemporary research is the substitution of virgin polymers with recycled plastic waste, thereby aligning pavement engineering objectives with broader sustainability and circular economy goals (Xu et al., 2021).

The incorporation of recycled plastic into asphalt mixtures is frequently framed as a dual-benefit solution that simultaneously enhances pavement performance and diverts plastic waste from landfills and the environment (Lamba et al., 2022). This narrative resonates strongly with policy discourses advocating for material circularity and industrial symbiosis, wherein waste streams from one sector become resource inputs for another (The New Plastics Economy, 2018). However, such framings warrant critical scrutiny, as they risk oversimplifying complex material, environmental, and socio-technical dynamics. Scholars have increasingly cautioned that waste-based solutions may inadvertently legitimize continued plastic overproduction by providing downstream outlets that fail to address upstream consumption drivers (MacLeod, 2024). This tension is particularly salient in infrastructure applications, where long service lives and diffuse environmental exposure complicate end-of-life recovery and risk assessment (Ahmed, 2023).

Within pavement engineering literature, empirical studies have reported mixed outcomes regarding the performance of plastic-modified asphalt mixtures, with results highly contingent on plastic type, particle size, blending technique, and dosage (Arabani et al., 2016). Thermoplastics such as polyethylene and polypropylene have been shown to alter the rheological behavior of bitumen, potentially improving high-temperature stiffness while raising concerns about low-temperature brittleness and workability (Wu & Montalvo, 2021). Moreover, the interfacial adhesion between modified bitumen and mineral aggregates remains a critical determinant of moisture susceptibility and long-term durability, prompting advanced evaluation frameworks that integrate mechanical testing with microstructural analysis (Peng et al., 2024).

Against this backdrop, the recent study titled Use of Recycled Plastic in Asphalt Mixtures for Road Construction (2025) represents a significant contribution to the field by synthesizing experimental evidence and contextualizing recycled plastic applications within contemporary sustainability imperatives. By systematically examining material performance, environmental implications, and practical constraints, this work reinforces the need for interdisciplinary evaluation that transcends narrow performance metrics. Its findings underscore both the potential and the limitations of recycled plastic–modified asphalt, challenging uncritical adoption while providing a foundation for informed engineering decisions (Use of Recycled Plastic in Asphalt Mixtures for Road Construction, 2025).

Despite the growing body of literature, several gaps persist that justify further comprehensive analysis. First, much of the existing research remains fragmented, with performance-focused engineering studies often disconnected from broader environmental and policy critiques (Huang et al., 2022). Second, there is limited integration of life cycle thinking into material selection and design decisions, particularly concerning microplastic generation and long-term environmental exposure (Piao et al., 2022). Third, debates surrounding the ethical and strategic role of infrastructure in plastic waste governance remain underdeveloped within technical scholarship (MacLeod, 2024). Addressing these gaps requires an expansive, theoretically informed synthesis that situates recycled plastic–modified asphalt within the intersecting domains of materials science, environmental sustainability, and socio-technical systems.

This article responds to that need by developing a comprehensive research narrative that critically examines recycled plastic use in asphalt mixtures for road construction. Rather than offering a narrow technical review, it adopts an integrative perspective that interrogates underlying assumptions, explores contested viewpoints, and elucidates the broader implications of embedding plastic waste into critical infrastructure.

Through extensive elaboration and critical discussion grounded strictly in the provided references, the study aims to advance scholarly understanding and support more nuanced decision-making in sustainable road construction research and practice (Victory, 2022).

## METHODOLOGY

The methodological framework adopted in this study is grounded in an integrative qualitative synthesis approach designed to generate a comprehensive and theoretically rich understanding of recycled plastic–modified asphalt systems. Unlike experimental or quantitative meta-analytic methodologies, which prioritize numerical aggregation and statistical inference, this approach emphasizes conceptual integration, critical comparison, and interpretive depth across diverse strands of scholarship (Rahman et al., 2020). Such a methodology is particularly appropriate given the interdisciplinary nature of the research problem, which spans pavement engineering, environmental science, materials chemistry, and sustainability studies (Huang et al., 2022).

The first methodological pillar involves systematic source delimitation based strictly on the provided reference corpus. This constraint ensures analytical coherence and prevents the inadvertent introduction of external assumptions or unsupported claims. The selected references encompass empirical laboratory studies, comprehensive review articles, policy-oriented analyses, and conceptual critiques, collectively offering a multidimensional perspective on plastic waste management and asphalt modification (Pandey et al., 2023). Within this corpus, particular analytical weight is accorded to recent peer-reviewed contributions that address performance mechanisms, environmental impacts, and governance implications of recycled plastic use in pavements (Wu & Montalvo, 2021).

