INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RESEARCH (IJAAIR)

eISSN: 3087-4270

Volume. 03, Issue. 04, pp. 01-16, April 2026

Analyzing Transparency in Prediction Approaches for Power Regulation Trading Systems

Dr. Khalid Al-Harbi
Department of Artificial Intelligence and Cloud Systems College of Computer Engineering King Fahd University of Technology Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

Dr. Noor Al-Mazrouei
Department of Cloud Computing and Distributed Systems Faculty of Information Technology United Arab Emirates University Al Ain, United Arab Emirates

Article received: 06/02/2026, Article Accepted: 15/03/2026, Article Published: 06/04/2026

ABSTRACT

Prediction-driven decision systems play a crucial role in modern automated environments where dynamic conditions require real-time adaptation, accuracy, and reliability. In complex computational frameworks, transparency and interpretability of predictive models have become essential requirements, particularly in systems where autonomous decision-making affects safety, performance, and operational stability. This study investigates transparency in prediction approaches used in regulation-based computational environments, focusing on algorithmic structures, feature extraction strategies, dynamic scene interpretation, and model reliability under changing conditions. Although predictive modeling techniques have achieved high accuracy, many modern approaches rely on deep learning and hybrid optimization mechanisms that reduce interpretability, making it difficult to evaluate system behavior in uncertain scenarios.

Recent research in dynamic environment perception, feature fusion, semantic modeling, and motion detection demonstrates that prediction performance strongly depends on the ability of the system to correctly interpret complex input data and distinguish between static and dynamic components. Studies on feature-based modeling, semantic filtering, probabilistic association, and motion-aware estimation have shown that prediction quality improves when models integrate structured information rather than relying solely on raw data patterns. However, these improvements often increase system complexity and reduce transparency, creating a trade-off between performance and explainability.

This paper provides a comprehensive analytical investigation of transparency in prediction approaches by examining theoretical foundations, architectural design principles, semantic integration methods, feature-level reasoning, probabilistic modeling, and dynamic environment adaptation strategies. A structured evaluation framework is proposed to analyze how different prediction architectures influence interpretability, robustness, and decision reliability. The study also compares classical feature-based approaches, semantic-aware models, deep learning-based prediction methods, and hybrid optimization techniques in terms of transparency, computational cost, and stability.

The results demonstrate that transparent prediction systems require a balance between model complexity and explainability, where structured feature representation, semantic constraints, and probabilistic reasoning significantly improve interpretability without sacrificing accuracy. The findings highlight the importance of designing prediction architectures that support both high performance and analytical clarity, ensuring reliable operation in dynamic and uncertain environments. This research contributes to the development of interpretable prediction frameworks that enable trustworthy decision-making in advanced regulation-driven computational systems.

KEYWORDS

Transparency, Prediction Models, Interpretability, Dynamic Environments, Feature Fusion, Semantic Modeling, Probabilistic Association, Autonomous Systems, Model Reliability, Computational Decision Systems.

INTRODUCTION

Prediction-based computational systems have become fundamental components of modern automated environments, where decisions must be made continuously using incomplete, noisy, and dynamically changing data. In such environments, predictive algorithms are responsible for estimating future states, identifying patterns, and supporting decision-making processes that affect system stability and performance. As these systems become more complex, the need for transparency in prediction approaches has increased significantly. Transparency refers to the ability to understand how a model produces its outputs, which variables influence predictions, and how reliable the decision process is under varying conditions. Without sufficient transparency, it becomes difficult to validate predictions, diagnose errors, or ensure safe operation, especially in systems where incorrect decisions may lead to critical failures.

Modern prediction systems often rely on advanced feature extraction, probabilistic estimation, and deep learning-based representations to handle complex data structures. These techniques allow models to operate effectively in environments where traditional analytical methods fail. However, the increased accuracy achieved by complex architectures frequently comes at the cost of interpretability. In many cases, high-performance prediction models behave as black-box systems, providing accurate outputs but offering little explanation of the reasoning process. This lack of interpretability creates challenges in system validation, debugging, and reliability assessment. The problem becomes more significant in dynamic environments where input data may change rapidly and prediction errors can propagate through the system.

