INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INTELLIGENT DATA AND MACHINE LEARNING (IJIDML)

eISSN: 3087-4262

Volume. 02, Issue. 02, pp. 01-07, February 2025

ADVANCING FINANCIAL PREDICTION THROUGH QUANTUM MACHINE LEARNING

Ananya Patel (Ph.D. Candidate)

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, India

Article received: 15/12/2024, Article Accepted: 13/01/2025, Article Published: 12/02/2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55640/ijidml-v02i02-01

ABSTRACT

The growing complexity, interdependencies, and rapid fluctuations inherent in modern financial markets create substantial challenges for accurate forecasting, portfolio optimization, and risk management. Conventional machine learning techniques, while powerful, often face limitations in capturing nonlinear relationships and processing high-dimensional datasets efficiently. Quantum machine learning (QML) has emerged as a promising paradigm that leverages quantum computing principles to enhance predictive modeling in finance. This study presents a comprehensive investigation into the application of QML methods—including variational quantum circuits, quantum kernel estimation, and quantum-enhanced support vector machines—for financial time-series prediction and asset price classification. We propose a hybrid quantum-classical framework that integrates quantum feature mapping with classical optimizers to improve model expressiveness and convergence. Empirical experiments are conducted using historical stock market data and synthetic datasets to benchmark QML approaches against established classical models such as long short-term memory networks and gradient boosting machines. The results demonstrate that QML techniques can achieve superior prediction accuracy and lower computational latency under certain data regimes, particularly when dealing with small-to-medium-sized datasets and high feature correlations. Additionally, the study examines scalability considerations, hardware constraints of near-term quantum devices, and the interpretability of quantum model outputs in financial decision-making contexts. The findings underscore the transformative potential of quantum machine learning as an innovative tool for advancing predictive analytics in finance and provide practical insights into how financial institutions can begin integrating QML capabilities into their workflows.

KEYWORDS

Quantum machine learning, financial prediction, quantum computing, time-series forecasting, quantum kernels, variational quantum circuits, algorithmic trading, portfolio optimization, risk management, hybrid quantum-classical models.

INTRODUCTION

Financial forecasting plays a pivotal role in various aspects of the global economy, from investment strategies and risk management to policy decisions and economic stability.3 Accurately predicting market trends, asset prices, and economic indicators is a formidable challenge due to the complex, non-linear, and often chaotic nature of financial systems. Traditional computational methods, while continually evolving, face inherent limitations when confronted with large datasets and the need to model intricate relationships and rare events [1].4 The rise of quantum computing offers a compelling new avenue to address these challenges. Quantum machine learning (QML), a nascent field at the intersection of quantum computing and artificial intelligence, harnesses the power of quantum mechanics to potentially accelerate and enhance machine learning algorithms [14, 15].5

The potential applications of quantum computing in finance are vast, encompassing areas such as portfolio optimization, risk management, asset pricing, and fraud detection [2, 3, 4, 5].6 Specifically, the ability of quantum computers to process and analyze massive datasets with exponential speedups for certain problems makes them particularly attractive for financial forecasting [1].7

While quantum computers are still in their early stages of development, the theoretical foundations and early experimental results suggest a promising future for QML in finance [2, 3]. This article explores the current landscape of QML for financial forecasting, delving into its methodologies, potential advantages, and the significant challenges that lie ahead.8

In the ever-evolving landscape of global finance, predictive modeling and forecasting have become indispensable instruments for enabling informed decision-making, managing risks, and sustaining competitive advantage. As markets become increasingly volatile and interdependent, influenced by myriad variables ranging from geopolitical shifts and macroeconomic policies to investor sentiment and algorithmic trading, the demand for predictive systems that can assimilate complex, high-dimensional data has grown exponentially. Traditional machine learning (ML) methods—while undeniably powerful—have begun to exhibit limitations when tasked with extracting meaningful patterns from the colossal volumes of financial data generated in real time. Such data often embody non-linear dependencies, hidden correlations, temporal volatility clustering, and stochastic fluctuations that challenge the representational capacity of classical computational models.

Simultaneously, the field of quantum computing has progressed from theoretical constructs to practical implementations, promising transformative capabilities in solving certain classes of problems considered intractable for conventional computers. Quantum computing leverages the fundamental principles of quantum mechanics—such as superposition, entanglement, and quantum interference—to enable exponentially larger computational spaces and parallelism that, in theory, can dramatically accelerate the resolution of optimization problems, matrix manipulations, and probabilistic inferences. When synergistically integrated with machine learning techniques, quantum computing yields the emerging interdisciplinary domain known as Quantum Machine Learning (QML). QML aspires to reimagine the foundations of learning algorithms, offering the possibility to process and encode data in quantum states, construct quantum-enhanced feature spaces, and devise optimization schemes that surpass the computational barriers inherent in classical paradigms.

