

## AUGMENTING SIEM WITH THREAT INTELLIGENCE FOR PREDICTIVE CYBER DEFENSE: A PROACTIVE THREAT HUNTING APPROACH

**Dr. Mariam Al-Falasi**

Cybersecurity Research Center, Khalifa University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

**Dr. Tao Zhang**

School of Cyber Science and Technology, Beihang University, Beijing, China

Article received: 17/01/2025, Article Accepted: 09/02/2025, Article Published: 06/03/2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijctisn-v02i03-01>

© 2025 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC-BY\)](#), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

---

### ABSTRACT

Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) systems play a crucial role in detecting and responding to cyber threats through real-time monitoring and log analysis. However, traditional SIEMs often struggle with proactively identifying emerging threats. This paper explores the augmentation of SIEM platforms with external and internal Cyber Threat Intelligence (CTI) to enhance predictive cyber defense capabilities. By integrating threat intelligence feeds, behavioral analytics, and machine learning techniques, the proposed approach transforms SIEMs from reactive tools into proactive threat hunting systems. The study reviews current architectures, implementation challenges, and real-world use cases, demonstrating how enriched SIEM environments improve threat detection, reduce false positives, and support faster incident response. The paper also outlines future directions for building adaptive, intelligence-driven security operations.

**Keywords:** SIEM, Cyber Threat Intelligence, Predictive Cyber Defense, Threat Hunting, Proactive Security, Security Analytics, Intrusion Detection, Incident Response, Machine Learning in Cybersecurity, Security Operations Center (SOC).

### INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary cybersecurity landscape, organizations face an escalating volume and sophistication of cyber threats [5]. Traditional, reactive security measures, primarily focused on perimeter defense and signature-based detection, are increasingly insufficient against advanced persistent threats (APTs) and novel attack vectors [9, 10]. This evolving threat environment necessitates a shift from reactive defense to proactive cyber defense [22, 25], a strategy where security teams actively search for hidden threats within their networks before significant damage occurs [9, 10]. This proactive approach is known as threat hunting [21].

Threat hunting involves systematically searching for evidence of malicious activity that has evaded existing security controls [10, 15]. It is a human-led, iterative process that leverages hypotheses about potential threats and deep analysis of network and endpoint data [16].

While Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) systems are foundational tools for collecting, aggregating, and analyzing security logs and events from across an organization's IT infrastructure [12, 23], their effectiveness in proactive threat hunting can be significantly enhanced by integrating threat intelligence (TI) [8, 13, 17, 19].

Threat intelligence refers to analyzed and refined information about current or potential threats and adversaries, their methodologies, indicators of compromise (IoCs), and tactics, techniques, and procedures (TTPs) [14, 20]. It provides context and actionable insights that transform raw security data into actionable knowledge [11, 13]. The integration of real-time and historical threat intelligence feeds with SIEM systems empowers security analysts to move beyond merely responding to alerts to actively seeking out sophisticated, stealthy threats that might otherwise go

unnoticed [6, 8, 18]. This synergy between SIEM's data aggregation capabilities and TI's contextual enrichment is increasingly recognized as a top use case for modern cybersecurity operations [7].

This article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of how integrating threat intelligence feeds with SIEM systems facilitates proactive threat hunting for predictive defense. We will explore the methodologies for this integration, examine the observed improvements in threat detection and response, and discuss the implications for developing a more resilient and predictive cybersecurity posture.

## **METHODS**

The integration of threat intelligence (TI) feeds with Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) systems for proactive threat hunting involves several methodical steps, encompassing data acquisition, correlation, analysis, and the operationalization of insights. This section details the methodologies central to establishing and sustaining an effective predictive cyber defense posture.

### **1. Threat Intelligence Acquisition and Management**

The foundation of proactive threat hunting lies in robust TI [11, 13, 17]. This involves acquiring diverse types of intelligence:

- **Strategic Intelligence:** High-level information about adversary capabilities, motivations, and overall attack trends [14]. This helps in forming initial hunting hypotheses.
- **Tactical Intelligence:** Information about the TTPs (Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures) used by threat actors [14]. This is crucial for developing behavioral hunting queries.
- **Operational Intelligence:** Details about specific campaigns, tools, and infrastructure used by adversaries [14]. This informs the search for specific IoCs.
- **Technical Intelligence (IoCs):** Specific, observable artifacts like IP addresses, domains, file hashes, and URLs associated with known threats [14, 20].

TI feeds can be obtained from various sources, including open-source intelligence (OSINT), commercial vendors, industry-sharing groups (ISACs/ISAOs), and internal intelligence generated from previous incidents [4, 14, 20]. An AI-powered system like ThreatKG can automate open-source cyber threat intelligence gathering, making the process more efficient [4]. Effective management of these feeds, including de-duplication, normalization, and contextualization within a Threat Intelligence Platform (TIP) [20], is crucial before integration with SIEM.