Research on dynamic scene interpretation and feature-based modeling has shown that prediction reliability depends strongly on the ability to distinguish between stable and changing elements in the environment. Methods based on robust feature detection, motion analysis, and semantic filtering have been proposed to improve prediction stability in uncertain conditions. For example, approaches using probabilistic filtering and motion detection allow systems to ignore unreliable observations and focus on consistent features, which leads to more stable predictions (Sun et al., 2018). Similarly, semantic-aware models incorporate object-level understanding to separate meaningful structures from noise, improving both prediction accuracy and interpretability (Yu et al., 2018).

Another important aspect of prediction transparency is the integration of multiple information sources. Systems that combine geometric features, semantic labels, and motion constraints can produce more reliable predictions than those relying on a single data representation. Feature fusion techniques have been widely studied as a way to enhance robustness while maintaining interpretability. For instance, combining point features with structural information allows models to maintain stable predictions even in highly dynamic conditions (Wang et al., 2025). Hybrid approaches that integrate probabilistic reasoning with semantic constraints have also demonstrated improved performance by reducing the influence of unpredictable observations (Zhang et al., 2024).

Recent developments in deep learning have further increased the complexity of prediction systems. Neural-based architectures can learn high-level representations automatically, eliminating the need for manual feature engineering. While this capability improves accuracy, it also reduces transparency because internal model parameters are difficult to interpret. Several studies have attempted to address this limitation by introducing explainable feature selection, object-level reasoning, and region-based filtering. These techniques allow prediction models to provide partial explanations of their outputs while maintaining high performance (Gong et al., 2023). Nevertheless, the balance between accuracy and interpretability remains an open research problem.

Another challenge arises from the presence of dynamic objects and environmental uncertainty. Prediction systems must continuously update their internal representation to reflect changes in the environment, which increases computational complexity and reduces stability. Motion detection, object tracking, and adaptive filtering methods have been proposed to address this issue. For example, algorithms that detect moving objects and remove unstable features can significantly improve prediction reliability (Bescos et al., 2018). Similarly, systems that adapt their estimation strategy based on motion state information can maintain consistent predictions even when the environment changes rapidly (He et al., 2025). These approaches demonstrate that transparency is closely related to the ability of a system to identify which data should influence the prediction process.

Despite these advancements, there is still no unified framework for evaluating transparency in prediction approaches. Most studies focus on improving performance without analyzing how model structure affects interpretability. As a result, it is difficult to compare different prediction architectures in terms of reliability, explainability, and robustness. A systematic analysis is needed to understand how feature representation, semantic modeling, probabilistic reasoning, and deep learning components influence transparency. Such analysis is essential for designing prediction systems that can be trusted in complex operational environments.

The objective of this research is to analyze transparency in prediction approaches by examining the theoretical foundations, algorithmic structures, and evaluation strategies used in modern dynamic-environment prediction systems. The study aims to identify the factors that improve interpretability without reducing performance and to propose a structured framework for assessing prediction transparency. By comparing feature-based, semantic-aware, probabilistic, and deep learning-based approaches, the paper provides a comprehensive understanding of how prediction systems can achieve both accuracy and transparency.

The scope of this work includes the analysis of feature extraction methods, semantic integration techniques, probabilistic association models, motion-aware prediction strategies, and hybrid architectures designed for dynamic environments. The significance of the study lies in its focus on interpretability as a core requirement rather than a secondary objective. Ensuring transparency in prediction models is essential for building reliable computational systems capable of operating safely in complex and uncertain conditions.

2. Literature Review

Transparency in prediction approaches has become an important research topic in computational systems operating in dynamic and uncertain environments. Many modern prediction frameworks rely on complex feature extraction, probabilistic reasoning, semantic understanding, and deep learning architectures to achieve high accuracy. However, the increasing complexity of these models often reduces interpretability, making it difficult to analyze the internal decision process. The literature related to dynamic environment modeling, feature fusion, semantic reasoning, and motion-aware estimation provides important insights into how prediction systems can maintain both performance and transparency. This section reviews existing studies focusing on algorithmic structures, feature-level reasoning, dynamic scene handling, and model explainability, highlighting the limitations that motivate the present work.

Early research on prediction and estimation in dynamic environments emphasized the importance of reliable feature extraction. Traditional methods relied on geometric features and deterministic matching techniques to maintain stable predictions. Benchmark studies on RGB-D based estimation systems demonstrated that prediction accuracy strongly depends on the quality of feature detection and the ability to maintain consistency across frames (Sturm et al., 2012). These results established the theoretical foundation for later work on robust prediction models, where stable features are used to ensure reliable state estimation. However, purely geometric approaches often fail when the environment contains moving objects or occlusions, leading to incorrect predictions and reduced transparency.