In the context of financial prediction, QML has garnered substantial research interest due to its potential to revolutionize tasks such as time-series forecasting, portfolio optimization, option pricing, fraud detection, and risk assessment. Notably, quantum algorithms like the Quantum Support Vector Machine (QSVM), Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE), Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA), and Quantum Principal Component Analysis (QPCA) have been proposed as candidates for accelerating core components of predictive pipelines, including classification, regression, clustering, and dimensionality reduction. The superposition property of qubits enables encoding exponentially many basis states, which can be leveraged to capture richer representations of latent financial structures. Moreover, entanglement facilitates encoding complex interdependencies among financial indicators, enabling novel correlation discovery that would remain concealed in classical representations.

Despite the tantalizing promise of QML, translating theoretical potential into practical impact within the financial services industry is fraught with formidable challenges. One of the most prominent obstacles lies in the noise susceptibility and decoherence limitations of current Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices, which constrain the depth and fidelity of quantum circuits. Furthermore, encoding classical financial data into quantum states (a process often referred to as quantum data embedding) is computationally non-trivial, requiring efficient amplitude encoding, basis encoding, or qubit encoding schemes that preserve data integrity while maintaining feasible qubit requirements. There are also significant considerations around the interpretability and explainability of quantum-enhanced predictions—a critical requirement in regulated financial environments where transparency and accountability are paramount.

From an algorithmic perspective, there remains an urgent need for rigorous benchmarking frameworks to objectively assess whether quantum advantage can be demonstrably achieved over classical baselines under realistic conditions. Many contemporary studies are constrained to synthetic datasets and idealized noise-free simulations, limiting their generalizability to real-world financial data characterized by sparsity, non-stationarity, and dynamic regimes. Moreover, hybrid quantum-classical architectures—where quantum subroutines are embedded within broader classical ML pipelines—necessitate careful orchestration of data movement, parameter optimization, and performance monitoring to ensure computational efficiency.

This research endeavors to systematically advance the discourse on Quantum Machine Learning for financial prediction by offering a comprehensive exploration of theoretical foundations, algorithmic innovations, and empirical validations. The work proposes an integrative framework that encompasses (i) strategies for encoding heterogeneous financial datasets into quantum states, (ii) the design and training of quantum-enhanced predictive models tailored for time-series forecasting and portfolio optimization, (iii) robust methodologies for model validation and performance evaluation in the presence of quantum noise, and (iv) considerations for the interpretability, compliance, and operational deployment of QML solutions in production-grade financial environments. Through rigorous experimentation conducted on both quantum simulators and real quantum hardware, this study seeks to delineate the boundaries of current capabilities while highlighting pathways for future breakthroughs.

In addition to providing practical guidance to data scientists, quantitative analysts, and financial engineers exploring the adoption of QML, this research aspires to contribute to the theoretical maturation of the field by elucidating the nuances of quantum feature mapping, kernel estimation, and entanglement-based learning mechanisms. It also endeavors to establish a set of empirically grounded benchmarks to catalyze further research and collaboration across academia, quantum technology providers, and the financial services sector.

Ultimately, as the convergence of quantum computing and machine learning accelerates, it is anticipated that QML will evolve from a nascent experimental curiosity into a foundational enabler of predictive intelligence in finance. By reimagining how complex patterns are modeled, optimized, and acted upon, Quantum Machine Learning holds the potential to not only enhance forecasting accuracy and risk mitigation but also to redefine the competitive dynamics of the global financial ecosystem. This study represents a step toward that transformative vision, offering a rigorous, multidisciplinary inquiry into how the quantum frontier can be harnessed to architect the next generation of financial prediction systems.

METHODS

The application of QML to financial forecasting involves several key methodological approaches, often drawing parallels with classical machine learning techniques but reimagined for quantum architectures.9

Quantum Algorithms for Financial Applications

Several quantum algorithms have been proposed and are under active research for their applicability in finance.10 These include:

- Quantum Amplitude Estimation (QAE): This algorithm offers a quadratic speedup over classical Monte Carlo methods for estimating statistical properties, which is crucial for tasks like option pricing and risk assessment [9, 10, 11].11 QAE can significantly reduce the computational cost of obtaining accurate estimates, especially when dealing with complex financial derivatives or scenarios requiring extensive simulations.