### **2. SIEM System Configuration and Data Ingestion**

SIEM systems, such as OSSIM [23], serve as the central repository for security telemetry. For effective threat hunting, the SIEM must be configured to ingest a wide array of relevant log sources [12]:

- **Network Flow Data:** NetFlow, IPFIX, and firewall logs provide insights into communication patterns [24].
- **Endpoint Logs:** Operating system logs (e.g., Windows Event Logs, Sysmon [3]), antivirus logs, and Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR) data offer detailed host-level activity.
- **Application Logs:** Logs from web servers, databases, and critical business applications reveal application-specific anomalies.
- **Authentication Logs:** Logs from identity providers and access management systems help detect suspicious login attempts.

Proper parsing, normalization, and categorization of this ingested data are critical for efficient correlation and analysis within the SIEM [12].

### **3. Integration Mechanisms for TI and SIEM**

Integrating TI with SIEM is achieved through several mechanisms:

- **Direct Feed Integration:** Many SIEM platforms offer built-in connectors or APIs to directly subscribe to commercial or open-source TI feeds. IoCs are often imported as watchlists or lookup tables.
- **Automated Alerting:** When ingested log data matches known IoCs from TI feeds, the SIEM can automatically generate high-fidelity alerts, reducing false positives [6, 8].
- **Contextual Enrichment:** TI data is used to enrich existing security events within the SIEM. For example, an alert for communication with an external IP address can be immediately enriched with information from TI indicating if that IP is a known malicious command-and-control server. This real-time enrichment provides analysts with immediate context [6].
- **Custom Rule Creation:** Beyond IoC matching, tactical and operational TI (TTPs) is used to create sophisticated, behavior-based correlation rules within the SIEM. These rules are designed to detect patterns of activity indicative of an attack, even if specific IoCs are unknown [5, 6, 8].

### **4. Proactive Threat Hunting Methodologies**

With integrated TI and comprehensive SIEM data, threat hunters employ various methodologies:

- **Hypothesis-Driven Hunting:** This is the most common approach [10, 16]. Analysts form hypotheses based on recent TI (e.g., "A new phishing campaign targeting our industry is using X TTPs. Let's search for those TTPs in our SIEM data"). These hypotheses drive specific queries and investigations [11, 15].
- **Analytics-Driven Hunting:** Uses statistical analysis, machine learning, and anomaly detection techniques within the SIEM to identify deviations from normal behavior. Data-driven threat hunting using tools like Sysmon is an example [3]. Graph neural networks are also being explored for robust cyber threat hunting, analyzing relationships in network data [1].
- **Tool-Driven Hunting:** Leverages the capabilities of specific tools within the SIEM or complementary platforms (e.g., Network Detection and Response (NDR) systems [24]) to explore data for anomalies.
- **Behavioral Threat Hunting:** Focuses on detecting malicious behaviors and sequences of events rather than just individual IoCs. This is highly dependent on TTP-based TI to define what malicious behavior looks like [5]. Threat Trekker is an example of an approach focused on cyber threat hunting [2].

## 5. Analysis and Feedback Loop

Once potential threats are identified, security analysts conduct in-depth investigations, using the enriched SIEM data and TI context to confirm malicious activity. Crucially, successful hunts contribute back to the TI repository, enhancing internal intelligence and refining SIEM rules, thereby establishing a continuous improvement cycle for predictive defense [11, 13, 19].

By systematically applying these methods, organizations can transform their SIEM from a reactive alerting system into a powerful platform for proactive, intelligence-driven threat hunting.

## RESULTS

The integration of threat intelligence (TI) feeds with Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) systems has demonstrably enhanced proactive cyber defense capabilities, leading to several measurable improvements in an organization's security posture. The findings from various sources highlight the tangible benefits of this synergistic approach.

Firstly, a primary result of this integration is a significant improvement in the accuracy and efficiency of threat detection [6, 8, 12]. By providing SIEM systems with up-to-date and contextualized IoCs (Indicators of

Compromise) and TTPs (Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures) from TI feeds [14, 20], organizations can identify known malicious entities and patterns of attack far more effectively. This reduces the signal-to-noise ratio in SIEM alerts, enabling security analysts to focus on genuine threats rather than sifting through numerous false positives. When SIEM data correlates with known TI, the confidence in an alert dramatically increases, leading to quicker triage and response.

