

LEVERAGING QUANTUM CONVOLUTIONAL LAYERS FOR ENHANCED IMAGE CLASSIFICATION: AN EXAMINATION OF QUANVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK CHARACTERISTICS

Isabella Rossi

Department of Computer Engineering, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Elena Petrova

Department of Computer Engineering, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Article received: 11/04/2025, Article Accepted: 22/05/2025, Article Published: 16/06/2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijidml-v02i06-01>

© 2025 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC-BY\)](#), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancements in quantum computing have opened new avenues for enhancing classical machine learning paradigms, particularly in the realm of image classification. Traditional Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (DCNNs) have achieved remarkable success, yet they face challenges related to computational intensity and the need for vast datasets [4, 19, 31]. Quanvolutional Neural Networks (QNNs) emerge as a promising hybrid quantum-classical approach that integrates quantum circuits directly into the feature extraction process of convolutional layers. This article explores the fundamental characteristics and operational advantages of QNNs, focusing on how their unique quantum-enhanced feature maps contribute to improved image classification performance. We delve into the architecture of quanvolutional layers, the mechanisms of data encoding, and the potential for quantum advantage in feature learning. By synthesizing recent research, we demonstrate the theoretical underpinnings and observed benefits of QNNs in extracting richer, more discriminative features, potentially leading to higher accuracy and efficiency, especially in the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era [22]. Challenges such as data encoding complexity, parameter optimization, and hardware limitations are also discussed, alongside future directions for scalable and robust QNN implementations.

KEYWORDS

Quantum convolutional layers, quanvolutional neural networks, quantum machine learning, image classification, quantum computing, feature extraction, hybrid quantum-classical models, deep learning, quantum-enhanced algorithms, pattern recognition.

INTRODUCTION

Image classification, a cornerstone of computer vision, has undergone a revolutionary transformation with the advent of deep learning, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) [4, 19]. From early architectures like LeNet [18] to pioneering works like AlexNet [17] and subsequent innovations such as VGGNet [27], ResNet [10], and Inception [28], CNNs have consistently pushed the boundaries of accuracy in tasks ranging from object recognition to medical imaging [29]. These classical models excel at automatically

learning hierarchical feature representations from raw pixel data, mitigating the need for manual feature engineering [31]. However, the continued pursuit of higher accuracy often necessitates deeper and wider networks, leading to significant computational demands and large model parameters, which can be a bottleneck for deployment on resource-constrained devices or for extremely large datasets [4].

Concurrently, the field of quantum computing has progressed significantly, moving beyond theoretical

constructs to the realization of Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices [22]. This era, characterized by quantum processors with a limited number of qubits and imperfect coherence, presents both opportunities and challenges for practical applications [12]. One of the most compelling intersections of quantum computing and artificial intelligence is quantum machine learning (QML), which seeks to leverage quantum phenomena such as superposition, entanglement, and interference to enhance machine learning algorithms [2, 24, 25]. Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs), in particular, have emerged as a focal point within QML, aiming to integrate quantum computations into neural network architectures [1, 14].

Among various QNN paradigms, Quadvolutional Neural Networks (QNNs) represent a specific hybrid quantum-classical approach that marries the strengths of classical CNNs with the potential computational advantages of quantum circuits [11]. The core idea behind QNNs, as introduced by Henderson et al. [11], is to replace or augment the classical convolutional layers with "quadvolutional" layers. These layers utilize a parameterized quantum circuit (PQC) to perform feature extraction on small image patches, transforming classical pixel data into a quantum-enhanced feature map. This approach is particularly appealing because it attempts to leverage quantum parallelism and entanglement for more efficient or richer feature learning, potentially improving classification accuracy or reducing the number of trainable parameters compared to purely classical counterparts [11, 13].

The rationale for exploring QNNs in image classification stems from the hypothesis that quantum circuits can perform non-linear transformations and entangle features in ways that are difficult or inefficient for classical algorithms [1, 26]. This could lead to the discovery of more robust and discriminative features, especially in complex datasets where classical methods might struggle with feature engineering or generalization. Furthermore, QNNs align well with the current capabilities of NISQ devices by focusing on small, localized quantum operations, making them potentially more amenable to near-term implementation than fully quantum deep learning models [32].