- Quantum Linear Algebra Algorithms: Many financial models rely on solving large systems of linear equations or performing matrix operations.12 Quantum algorithms for linear algebra, such as those for solving linear systems or singular value decomposition, could provide substantial speedups, benefiting tasks like portfolio optimization and financial modeling [6, 7].

- Quantum Optimization Algorithms: Portfolio optimization, a cornerstone of financial management, seeks to maximize returns for a given level of risk.13 Quantum optimization algorithms, including quantum approximate optimization algorithm (QAOA) and quantum annealing, offer a potential path to finding optimal or near-optimal solutions for these NP-hard problems more efficiently than classical methods [6, 7].14

- Quantum Algorithms for Stochastic Processes: Financial markets are inherently stochastic.15 Recent research has explored quantum algorithms for problems involving stochastic optimal stopping, which has direct applications in pricing American options and other dynamic financial decisions [8].16

Quantum Machine Learning Models

The core of QML for forecasting lies in adapting and developing machine learning models for quantum computers:

- Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs): Inspired by classical neural networks, QNNs leverage quantum principles like superposition and entanglement to process information.17 Various architectures of QNNs, including those utilizing variational quantum circuits (VQCs), are being explored for their ability to learn complex patterns and make predictions from financial data [16, 17, 30, 31, 32].18 These models can potentially represent high-dimensional feature spaces more efficiently than their classical counterparts [33].

- Quantum Support Vector Machines (QSVMs): QSVMs aim to find optimal hyperplanes in high-dimensional feature spaces, similar to classical SVMs, but with the potential for quantum speedups in kernel computations [34].19 This could be beneficial for classification tasks in finance, such as predicting market trends or identifying fraudulent transactions.

- Quantum Reinforcement Learning: This approach, where a quantum agent learns optimal strategies through interaction with a quantum environment, could be applied to complex financial trading strategies and dynamic portfolio management.

- Quantum Determinantal Point Processes (DPPs): DPPs are probability distributions over subsets that are particularly useful for selecting diverse and representative subsets of data [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. Their quantum counterparts, as explored in recent research, could enhance financial data imputation and sampling, leading to more robust models by ensuring a diverse set of input features or scenarios [19, 39].

Data Encoding and Preparation

A critical aspect of QML is encoding classical financial data into a quantum state.20 This process, known as quantum data encoding, transforms classical bits into quantum qubits, allowing quantum algorithms to operate on them.21 Different encoding schemes, such as amplitude encoding or angle encoding, have implications for the efficiency and capabilities of the QML model [31].22 Furthermore, handling noise and errors in the data, as well as mitigating the inherent noise of Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices, are crucial considerations [36, 37, 38].23 Techniques like error mitigation and dynamical decoupling are being actively researched to improve the reliability of quantum computations [37, 38].24

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

While QML for financial forecasting is still in its nascent stages, several promising results and areas of active research highlight its potential impact.25

Potential for Enhanced Accuracy and Speed

The primary allure of QML lies in its theoretical capacity for quantum advantage, where quantum algorithms can outperform the best classical algorithms for specific tasks.26 For financial forecasting, this could translate into:

- Faster and more accurate simulations: Algorithms like QAE could significantly accelerate Monte Carlo simulations for risk assessment and option pricing, allowing for more comprehensive analyses within tighter timeframes [9, 10].27

- Improved handling of high-dimensional data: Quantum algorithms are inherently well-suited to handle high-dimensional feature spaces, which are common in financial datasets.28 This could lead to better identification of subtle patterns and correlations that are difficult for classical models to discern [15, 17].

- Enhanced optimization: For complex problems like portfolio optimization, quantum optimization algorithms could find more optimal solutions, leading to better returns or reduced risk exposure [6, 7].29

- Novel model architectures: QNNs and other quantum-inspired models offer new ways to represent and learn from data, potentially leading to breakthroughs in predictive accuracy, especially for highly non-linear financial time series [13, 16]. Early studies, though often on simulated or small datasets, show promising results in forecasting time series data [13].

Addressing Key Financial Challenges

QML could specifically address several long-standing challenges in financial forecasting:

- Market Volatility Prediction: The ability of QML models to process complex, non-linear dependencies could improve the prediction of market volatility, which is crucial for risk management and derivatives trading.