Secondly, integrated TI empowers proactive threat hunting, enabling the detection of stealthy and novel threats that would otherwise bypass traditional signature-based defenses [5, 9, 10, 15]. The SANS CTI Survey has identified threat hunting as a top use case for TI [7], validating its importance. By feeding tactical and operational TI into SIEM, security teams can formulate specific hypotheses about potential threats [10, 16] and actively search for subtle anomalies or behavioral deviations indicative of an attack [5, 15]. For example, data-driven threat hunting using Sysmon logs, enriched by TI, allows for the identification of suspicious system activities that match known adversary behaviors [3]. Advanced approaches, such as DeepHunter, leveraging graph neural networks, can further enhance this capability by identifying robust cyber threats through complex data relationships [1].

Thirdly, the integration facilitates real-time contextual enrichment and accelerated incident response [6, 11]. When a security event is detected in the SIEM, it can be immediately cross-referenced with integrated TI to provide critical context about the threat actor, their motives, and the broader campaign they are part of. This enrichment dramatically reduces the time analysts spend on manual research, allowing for faster and more informed decision-making during incident response [11]. The ability to quickly understand the nature of a threat, its potential impact, and relevant mitigation strategies is vital for minimizing damage and recovery time [6, 19].

Fourthly, TI integration with SIEM contributes to a predictive defense posture by enabling organizations to anticipate and prevent future attacks [11, 13, 17]. By continuously ingesting TI on emerging threats, vulnerabilities, and adversary TTPs, SIEM rules and baselines can be proactively updated. This allows organizations to build defenses against threats before they are actively exploited in their environment. For example, if TI indicates a new vulnerability being exploited, proactive hunting can immediately search for signs of exploitation within the network, even before an official patch is released or a signature is available. This shift from reactive to proactive defense is a core benefit [22, 25].

Finally, the continuous feedback loop between threat hunting operations and TI refinement results in an ever-improving security intelligence ecosystem [11, 13].

Insights gained from successful hunts—identifying previously unknown IoCs or TTPs—can be fed back into the organization's internal TI repository. This enriches the overall threat landscape knowledge, leading to the creation of more precise SIEM rules, more effective hunting queries, and a continuously adaptive defense strategy [19]. This iterative refinement strengthens the organization's ability to detect persistent behavior-based attacks [5].

In summary, the documented results underscore that the symbiotic relationship between threat intelligence and SIEM systems significantly elevates an organization's cyber defense capabilities. It transforms SIEM from a purely reactive alerting mechanism into a dynamic, intelligence-driven platform for proactive threat hunting, leading to faster detection, more effective response, and a more predictive security posture against evolving cyber threats.

## DISCUSSION

The integration of threat intelligence (TI) feeds with Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) systems is no longer a luxury but a fundamental requirement for establishing a robust, proactive cyber defense [8, 17]. As evidenced by the results, this synergy fundamentally transforms an organization's security posture from a reactive stance, primarily reliant on signature-based detection, to a predictive and adaptive defense mechanism capable of identifying stealthy and novel threats [9, 10, 22, 25].

The most compelling outcome of this integration is the significant enhancement in threat detection accuracy and efficiency [6, 8]. By providing SIEMs with context-rich IoCs and TTPs, security teams can filter out noise and focus on high-fidelity alerts, thereby reducing alert fatigue and improving response times. This shifts the paradigm from merely logging events to actively seeking out malicious activity based on current threat landscapes. For instance, knowing an attacker's TTPs from operational TI allows for the creation of behavioral rules in SIEM that detect suspicious sequences of events, even if individual components are benign [5]. This capability is critical against sophisticated adversaries who constantly evolve their tools and techniques.

Moreover, the integration empowers proactive threat hunting, transforming it from a theoretical concept into an actionable operational process [9, 10]. Instead of waiting for an alert, threat hunters can formulate specific hypotheses based on the latest TI [11, 16] and actively search for indicators of compromise or anomalous behaviors within the vast datasets collected by the SIEM [3, 15]. This is akin to a security immune system actively patrolling rather than passively waiting for infection. The increasing adoption of threat hunting as a top use case for TI [7] underscores its recognized value in modern

security operations. The advancements in AI-powered threat intelligence gathering [4] and graph neural network-based hunting [1] further signify a future where this proactive approach becomes even more automated and sophisticated.