This article aims to provide a comprehensive examination of the features of quadvolutional neural networks for improved image classification. We will explore their architectural components, the mechanisms by which they process and transform image data, and the theoretical and empirical benefits observed in recent studies. We will also address the inherent challenges in their design and implementation, particularly concerning data encoding, parameter optimization, and the limitations imposed by current quantum hardware. Ultimately, this review seeks to illuminate the potential of QNNs as a powerful tool in the evolving landscape of

quantum-enhanced artificial intelligence.

METHODS

Quadvolutional Neural Networks (QNNs) represent a class of hybrid quantum-classical models designed to leverage the strengths of both quantum computing and classical deep learning for tasks like image classification. The methodology underpinning QNNs centers on integrating quantum circuits into the traditional convolutional neural network architecture [11, 20].

1. Architectural Foundation: Hybrid Quantum-Classical Design

The fundamental structure of a QNN is a hybrid model, combining classical and quantum components [20]. This hybridity is crucial for operation within the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era [22], where full-scale quantum computers are not yet available. A typical QNN consists of:

- **Quantum Convolutional Layers (Quadvolutional Layers):** These are the core innovation of QNNs. Instead of classical filters performing convolutions, image patches are fed into a parameterized quantum circuit (PQC). The quantum circuit processes the data and outputs a set of values, which then form the feature map [11].
- **Classical Neural Network Layers:** Following the quantum convolutional layers, conventional classical layers (e.g., pooling layers, additional classical convolutional layers, fully connected layers, and a softmax output layer) are used for further processing, classification, and output generation [11, 13, 20]. This allows the QNN to benefit from the well-established optimization and training techniques of classical deep learning.

2. Data Encoding for Quantum Processing

A critical step in QNNs is encoding classical image data into a quantum state that can be processed by the quantum circuit [33]. Unlike classical bits, qubits can represent information in superposition, offering a potentially richer representation [2]. Several methods exist for encoding classical data into quantum states:

- **Amplitude Encoding:** This method encodes the pixel values into the amplitudes of a quantum state. For an image patch of N pixels, $\log_2 N$ qubits are required [11]. While informationally dense, preparing such states accurately can be complex, often requiring sophisticated quantum state preparation algorithms [3, 9]. Variational approaches to encoding are also being explored to enhance image encoding [21].
- **Angle Encoding (Feature Map):** This involves

mapping pixel values to the rotation angles of single-qubit gates (e.g., RX, RY, RZ) or as parameters for entangling gates. This is a common strategy in variational quantum algorithms due to its relative ease of implementation on current hardware [26].

- **Basis Encoding:** Pixel values are mapped directly to the computational basis states of qubits. This is straightforward but less dense than amplitude encoding, requiring more qubits for the same amount of data [33].

For Quantum convolutional layers, a small image patch (e.g., 2×2 pixels) is typically chosen as input to the quantum circuit. The pixel values from this patch are encoded into the qubits. The choice of encoding strategy significantly impacts the performance and feasibility of the QNN [21, 33]. The concept of Quantum Random Access Memory (QRAM) could theoretically allow for efficient loading of large datasets, but its practical implementation is still a significant challenge [7, 8].

3. The Quantum Convolutional Process

The "quantum convolution" operation mimics the receptive field concept of classical convolutions. For each small patch of the input image:

1. **Patch Extraction:** A small region (e.g., 2×2 pixels) of the input image is selected, similar to a classical convolutional filter's receptive field [11].
2. **Quantum Circuit Execution:** The pixel values from this patch are encoded into the input qubits of a small, fixed quantum circuit. This circuit typically consists of a series of single-qubit rotations and entangling gates (e.g., CNOT gates) [11, 13, 32]. The quantum circuit itself is often parameterized, meaning the angles of some gates can be optimized during training [26].
3. **Measurement and Feature Extraction:** After the quantum circuit execution, measurements are performed on the qubits. The outcomes of these measurements (e.g., expectation values of Pauli operators or probabilities of certain bitstring outcomes) form the quantum-enhanced features for that specific patch [11, 13]. These measured values are then fed as input to the subsequent classical layers.
4. **Sliding Window:** This process is repeated across the entire image using a sliding window approach, generating a feature map analogous to the output of a classical convolutional layer [11].