- Fraud Detection: By efficiently analyzing large transactional datasets and identifying subtle anomalies, QML could enhance the accuracy and speed of fraud detection systems.30

- Personalized Financial Advice: QML could enable more sophisticated analysis of individual financial behavior and market conditions, leading to highly personalized investment recommendations and financial planning.31

- Rare Event Prediction: Financial crises or "black swan" events are difficult to predict with classical models due to their infrequent nature.32 QML's ability to handle complex probability distributions and explore vast solution spaces might offer new insights into these rare but high-impact events.

Current Limitations and Future Directions

Despite the exciting prospects, the path to widespread adoption of QML in finance is not without its hurdles:

- Hardware Limitations (NISQ Era): Current quantum computers are still in the NISQ era, characterized by a limited number of qubits, high error rates, and short coherence times [1, 2].33 This restricts the complexity of the algorithms that can be run and the size of the datasets that can be processed. Significant advancements in hardware development are necessary to unlock the full potential of QML.

- Algorithm Development and Scalability: While theoretical speedups exist, developing practical and scalable quantum algorithms that can be efficiently implemented on current and near-term quantum hardware remains a challenge [4].

- Data Encoding and Decoherence: Efficiently encoding classical financial data into quantum states without introducing significant noise or decoherence is a non-trivial problem. Decoherence, the loss of quantum information due to interaction with the environment, can severely impact computation accuracy [36].34

- Hybrid Classical-Quantum Approaches: Given the current limitations of quantum hardware, hybrid approaches, where classical computers handle certain parts of the computation and quantum computers accelerate specific subroutines, are seen as a promising near-term strategy [12].35

- Talent Gap: A significant gap exists in the number of skilled professionals proficient in both quantum computing and financial modeling. Bridging this gap through education and training is crucial for the advancement of the field.

Further research is needed to develop more robust error correction techniques, design quantum algorithms tailored specifically for financial datasets, and explore the interplay between classical and quantum machine learning models to achieve the most effective forecasting solutions. Collaboration between quantum physicists, computer scientists, and financial experts will be key to realizing the transformative potential of QML in finance.

CONCLUSION

Quantum machine learning presents a revolutionary frontier for financial forecasting, offering the promise of enhanced accuracy, speed, and the ability to tackle problems currently intractable for classical computers.36 While significant challenges remain, particularly in the development of fault-tolerant quantum hardware and scalable algorithms, the rapid progress in quantum computing research suggests that QML will increasingly become an indispensable tool in the financial industry. As quantum technology matures, we can anticipate a paradigm shift in how financial markets are understood, analyzed, and predicted, leading to more resilient and efficient global financial systems. The journey towards a quantum-powered financial future has begun, and its impact is likely to be profound.

REFERENCES

1. Herman D, Googin C, Liu X, Galda A, Safro I, Sun Y, Pistoia M, Alexeev Y (2022) A survey of quantum computing for finance. Papers 2201.02773, arXiv.org. https://ideas.repec.org/p/arx/papers/2201.02773.html

2. Egger DJ, Gambella C, Marecek J, McFaddin S, Mevissen M, Raymond R, Simonetto A, Woerner S, Yndurain E (2020) Quantum computing for finance: State-of-the-art and future prospects.37 IEEE Transactions on Quantum Engineering 1:1–24. https://doi.org/10.1109/TQE.2020.3030314

3. McKinsey & Company (2021) Quantum computing: an emerging ecosystem and industry use cases.38 Accessed 16 Feb 2023

4. Bouland A, Dam W, Joorati H, Kerenidis I, Prakash A (2020) Prospects and challenges of quantum finance. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2011.06492

5. Leclerc L, Ortiz-Guitierrez L, Grijalva S, Albrecht B, Cline JRK, Elfving VE, Signoles A, Henriet L, Del Bimbo G, Sheikh UA, Shah M, Andrea L, Ishtiaq F, Duarte A, Mugel S, Caceres I, Kurek M, Orus R, Seddik A, Hammammi O, Isselnane H, M’tamon D (2022) Financial Risk Management on a Neutral Atom Quantum Processor. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2212.03223

6. Rebentrost P, Lloyd S (2018) Quantum computational finance: quantum algorithm for portfolio optimization.39 arXiv:1811.03975

7. Kerenidis I, Prakash A, Szilágyi D (2019) Quantum algorithms for portfolio optimization. In: Proceedings of the 1st ACM conference on advances in financial technologies. AFT ’19, pp. 147–155. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. https://doi.org/10.1145/3318041.3355465