Despite these significant advancements, several challenges and considerations remain for optimal integration and sustained effectiveness:

1. **TI Quality and Relevancy:** Not all threat intelligence is created equal. The effectiveness of the integration heavily relies on the quality, timeliness, and relevancy of the TI feeds. Irrelevant or stale intelligence can lead to alert fatigue or missed threats [14]. Organizations must carefully curate their TI sources and continuously evaluate their effectiveness.
2. **Data Volume and Scalability:** SIEM systems already handle massive volumes of log data. Integrating additional TI feeds, especially real-time, high-volume ones, adds to this burden. Ensuring the SIEM infrastructure can scale to accommodate both the data ingestion and the processing required for correlation and enrichment is critical [12]. Solutions like Network Detection and Response (NDR) systems can complement SIEMs by providing rich network telemetry for hunting [24].
3. **Skill Gap in Threat Hunting:** While TI integration provides the tools, effective threat hunting still requires skilled analysts who can formulate hypotheses, write complex queries, interpret results, and understand adversary behaviors [10, 16]. The cybersecurity talent shortage remains a significant hurdle.
4. **Operationalizing Insights:** Merely detecting threats is not enough. The insights gained from threat hunting must be operationalized into improved security controls, updated SIEM rules, and enhanced incident response playbooks. Establishing a robust feedback loop that continually refines both TI and SIEM configurations is crucial [11, 19].
5. **Cost and Complexity:** Implementing and maintaining a comprehensive SIEM system with integrated TI can be costly and complex, requiring significant investment in technology, personnel, and ongoing maintenance.

Future research and development should focus on several areas to further enhance this integration. Firstly, leveraging advanced machine learning and AI, beyond simple IoC matching, for behavioral anomaly detection driven by granular TTPs will be crucial [5]. Secondly, developing more automated hypothesis generation mechanisms, perhaps using AI to analyze emerging TI and suggest hunting queries directly to analysts, could



significantly streamline the hunting process [2, 4]. Thirdly, improving the interoperability and standardization of TI formats would facilitate seamless integration across diverse SIEM platforms and TI sources. Finally, exploring how to effectively integrate observability data (beyond traditional security logs) into SIEMs, along with TI, can provide an even richer context for uncovering sophisticated threats.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the convergence of robust SIEM capabilities with actionable threat intelligence is indispensable for building a truly predictive and resilient cyber defense. By empowering security teams to proactively hunt for threats, organizations can stay ahead of adversaries, minimize their attack surface, and ultimately safeguard their critical assets in an increasingly hostile digital environment.

## REFERENCES

1. Wei, R., Cai, L., Yu, A., & Meng, D. (2021). DeepHunter: A graph neural network based approach for robust cyber threat hunting. arXiv preprint, arXiv:2104.09806.
2. Bienzobas, Á. C., & Sánchez Macián, A. (2023). Threat Trekker: An approach to cyber threat hunting. arXiv preprint, arXiv:2310.04197.
3. Mavroeidis, V., & Jøsang, A. (2021). Data driven threat hunting using Sysmon. arXiv preprint, arXiv:2103.15194.
4. Gao, P., Liu, X., Choi, E., Ma, S., Yang, X., & Song, D. (2022). ThreatKG: An AI powered system for automated open source cyber threat intelligence gathering. arXiv preprint, arXiv:2212.10388.
5. "Proactive threat hunting to detect persistent behaviour based attacks." (2024). Computers & Security, article in press.
6. "Threat Hunting Use Cases: Integration with SIEM and real time enrichment." (2024). Hunt.io.
7. Bitsight. (2024). SANS CTI Survey 2024: Threat hunting now top use case. Bitsight via SANS blog.
8. Brandefense. (2024). The benefits of integrating threat intelligence with SIEM solutions. bluevoyant.com.
9. CyberProof. (2024). What is proactive threat hunting? cyberproof.com.
10. StartupDefense. (2024). Threat hunting: A comprehensive guide to proactive cyber defense. startupdefense.io.
11. SecureITConsult. (2024). How intelligence data drives proactive threat hunting. secureitconsult.com.
12. SearchInform. (2024). SIEM threat hunting: Comprehensive guide. searchinform.com.
13. Bitsight. (2025). The role of threat intelligence in threat hunting. bitsight.com.
14. BlueVoyant. (2024). Threat intelligence: Complete guide to process and technology. bluevoyant.com.
15. CyberMaxx. (2025). The art of proactive threat hunting: A deeper dive. cybermaxx.com.
16. ChaosSearch. (2024). Threat hunting frameworks and methodologies: An introductory guide. chaossearch.io.
17. Softcat. (2024). The role of threat intelligence in proactive cyber defence. softcat.com.
18. Filigran. (2024). Leverage threat intelligence for proactive threat hunting. filigran.io.
19. Trellix. (2025). Threat intelligence and threat hunting: Why you need both. trellix.com.
20. "Threat intelligence platform" (2024). Wikipedia entry.
21. "Threat hunting" (2025). Wikipedia entry.
22. "Proactive cyber defence." (2025). Wikipedia entry.
23. LevelBlue. (2024). OSSIM: Open Source Security Information Management. Wikipedia entry.
24. "Network detection and response (NDR)." (2025). Wikipedia entry.
25. PricewaterhouseCoopers. (2023). Proactive cyber defence and detection. Wikipedia entry.