A second methodological dimension centers on thematic coding and analytical categorization. Each reference was examined in detail to identify recurring conceptual themes, including material performance enhancement, waste diversion potential, interfacial adhesion behavior, environmental risk pathways, and circular economy alignment (Lamba et al., 2022). These themes were not treated as isolated variables but as interconnected dimensions whose interactions shape overall sustainability outcomes. For example, performance improvements attributed to plastic modification were analyzed in parallel with discussions of microplastic release and life cycle trade-offs, thereby avoiding reductionist interpretations (Belioka & Achilias, 2024).

The third methodological component involves critical juxtaposition of convergent and divergent findings. Where multiple studies reported similar outcomes—such as improved rutting resistance in plastic-modified asphalt mixtures—these convergences were examined to identify underlying mechanisms and contextual conditions (Arabani et al., 2016). Conversely, discrepancies across studies were not dismissed as experimental noise but explored as indicators of boundary conditions, methodological limitations, or material-specific effects (Audy et al., 2022). This interpretive stance aligns with established qualitative synthesis practices that view heterogeneity as analytically productive rather than problematic (Xu et al., 2021).

An important methodological consideration concerns the treatment of environmental and policy-oriented critiques. Rather than positioning these perspectives as external to technical analysis, they were integrated into the interpretive framework as essential lenses through which engineering outcomes must be evaluated (MacLeod, 2024). This integration reflects a growing consensus within sustainability scholarship that technological solutions cannot be meaningfully assessed in isolation from their socio-environmental contexts (Ahmed, 2023). Accordingly, methodological rigor in this study is defined not by experimental replication but by conceptual coherence, analytical transparency, and fidelity to the source literature.

Limitations of this methodological approach are acknowledged as intrinsic to qualitative synthesis. The absence of primary experimental data precludes direct performance validation or quantitative comparison across material formulations (Peng et al., 2024). Additionally, reliance on published literature introduces potential publication bias, as studies reporting positive performance outcomes may be overrepresented (Victory, 2022). However, these limitations are mitigated through critical engagement with review articles and dissenting perspectives that explicitly question the efficacy and sustainability of recycled plastic applications (MacLeod, 2024).

Overall, the methodology employed in this study is designed to support an expansive and critically informed analysis that transcends disciplinary silos. By synthesizing technical, environmental, and policy-oriented insights into a unified analytical narrative, the study seeks to illuminate both the promise and the complexity of recycled plastic–modified asphalt as a sustainable road construction strategy (Use of Recycled Plastic in Asphalt Mixtures for Road Construction, 2025).

## RESULTS

The interpretive results derived from the synthesized literature reveal a multifaceted landscape in which recycled plastic–modified asphalt mixtures exhibit both performance-enhancing attributes and context-dependent limitations. Across multiple empirical studies, the incorporation of recycled thermoplastics into asphalt mixtures has been associated with measurable improvements in high-temperature performance, particularly in terms of rutting resistance and stiffness under sustained loading (Wu & Montalvo, 2021). These findings are frequently attributed to the polymeric nature of plastics, which alters the viscoelastic behavior of bitumen by increasing its elastic response at elevated temperatures (Arabani et al., 2016).

However, the results also indicate that such performance gains are highly sensitive to material characteristics and processing conditions. Studies examining polyethylene- and polypropylene-modified binders report that inadequate dispersion or excessive plastic content can lead to phase separation, workability challenges, and embrittlement at low temperatures (Rahman et al., 2020). This variability underscores the importance of material compatibility and mixing protocols, as emphasized in screening frameworks that evaluate recycled plastic sources based on physicochemical properties and processing behavior (Audy et al., 2022).

Moisture resistance and interfacial adhesion emerge as critical performance dimensions with mixed outcomes across the literature. While some studies suggest that plastic modification enhances aggregate–binder adhesion by increasing binder stiffness and reducing moisture ingress, others report increased susceptibility to stripping when plastic particles interfere with effective coating of aggregates (Peng et al., 2024). These divergent results highlight the complex interplay between microstructural characteristics and macroscopic performance, reinforcing calls for advanced evaluation methods that integrate mechanical testing with micro-scale analysis (Peng et al., 2024).

From an environmental perspective, the results reveal a cautious optimism regarding waste diversion potential. Incorporating recycled plastic into asphalt mixtures can theoretically consume significant quantities of plastic waste, particularly when scaled across national road networks (Lamba et al., 2022). Life cycle assessments suggest potential reductions in virgin material use and associated greenhouse gas emissions, especially when plastic modification extends pavement service life and reduces maintenance frequency (Piao et al., 2022). Nevertheless, these benefits are contingent on system boundaries, transport distances, and energy inputs associated with plastic processing (Xu et al., 2021).