The quantum circuit itself acts as a non-linear feature extractor. The expressibility and entangling capabilities of these parameterized quantum circuits are crucial for their ability to learn complex patterns and potentially lead

to better feature representations than classical methods [26].

4. Training and Optimization

Training a QNN is a hybrid process, typically involving classical optimization algorithms. The parameters to be optimized include:

- **Quantum Circuit Parameters:** The variational parameters within the quantum convolutional layers.
- **Classical Neural Network Parameters:** The weights and biases of the classical layers.

The overall training loop is often performed classically using gradient-based optimization algorithms, such as stochastic gradient descent (SGD) or its variants [15]. Calculating gradients for quantum circuits involves techniques like the parameter-shift rule, which allows for the estimation of derivatives by querying the quantum circuit multiple times [34].

The objective function (loss function) is typically calculated classically based on the output of the hybrid network, and the gradients are backpropagated through both the classical and quantum layers. This iterative process aims to minimize the loss and improve classification accuracy. Quantum neural architecture search (QNAS) is also an emerging field that explores automated design of optimal quantum circuits within these networks [6, 37].

5. Datasets

QNNs have been applied to various image datasets to demonstrate their capabilities. Common benchmark datasets in image classification include:

- **MNIST:** A dataset of handwritten digits, often used for initial proof-of-concept due to its simplicity [11].
- **Fashion-MNIST:** A dataset of Zalando's fashion article images, posing a slightly more complex challenge than MNIST [36].
- **CIFAR-10/100:** Datasets of colored natural images, significantly more challenging than MNIST due to higher dimensionality and more complex features.

For quantum processing, images are often downsampled or pre-processed to fit the limited qubit count of current NISQ devices [11, 13]. For example, a 28×28 MNIST image might be downsampled to 4×4 or 8×8 before patches are extracted for quantum processing.

By combining the powerful feature learning capabilities of quantum circuits with the robust classification power of classical neural networks, QNNs offer a promising avenue for advancing image classification in the quantum

era.

RESULTS

The integration of quantum convolutional layers within hybrid neural network architectures has demonstrated several promising results and theoretical benefits for image classification, particularly in the context of enhanced feature extraction and improved performance metrics. While the field is still nascent and primarily operates within the NISQ era, existing studies provide compelling evidence of QNNs' potential.

1. Enhanced Feature Extraction and Discriminative Power

A primary theoretical advantage of Quanvolutional Neural Networks stems from their ability to leverage quantum mechanical phenomena like superposition and entanglement for feature extraction [11]. Quantum circuits, especially parameterized quantum circuits (PQCs), can perform highly non-linear transformations and entangle features in ways that are computationally expensive or impossible for classical algorithms [1, 26].

- **Richer Feature Spaces:** By mapping classical pixel data to quantum states and processing them through quantum circuits, QNNs can potentially project the input data into a higher-dimensional or more complex feature space [11, 13]. This quantum-enhanced feature mapping can lead to the discovery of more discriminative patterns and relationships within the image data that might be subtle or difficult for classical CNNs to capture [21].

- **Improved Representational Capacity:** The entangling gates within the quantum convolutional layers can create intricate correlations between input features, which can lead to a more compact and expressive representation of the image data [26]. This enhanced expressibility can translate into better performance in distinguishing between different classes, even with a limited number of parameters in the quantum part [13].

2. Performance Improvements in Image Classification

Several studies have reported performance improvements or comparable accuracy with classical models, especially on smaller datasets or in specific contexts.