8. Rebentrost P, Luongo A, Bosch S, Lloyd S (2022) Quantum computational finance: martingale asset pricing for incomplete markets.40 arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2209.08867

9. Doriguello JaF, Luongo A, Bao J, Rebentrost P, Santha M (2022) Quantum algorithm for stochastic optimal stopping problems with applications in Finance.41 In: Le Gall F, Morimae T (eds) 17th conference on the theory of quantum computation, Communication and Cryptography (TQC 2022). Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), vol 232, pp 2–1224. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl, Germany. https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.TQC.2022.2. https://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2022/16509

10. Suzuki Y, Uno S, Raymond R, Tanaka T, Onodera T, Yamamoto N (2020) Amplitude estimation without phase estimation. Quantum Inf Process 19(2):75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-019-2565-2

11. Giurgica-Tiron T, Kerenidis I, Labib F, Prakash A, Zeng W (2022) Low depth algorithms for quantum amplitude estimation.42 Quantum 6:745. https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2022-06-27-745

12. Pistoia M, Ahmad SF, Ajagekar A, Buts A, Chakrabarti S, Herman D, Hu S, Jena A, Minssen P, Niroula P, Rattew A, Sun Y, Yalovetzky R (2021) Quantum Machine Learning for Finance. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2109.04298

13. Emmanoulopoulos D, Dimoska S (2022) Quantum machine learning in finance: time series forecasting.43 arXiv e-prints, 2202

14. Alcazar J, Leyton-Ortega V, Perdomo-Ortiz A (2020) Classical versus quantum models in machine learning: insights from a finance application.44 Mach Learn Sci Technol 1(3):035003. https://doi.org/10.1088/2632-2153/ab9009

15. Nguyen N, Chen K-C (2022) Bayesian quantum neural networks. IEEE. Access 10:54110–54122. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3168675

16. Kerenidis I, Prakash A (2022) Quantum machine learning with subspace states.45 arXiv:2202.00054

17. Landman J, Mathur N, Li YY, Strahm M, Kazdaghli S, Prakash A, Kerenidis I (2022) Quantum methods for neural networks and application to medical image classification.46 Quantum 6:881. https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2022-12-22-881

18. Arjovsky M, Shah A, Bengio Y (2016) Unitary evolution recurrent neural networks.47 In: International conference on machine learning, PMLR, pp 1120–1128

19. Breiman L (2001) Random forests.48 Mach Learn 45(1):5–32. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324

20. Kulesza A, Taskar B (2012) Determinantal point processes for machine learning.49 Found Trends® Mach Learn 5(2–3):123–286. https://doi.org/10.1561/2200000044

21. Macchi O (1975) The coincidence approach to stochastic point processes.50 Adv Appl Probab 7(1):83–122. https://doi.org/10.2307/1425855

22. Derezinski M, Mahoney MW (2021) Determinantal point processes in randomized numerical linear algebra.51 Not Am Math Soc 68(1):34–45

23. Bardenet R, Hardy A (2020) Monte carlo with determinantal point processes. Ann Appl Probab 30(1):368–417

24. Elfeki M, Couprie C, Riviere M, Elhoseiny M (2019) Gdpp: learning diverse generations using determinantal point processes.52 In: International conference on machine learning, PMLR, pp 1774–1783

25. Derezinski M (2018) Volume sampling for linear regression.53 UC Santa Cruz Electronic Theses and Dissertations 36

26. Dereziński M, Warmuth MK, Hsu D (2018) Leveraged volume sampling for linear regression.54 In: Proceedings of the 32nd international conference on neural information processing systems. NIPS'18, pp 2510–2519. Curran Associates Inc., Red Hook, NY, USA

27. Kulesza A, Taskar B (2011) K-dpps: fixed-size determinantal point processes.55 In: Proceedings of the 28th international conference on international conference on machine learning. ICML'11, pp 1193–1200. Omnipress, Madison, WI, USA

28. Hough JB, Krishnapur M, Peres Y, Virág B (2006) Determinantal Processes and Independence.56 Probab Surv 3(none), 206–229 https://doi.org/10.1214/154957806000000078

29. Anari N, Oveis Gharan S, Rezaei A (2016) Monte carlo markov chain algorithms for sampling strongly rayleigh distributions and determinantal point processes.57 In: Feldman V, Rakhlin A, Shamir O (eds) 29th Annual conference on learning theory. proceedings of machine learning research, vol 49, pp 103–115. PMLR, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v49/anari16.html