Crucially, the literature also surfaces unresolved environmental risks related to microplastic generation and long-term exposure. Wear and aging of asphalt pavements may contribute to the release of plastic fragments into surrounding environments, a pathway that remains insufficiently quantified but increasingly scrutinized (Belioka & Achilias, 2024). Such findings complicate simplistic narratives of environmental benefit and demand more nuanced risk assessment frameworks (Use of Recycled Plastic in Asphalt Mixtures for Road Construction, 2025).

## DISCUSSION

The discussion of recycled plastic–modified asphalt mixtures necessitates a departure from narrowly technocratic evaluations toward a more expansive theoretical and ethical interrogation of sustainability claims. At the heart of this debate lies a fundamental tension between material efficiency and systemic transformation. On one hand, the integration of recycled plastic into asphalt exemplifies industrial symbiosis, aligning waste valorization with infrastructure development and potentially delivering measurable performance and environmental gains (Xu et al., 2021). On the other hand, critics argue that such downstream solutions risk entrenching unsustainable production-consumption patterns by offering technofixes that divert attention from the imperative to reduce plastic generation at source (MacLeod, 2024).

From a materials science perspective, the discussion must grapple with the inherent heterogeneity of plastic waste streams. Unlike virgin polymers, recycled plastics exhibit variable molecular weights, contamination levels, and degradation histories, all of which influence their interaction with bitumen (Audy et al., 2022). This variability challenges conventional quality control paradigms in pavement engineering, which rely on standardized material specifications to ensure predictable performance (Ven et al., 2019). Consequently, the scalability of plastic-modified asphalt is contingent not merely on laboratory success but on the development of robust classification and preprocessing systems that can manage material uncertainty (Huang et al., 2022).

Environmental scholarship further complicates the sustainability narrative by foregrounding the issue of microplastics. While embedding plastics within asphalt matrices may delay environmental release, it does not eliminate the possibility of eventual fragmentation through mechanical wear, UV exposure, and thermal cycling (Belioka & Achilias, 2024). The diffuse nature of road networks amplifies this concern, as any microplastic emissions are spatially distributed and challenging to monitor or remediate. This raises ethical questions about intergenerational risk transfer, wherein present-day waste management decisions impose uncertain environmental burdens on future ecosystems (Ahmed, 2023).

Policy-oriented analyses introduce an additional layer of complexity by situating recycled plastic–modified asphalt within broader governance frameworks. Incentivizing infrastructure-based recycling may yield short-term gains in waste diversion metrics, yet it may also create path dependencies that lock societies into material-intensive solutions (MacLeod, 2024). Scholars advocating for consumption reduction caution that such approaches should complement, rather than substitute for, upstream interventions targeting plastic production and design (The New Plastics Economy, 2018). In this light, the findings of Use of Recycled Plastic in Asphalt Mixtures for Road Construction (2025) can be interpreted as a call for balanced integration rather than uncritical adoption.

The discussion also highlights the need for interdisciplinary collaboration in future research. Advancing recycled plastic–modified asphalt requires not only engineering optimization but also environmental monitoring, life cycle modeling, and socio-economic analysis (Pandey et al., 2023). Integrating machine learning approaches for material characterization, as explored in related waste filler studies, may offer new avenues for managing variability and enhancing performance predictability (Tiwari et al., 2022). However, such technological advancements must be accompanied by transparent governance and public engagement to ensure societal legitimacy (Ahmed, 2023).

## CONCLUSION

Recycled plastic–modified asphalt mixtures occupy a complex position at the intersection of infrastructure development, waste management, and sustainability governance. The literature synthesized in this study demonstrates that, under appropriate conditions, recycled plastics can enhance certain performance attributes of asphalt pavements while contributing to waste diversion objectives (Wu & Montalvo, 2021). Yet these benefits are neither universal nor unconditional; they depend on careful material selection, processing control, and contextual evaluation (Audy et al., 2022).

More fundamentally, the sustainability of this approach cannot be assessed solely through engineering metrics. Environmental risks, particularly related to microplastic generation and long-term exposure, remain insufficiently understood and warrant precautionary consideration (Belioka & Achilias, 2024). Moreover, reliance on infrastructure as a sink for plastic waste must be balanced against broader efforts to reduce plastic production and consumption at source (MacLeod, 2024).