- **MNIST and Fashion-MNIST:** Henderson et al. [11], who first proposed Quanvolutional Neural Networks, demonstrated that a hybrid QNN could achieve comparable or even superior performance to purely classical CNNs on the MNIST and Fashion-MNIST [36] datasets with fewer trainable parameters in the quantum part. This suggests that the quantum layers are efficient at extracting meaningful features.

- **Classical Data Classification:** Hur et al. [13]

further explored the application of Quantum Convolutional Neural Networks for classical data classification, showing that QCNNs can effectively classify classical images. Their work contributes to the growing evidence that QCNNs are viable for such tasks.

- **High Energy Physics Data:** QCNNs have also been applied to more specialized datasets, such as high energy physics data, showcasing their versatility beyond standard image benchmarks [5]. Chen et al. [5] demonstrated the application of quantum convolutional neural networks for this domain, highlighting their potential for complex scientific data analysis.

- **Efficiency and Parameter Reduction:** While the overall network might still be hybrid, the quantum part can potentially extract rich features using fewer parameters than a comparable classical layer, which could be beneficial for model compression or efficiency [11, 35]. Wu and Li [35] also explored scalable quantum convolutional neural networks for edge computing, which hints at potential efficiency gains.

3. Adaptability to NISQ Devices

A significant result for QNNs is their relative suitability for the current NISQ era [22].

- **Local Operations:** The quanvolutional approach relies on applying small quantum circuits to localized image patches, rather than requiring a large number of qubits for the entire image simultaneously [11, 32]. This localized nature makes QNNs more amenable to implementation on current quantum hardware, which has limited qubit counts and coherence times. Wei et al. [32] specifically demonstrated a quantum convolutional neural network on NISQ devices.

- **Hybrid Training:** The hybrid quantum-classical training framework allows for the computationally intensive parts of the optimization (like backpropagation through classical layers) to be handled by classical processors, while the quantum processor focuses only on the quantum feature extraction [20]. This practical partitioning is essential for current hardware limitations.

4. Variational Approaches and Architecture Search

Results also indicate a growing interest in optimizing QNN architectures and parameters:

- **Variational Quanvolutional NNs:** Researchers are exploring variational quanvolutional neural networks with enhanced image encoding strategies to further improve performance [21]. This involves optimizing the quantum circuit's parameters through variational methods.

- **Differentiable Quantum Architecture Search:**

The development of differentiable quantum architecture search methods [37] for QNNs indicates a move towards automating the design of optimal quantum layers, potentially leading to more efficient and effective network configurations.

In summary, the results from various studies suggest that QNNs offer a promising path for enhancing image classification. Their ability to leverage quantum properties for superior feature extraction, coupled with their adaptability to NISQ hardware, positions them as a key area of research in quantum machine learning, striving to achieve quantum advantage in real-world applications [23].

DISCUSSION

The results presented indicate that Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) hold significant promise for advancing image classification by integrating quantum processing into the feature extraction pipeline. This hybrid approach capitalizes on the strengths of both classical and quantum computing, aiming to overcome some limitations of traditional deep learning models.

1. Quantum Advantage in Feature Learning

The core hypothesis underpinning QNNs is that quantum circuits can perform operations that are classically difficult or inefficient, potentially leading to a "quantum advantage" in feature learning [11, 13]. The ability of parameterized quantum circuits (PQCs) to create highly entangled states and perform complex non-linear transformations [26] is a key factor. This allows QNNs to potentially discover more abstract and robust features from image data compared to classical convolutional filters. Such features could lead to improved classification accuracy, especially for complex or noisy datasets where subtle distinctions are crucial. The concept of quantum advantage in machine learning is an active area of debate [23], but the empirical results on smaller datasets like MNIST and Fashion-MNIST [11] offer encouraging preliminary evidence that QNNs can indeed extract effective features with potentially fewer parameters in the quantum layer.

2. Practicality in the NISQ Era

QNNs are particularly well-suited for the current Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era [22]. By focusing on small, localized quantum operations on image patches, they circumvent the need for large-scale, fault-tolerant quantum computers that are still decades away. The hybrid architecture allows the quantum processor to handle the quantum-specific feature mapping, while classical processors manage the larger portions of the network and the overall training process [20, 32]. This pragmatic design makes QNNs one of the more immediately viable quantum machine learning

applications on existing and near-term quantum hardware.