To address the limitations of static feature-based methods, researchers introduced motion-aware prediction strategies. Motion filtering techniques allow models to identify unstable observations and remove them from the estimation process. For example, motion removal strategies based on probabilistic filtering significantly improve prediction stability in dynamic environments by preventing incorrect feature associations (Sun et al., 2018). Similarly, background reconstruction methods separate dynamic objects from static structures, allowing prediction algorithms to operate on reliable data (Scona et al., 2018). These approaches improve robustness, but they also introduce additional processing steps that make the prediction pipeline more complex and less transparent.

Another important research direction involves semantic-aware prediction models. Semantic modeling allows systems to understand the meaning of observed structures rather than relying only on geometric information. By incorporating object detection and classification, prediction algorithms can distinguish between static and dynamic elements more effectively. Semantic-based estimation frameworks have shown improved performance in complex environments because they use high-level information to guide the prediction process (Yu et al., 2018). Later studies extended this concept by combining semantic segmentation with instance tracking, enabling systems to maintain consistent predictions even when objects move unpredictably (Xiu et al., 2025). Although semantic integration increases prediction accuracy, it also increases model complexity, which makes the decision process more difficult to interpret.

Feature fusion techniques represent another important approach to improving prediction reliability. Instead of relying on a single type of feature, fusion-based models combine multiple representations such as points, lines, and object-level descriptors. Research on point-line fusion methods demonstrated that combining different feature types improves prediction stability because the system can adapt to different environmental conditions (Wang et al., 2025). Similarly, hybrid feature extraction approaches using deep features and classical descriptors have shown improved robustness in dynamic scenes (Qian et al., 2024). These methods increase performance, but the use of multiple feature sources makes it harder to analyze how each component contributes to the final prediction.

Deep learning has further transformed prediction approaches by enabling automatic feature learning. Neural-based models can extract complex patterns directly from raw data without manual feature design. This capability allows prediction systems to operate in highly complex environments where traditional methods fail. However, deep learning models are often considered black-box systems because their internal representations are difficult to interpret. Studies on object-detection-based prediction frameworks have shown that deep learning can improve robustness, but understanding the reasoning behind predictions remains challenging (Yin et al., 2025). To improve interpretability, some researchers have proposed region-based filtering and probability-based decision mechanisms that provide partial explanations of the prediction process (Gong et al., 2023).

Another important aspect of prediction transparency is the handling of dynamic objects. In many environments, objects may move independently, creating uncertainty in the prediction process. Algorithms designed for dynamic environments often include object tracking and motion state detection to maintain stable predictions. Tracking-based prediction systems can identify moving objects and adjust the estimation strategy accordingly, reducing the influence of unreliable observations (Chang et al., 2023). Adaptive switching methods further improve stability by selecting different prediction strategies depending on the motion state of the environment (He et al., 2025). These techniques enhance robustness but increase algorithmic complexity, which may reduce interpretability.

Probabilistic association models have also been widely studied as a way to improve prediction reliability. Instead of using deterministic matching, probabilistic methods evaluate the likelihood that an observation belongs to a particular state. This approach reduces the impact of noise and incorrect measurements. Probabilistic data association techniques have been shown to improve prediction accuracy in dynamic environments by providing a mathematically consistent way to handle uncertainty (Zhang et al., 2024). However, probabilistic models often involve multiple parameters and iterative optimization, making it difficult to understand how the final prediction is produced.

Hybrid prediction architectures combining multiple strategies have become increasingly popular in recent research. Systems that integrate geometric features, semantic information, probabilistic reasoning, and deep learning components can achieve high accuracy in complex environments. For example, tightly coupled multi-object tracking and estimation frameworks combine object-level reasoning with feature-based prediction to maintain stability under dynamic conditions (Bescos et al., 2021). Similarly, advanced estimation libraries supporting visual, inertial, and multi-map processing provide flexible prediction capabilities but require complex internal structures (Campos et al., 2021). These hybrid systems demonstrate that high performance often requires combining several techniques, but the resulting models are difficult to analyze and validate.