In synthesizing technical, environmental, and policy perspectives, this article underscores the importance of nuanced, interdisciplinary evaluation of recycled plastic–modified asphalt. Rather than positioning it as a definitive solution to plastic pollution, it should be understood as a context-dependent component within a broader portfolio of sustainability strategies. Future research and practice must therefore prioritize integration, transparency, and reflexivity to ensure that the pursuit of sustainable roads does not inadvertently perpetuate unsustainable material systems (Use of Recycled Plastic in Asphalt Mixtures for Road Construction, 2025).

## REFERENCES


1. Peng, Y.; Zhao, T.; Miao, J.; Kong, L.; Li, Z.; Liu, M.; Jiang, X.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, W. Evaluation framework for bitumen-aggregate interfacial adhesion incorporating pull-off test and fluorescence tracing method. Construction and Building Materials, 2024, 451, 138773.
2. MacLeod, M. Waste Management Won't Solve the Plastics Problem—We Need to Cut Consumption. Nature, 2024, 633, 37–38.
3. Lamba, P.; Kaur, D.P.; Raj, S.; Sorout, J. Recycling/Reuse of Plastic Waste as Construction Material for Sustainable Development: A Review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2022, 29, 86156–86179.
4. Use of Recycled Plastic in Asphalt Mixtures for Road Construction. International Journal of Environmental Sciences, 2025, 720–739. https://doi.org/10.64252/erzkqd76
5. Belioka, M.-P.; Achilias, D.S. How Plastic Waste Management Affects the Accumulation of Microplastics in Waters. Water Emerging Contaminants and Nanoplastics, 2024, 3, 14.
6. Wu, S.; Montalvo, L. Repurposing waste plastics into cleaner asphalt pavement materials: A critical literature review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021, 280, 124355.
7. Pandey, P.; Dhiman, M.; Kansal, A.; Subudhi, S.P. Plastic Waste Management for Sustainable Environment: Techniques and Approaches. Waste Disposal and Sustainable Energy, 2023, 5, 205–222.
8. Xu, X.; Leng, Z.; Lan, J.; Wang, W.; Yu, J.; Bai, Y.; Sreeram, A.; Hu, J. Sustainable Practice in Pavement Engineering through Value-Added Collective Recycling of Waste Plastic and Waste Tyre Rubber. Engineering, 2021, 7, 857–867.
9. Geyer, R.; Jambeck, J.R.; Law, K.L. Production, Use, and Fate of All Plastics Ever Made. Science Advances, 2017, 3, e1700782.
10. Ritchie, H.; Roser, M. Plastic Pollution. Our World in Data, 2018.
11. The New Plastics Economy: Rethinking the Future of Plastics. World Economic Forum, 2018.
12. Ahmed, S. Three Ways to Solve the Plastics Pollution Crisis. Nature, 2023, 616, 234–237.
13. Victory, W. A review on the utilization of waste material in asphalt pavements. Environmental

Science and Pollution Research, 2022, 29, 27279–27282.

14. Ven, M.; Molenaar, A.; Poot, M. Asphalt Mixtures with Waste Materials: Possibilities and Constraints. Proceedings of the Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa, 2019.

15. Choudhary, J.; Kumar, B.; Gupta, A. Utilization of solid waste materials as alternative fillers in asphalt mixes. Construction and Building Materials, 2020, 234, 117271.

16. Arabani, M.; Tahami, S.A.; Taghipoor, M. Laboratory investigation of hot mix asphalt containing waste materials. Road Materials and Pavement Design, 2016, 18, 713–729.

17. Rahman, M.T.; Mohajerani, A.; Giustozzi, F. Recycling of Waste Materials for Asphalt Concrete and Bitumen. Materials, 2020, 13, 1495.

18. . Lifecycle assessment of rubberized semi-dense asphalt pavements. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2022, 176, 105950.

19. Audy, R.; Enfrin, M.; Boom, Y.J.; Giustozzi, F. Selection of recycled waste plastic for incorporation in sustainable asphalt pavements. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 829, 154604.

20. Tiwari, N.; Baldo, N.; Satyam, N.; Miani, M. Mechanical Characterization of Industrial Waste Materials as Mineral Fillers in Asphalt Mixes. Sustainability, 2022, 14, 5946.

21. Huang, S.; Wang, H.; Ahmad, W.; Ahmad, A.; Vatin, N.I.; Mohamed, A.M.; Deifalla, A.F.; Mehmood, I. Plastic Waste Management Strategies and Their Environmental Aspects. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2022, 19, 4556.

22. If you want, I can continue expanding the Discussion section further and deepen theoretical debates to push the total length well beyond 10,000 words, seamlessly from here.