3. Challenges and Limitations

Despite their promise, QNNs face several significant challenges that require ongoing research:

- **Data Encoding Complexity:** Efficiently and faithfully encoding classical image data into quantum states remains a non-trivial task [33]. Methods like amplitude encoding are informationally dense but can be computationally expensive for state preparation, especially for larger image patches or higher-resolution images [3]. Angle encoding is more practical for current hardware but might limit the amount of information that can be encoded per qubit [21]. The absence of practical Quantum Random Access Memory (QRAM) [7, 8] further complicates large-scale data input.

- **Hardware Limitations:** Current NISQ devices have limited qubit counts, short coherence times, and high error rates [22]. These limitations constrain the size and depth of the quantum circuits that can be implemented reliably within the quantum layers. While QNNs are designed to be NISQ-friendly, scaling them to process high-resolution images or more complex feature maps still presents a significant hurdle. Noise in quantum operations can degrade the quality of the extracted features and impact the overall model performance.

- **Trainability and Optimization:** Training hybrid quantum-classical models can be challenging. While classical optimizers are used, calculating gradients for quantum circuits (e.g., using the parameter-shift rule [34]) requires multiple quantum circuit executions, which can be time-consuming on quantum hardware. Furthermore, the loss landscapes of variational quantum algorithms can be complex, prone to barren plateaus, making effective optimization difficult [26]. Research into advanced optimization algorithms adapted for quantum circuits [15] and differentiable quantum architecture search [37] is crucial.

- **Comparison to Classical Baselines:** While QNNs show promising results on certain datasets, establishing a clear and consistent "quantum advantage" over highly optimized classical CNNs (e.g., ResNet [10], Inception [28], VGGNet [27], AlexNet [17], LeNet [18]) on large, complex datasets like ImageNet [17] remains an open challenge. Classical deep learning in computer vision has seen immense progress [4, 19, 31], and current QNNs are often compared to simpler classical models or downscaled versions of complex datasets to fit hardware constraints.

4. Future Directions

Future research in QNNs will likely focus on several key areas:

- **Scalability:** Developing techniques to scale QNNs for larger images and more complex datasets, possibly through improved data encoding, quantum memory techniques, or more efficient hybrid architectures [35].
- **Robustness to Noise:** Designing quantum circuits and training protocols that are more robust to noise inherent in NISQ devices, perhaps through error mitigation techniques or noise-aware training.
- **Theoretical Foundations:** Deepening the theoretical understanding of why quantum circuits might provide an advantage for feature learning, including rigorous analysis of their expressibility and entanglement properties [26].
- **Novel Quantum Architectures:** Exploring new quantum circuit designs for the quanvolutional layer, potentially drawing inspiration from advanced quantum machine learning concepts [2, 12, 14, 25, 30]. Automated quantum neural architecture search methods could play a significant role here [6, 37].
- **Applications beyond Benchmarks:** Applying QNNs to real-world, complex problems in areas like medical imaging, remote sensing, and industrial quality control, where traditional methods might encounter limitations [29].
- **Variational Hybrid Approaches:** Further development of variational quanvolutional neural networks [21] to allow for more flexible and adaptive feature extraction.

In conclusion, QNNs represent a compelling direction in quantum machine learning for image classification. While current hardware limitations and theoretical challenges persist, their ability to introduce quantum-enhanced feature learning into established CNN architectures positions them as a critical area of research with the potential to yield significant breakthroughs as quantum computing technology matures.

CONCLUSION

Quanvolutional Neural Networks (QNNs) represent a significant step forward in the burgeoning field of quantum machine learning, offering a unique hybrid approach to image classification. By embedding parameterized quantum circuits directly into the convolutional layers, QNNs leverage the power of quantum mechanics—specifically superposition and entanglement—to perform sophisticated feature extraction [11]. This enables the creation of quantum-enhanced feature maps that potentially offer richer and

more discriminative representations of image data, leading to improved classification accuracy and efficiency [13].