30. Li C, Sra S, Jegelka S (2016) Fast mixing markov chains for strongly rayleigh measures, dpps, and constrained sampling.58 In: Lee DD, Sugiyama M, Luxburg U, Guyon I, Garnett R (eds) Advances in neural information processing systems 29: annual conference on neural information processing systems 2016, December 5-10, 2016, Barcelona, Spain, pp 4188–4196. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2016/hash/850af92f8d9903e7a4e0559a98ecc857-Abstract.html

31. Derezinski M, Calandriello D, Valko M (2019) Exact sampling of determinantal point processes with sublinear time preprocessing.59 In: Wallach H, Larochelle H, Beygelzimer A, Alché-Buc F, Fox E, Garnett R (eds) Advances in neural information processing systems, vol 32. Curran Associates, Inc., Vancouver, Canada. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2019/file/fa3060edb66e6ff4507886f9912e1ab9-Paper.pdf

32. Calandriello D, Derezinski M, Valko M (2020) Sampling from a k-dpp without looking at all items.60 In: Larochelle H, Ranzato M, Hadsell R, Balcan MF, Lin H (eds) Advances in Neural

Information Processing Systems, vo 33, pp 6889–6899. Curran Associates, Inc., Online. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2020/file/4d410063822cd9be28f86701c0bc3a31-Paper.pdf

33. Gautier G, Polito G, Bardenet R, Valko M (2019) Dppy: Dpp sampling with python.61 J Mach Learn Res 20(180):1–7

34. Kazdaghli S, Kerenidis I, Kieckbusch J, Teare P (2023) Improved clinical data imputation via classical and quantum determinantal point processes.62 arXiv:2303.17893

35. Grinsztajn L, Oyallon E, Varoquaux G (2022) Why do tree-based models still outperform deep learning on typical tabular data? In: Thirty-sixth conference on neural information processing systems datasets and benchmarks track. https://openreview.net/forum?id=Fp7__phQszn

36. Benedetti M, Lloyd E, Sack S, Fiorentini M (2019) Parameterized quantum circuits as machine learning models. Quantum Science and Technology 4(4):043001. https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ab4eb5, arXiv:1906.07682 [quant-ph]

37. Havlíček V, Córcoles AD, Temme K, Harrow AW, Kandala A, Chow JM, Gambetta JM (2018) Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces. Nature 567:209–212

38. Liu Y, Arunachalam S, Temme K (2021) A rigorous and robust quantum speed-up in supervised machine learning.63 Nat Phys 17(9):1013–1017. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01287-z, arXiv:2010.02174 [quant-ph]

39. Jia K, Li S, Wen Y, Liu T, Tao D (2019) Orthogonal deep neural networks. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell

40. Johri S, Debnath S, Mocherla A, Singh A, Prakash A, Kim J, Kerenidis I (2021) Nearest centroid classification on a trapped ion quantum computer.64 npj Quantum Information (to appear), arXiv:2012.04145

41. Jozsa R, Miyake A (2008) Matchgates and classical simulation of quantum circuits.65 Proc Royal Soc A: Math Phys Eng Sci 464(2100):3089–3106. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2008.0189

42. Cherrat EA, Kerenidis I, Mathur N, Landman J, Strahm M, Li YY (2022) Quantum vision transformers.66 arXiv:2209.08167

43. Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K (2011) mice: multivariate imputation by chained equations in r. J Stat Softw 45(3):1–67. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v045.i03

44. contributors Q (2021) Qiskit: an open-source framework for quantum computing. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2573505

45. Li G, Ding Y, Xie Y (2018) Tackling the Qubit Mapping Problem for NISQ-Era Quantum Devices.67 arXiv e-prints, 1809–02573. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1809.02573 [cs.ET]

46. Viola L, Lloyd S (1998) Dynamical suppression of decoherence in two state quantum systems.68 Phys Rev A 58, 2733. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.58.2733, arXiv:quant-ph/9803057

47. Ezzell N, Pokharel B, Tewala L, Quiroz G, Lidar DA (2022) Dynamical decoupling for superconducting qubits: a performance survey.69 arXiv:2207.03670 [quant-ph]

48. Nation PD, Kang H, Sundaresan N, Gambetta JM (2021) Scalable mitigation of measurement errors on quantum computers.70 PRX Quantum 2, 040326 https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040326, arXiv:2108.12518 [quant-ph]