The architectural design of QNNs, which integrates quantum convolutional layers with classical neural network components, makes them particularly suitable for the current Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era. This hybridity allows QNNs to overcome some of the immediate limitations of quantum hardware by distributing the computational load between quantum and classical processors [20, 32]. Early empirical results on benchmark datasets like MNIST and Fashion-MNIST have demonstrated their competitive performance against classical counterparts, sometimes with fewer trainable parameters in the quantum section [11].

However, the path to widespread adoption and a definitive quantum advantage is still paved with challenges. Key areas requiring further research include developing more efficient and scalable data encoding strategies for classical images into quantum states [33], mitigating the impact of noise and errors inherent in current quantum hardware [22], and devising robust optimization techniques for the complex loss landscapes of hybrid models [15, 26]. Future efforts will also focus on expanding the theoretical understanding of QNNs' capabilities, exploring novel quantum circuit architectures through methods like quantum neural architecture search [6, 37], and validating their performance on larger, more complex real-world datasets.

Ultimately, Quanvolutional Neural Networks stand as a testament to the transformative potential of quantum computing in artificial intelligence. As quantum technology continues to mature, QNNs are poised to play an increasingly vital role in pushing the boundaries of image classification, unlocking new capabilities and efficiencies that were previously unattainable with purely classical methods.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas A, Sutter D, Zoufal C, Lucchi A, Figalli A, Woerner S (2021) The power of quantum neural networks. *Nat Comput Sci* 1:403–409. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00084-1>
2. Alchieri L, Badalotti D, Bonardi P, Bianco S (2021) An introduction to quantum machine learning: from quantum logic to quantum deep learning. *Quantum Mach Intell* 3:28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42484-021-00056-8>
3. Araujo IF, Park DK, Petruccione F, da Silva AJ (2021) A divide-and-conquer algorithm for quantum state preparation. *Sci Rep* 11:6329. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85474-1>

4. Chai J, Zeng H, Li A, Ngai EW (2021) Deep learning in computer vision: a critical review of emerging techniques and application scenarios. *Mach Learn Appl* 6:100134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mlwa.2021.100134>
5. Chen SY-C, Wei T-C, Zhang C, Yu H, Yoo S (2022) Quantum convolutional neural networks for high energy physics data analysis. *Phys Rev Res* 4:013231. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013231>
6. Duong T, Truong ST, Tam M, Bach B, Ryu J-Y, Rhee J-KK (2022) Quantum neural architecture search with quantum circuits metric and Bayesian optimization. Preprint at arXiv:2206.14115
7. Giovannetti V, Lloyd S, Maccone L (2008a) Architectures for a quantum random access memory. *Phys Rev A* 78:052310. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.052310>
8. Giovannetti V, Lloyd S, Maccone L (2008b) Quantum random access memory. *Phys Rev Lett* 100:160501. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.160501>
9. Hai VT, Viet NT, Ho LB (2023) Variational preparation of entangled states on quantum computers. Preprint at arXiv:2306.17422
10. He K, Zhang X, Ren S, Sun J (2016) Deep residual learning for image recognition. Preprint at arXiv:1512.03385
11. Henderson M, Shakya S, Pradhan S, Cook T (2020) Quantvolutional neural networks: powering image recognition with quantum circuits. *Quantum Machine Intelligence* 2(1):2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42484-020-00012-y>
12. Houssein EH, Abohashima Z, Elhoseny M, Mohamed WM (2022) Machine learning in the quantum realm: the state-of-the-art, challenges, and future vision. *Expert Syst Appl* 194:116512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.116512>
13. Hur T, Kim L, Park DK (2022) Quantum convolutional neural network for classical data classification. *Quantum Mach Intell* 4(1):3. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42484-021-00061-x>
14. Jeswal SK, Chakraverty S (2019) Recent developments and applications in quantum neural network: a review. *Arch Comput Methods Eng* 26(4):793–807. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-018-9269-0>
15. Kou C, Yang H (2023) A mini-batch stochastic conjugate gradient algorithm with variance reduction. *J Glob Optim* 87(2):1009–1025. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-022-01205-4>
16. Krizhevsky A, Hinton G et al (2009) Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. Unpublished.
17. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE (2017) Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Commun ACM* 60(6):84–90. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3065386>
18. Lecun Y, Bottou L, Bengio Y, Haffner P (1998) Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proc IEEE* 86(11):2278–2324. <https://doi.org/10.1109/5.726791>
19. Li Z, Liu F, Yang W, Peng S, Zhou J (2022) A survey of convolutional neural networks: analysis, applications, and prospects. *IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst* 33(12):6999–7019. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3084827>
20. Liu J, Lim KH, Wood KL, Huang W, Guo C, Huang H-L (2021) Hybrid quantum-classical convolutional neural networks. *Sci China Phys Mech Astron* 64(9):290311. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-021-1734-3>
21. Mattern D, Martyniuk D, Willems H, Bergmann F, Paschke A (2021) Variational quantum convolutional neural networks with enhanced image encoding. Preprint at arXiv:2106.07327
22. Preskill J (2018) Quantum computing in the NISQ era and beyond. *Quantum* 2:79. <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2018-08-06-79>
23. Schuld M, Killoran N (2022) Is quantum advantage the right goal for quantum machine learning? *PRX Quantum* 3:030101. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.010101>
24. Schuld M, Petruccione F (2018) Supervised learning with quantum computers (Vol. 17). Springer
25. Schuld M, Petruccione F (2021) Machine learning with quantum computers (No. 2). Springer
26. Sim S, Johnson PD, Aspuru-Guzik A (2019) Expressibility and entangling capability of parameterized quantum circuits for hybrid quantum-classical algorithms. *Adv Quantum Technol* 2(12):1900070.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/qute.201900070>

27. Simonyan K, Zisserman A (2014) Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. Preprint at arXiv:1409.1556
28. Szegedy C, Liu W, Jia Y, Sermanet P, Reed S, Anguelov D, Rabinovich A (2015) Going deeper with convolutions. Preprint at arXiv:1409.4842
29. Tulbure A-A, Tulbure A-A, Dulf E-H (2022) A review on modern defect detection models using DCNNs – deep convolutional neural networks. *J Adv Res* 35:33–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2021.03.015>
30. Verdon G, McCourt T, Luzhnica E, Singh V, Leichenauer S, Hidary J (2019) Quantum graph neural networks. Preprint at arXiv:1909.12264
31. Voulodimos A, Doulamis N, Doulamis A, Protopapadakis E (2018) Deep learning for computer vision: a brief review. *Comput Intell Neurosci* 2018:7068349. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7068349>
32. Wei S, Chen Y, Zhou Z, Long G (2022) A quantum convolutional neural network on NISQ devices. *AAPPS Bulletin* 32(1):2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43673-021-00030-3>
33. Weigold M, Barzen J, Leymann F, Salm M (2022) Data encoding patterns for quantum computing. Proceedings of the 27th conference on pattern languages of programs. USA, The Hillside Group.
34. Wierichs D, Izaac J, Wang C, Lin CY-Y (2022) General parameter-shift rules for quantum gradients. *Quantum* 6:677. <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2022-03-30-677>
35. Wu J, Li Q (2022) Poster: scalable quantum convolutional neural networks for edge computing. Unpublished.
36. Xiao H, Rasul K, Vollgraf R (2017). Fashion-MNIST: a novel image dataset for benchmarking machine learning algorithms. Preprint at arXiv:1708.07747
37. Zhang S-X, Hsieh C-Y, Zhang S, Yao H (2022) Differentiable quantum architecture search. *Quantum Sci Technol* 7(4):045023
38. Zoufal C, Lucchi A, Woerner S (2019) Quantum generative adversarial networks for learning and loading random distributions. *Quantum Information* 5(1):103. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-019-0223-2>