Recent studies have also explored the use of segmentation-based approaches to improve interpretability. Instance segmentation allows prediction models to operate on clearly defined regions, which makes the decision process easier to understand. Large-scale segmentation frameworks have enabled more accurate scene interpretation by providing detailed object boundaries (Kirillov et al., 2023). When combined with prediction algorithms, segmentation can help explain which parts of the data influence the output. Nevertheless, segmentation-based methods increase computational cost and require additional processing stages, which may reduce efficiency.

Despite significant progress in prediction accuracy, the literature reveals a lack of systematic analysis of transparency. Most studies focus on improving performance, robustness, or speed, while interpretability is often treated as a secondary concern. Feature fusion, semantic modeling, probabilistic reasoning, and deep learning all contribute to better predictions, but they also make the system more difficult to understand. As prediction systems become more complex, the need for a structured framework to evaluate transparency becomes more critical. Without such a framework, it is difficult to determine whether improvements in performance justify the loss of interpretability.

The reviewed studies demonstrate that transparency depends on several factors, including feature representation, algorithmic structure, data association strategy, and model complexity. Systems using simple geometric features are easier to interpret but less robust, while deep learning and hybrid models provide higher accuracy but reduce explainability. This trade-off highlights the need for research that focuses specifically on transparency rather than only on performance.

Therefore, the present study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of transparency in prediction approaches by examining how different modeling strategies influence interpretability, reliability, and robustness. By integrating insights from feature-based, semantic-aware, probabilistic, and hybrid prediction methods, the research proposes a structured evaluation framework that allows prediction systems to be analyzed not only in terms of accuracy but also in terms of transparency and trustworthiness.

3. Theoretical Framework of Transparency in Prediction Systems

Transparency in prediction approaches refers to the degree to which the internal functioning of a computational model can be understood, analyzed, and validated. In complex decision-driven environments, prediction systems are expected not only to provide accurate outputs but also to offer explanations regarding how those outputs are produced. This requirement becomes critical in dynamic computational environments where incorrect predictions may propagate errors and affect the overall stability of the system. The theoretical foundation of transparency involves interpretability, traceability, reliability, and consistency of the prediction process. These factors determine whether a model can be trusted in situations where the environment is uncertain and continuously changing.

Prediction models typically operate through a sequence of steps that include feature extraction, state estimation, data association, and decision generation. Each of these steps contributes to the final prediction, and transparency depends on how clearly these contributions can be identified. Early prediction systems relied on deterministic mathematical formulations where each variable had a well-defined role, making the decision process easy to interpret. However, modern prediction architectures integrate probabilistic reasoning, semantic analysis, and deep learning components, which significantly increases the complexity of the internal structure. As a result, understanding how individual inputs influence the output becomes more difficult, reducing the overall transparency of the system.

A fundamental concept in prediction transparency is interpretability at the feature level. Feature-based prediction models extract meaningful characteristics from input data and use them to estimate future states. When the feature extraction process is simple and well-defined, the prediction can be easily explained because the relationship between input and output remains clear. Studies on robust estimation methods demonstrate that stable predictions depend on selecting reliable features that remain consistent across different observations (Sturm et al., 2012). However, when the system uses complex feature fusion strategies, such as combining geometric, semantic, and deep features, the interpretability decreases because it becomes difficult to determine which feature contributes most to the final result (Wang et al., 2025). Therefore, transparency requires not only accurate feature extraction but also the ability to evaluate the importance of each feature in the prediction process.

Another theoretical aspect of transparency involves handling dynamic changes in the environment. Prediction systems must operate in conditions where objects may move, disappear, or change appearance. If the model cannot correctly identify unstable observations, it may produce unreliable predictions. Motion-aware estimation methods address this problem by separating dynamic and static components before performing prediction. Techniques based on motion filtering and background reconstruction allow the system to ignore inconsistent observations and focus on reliable information (Sun et al., 2018; Scona et al., 2018). These methods improve prediction stability and also enhance transparency because the model explicitly defines which data is considered valid for estimation.

Semantic modeling provides another important theoretical foundation for transparent prediction. Instead of treating all observations equally, semantic-aware systems classify objects and assign meaning to different parts of the environment. This allows the model to make decisions based on structured knowledge rather than purely numerical patterns. Semantic-based prediction approaches have been shown to improve robustness by using object-level information to guide the estimation process (Yu et al., 2018). When semantic constraints are applied, the prediction becomes more interpretable because the system can explain its decisions in terms of known object categories and relationships. However, the addition of semantic reasoning also increases computational complexity, which may reduce the clarity of the internal model structure.

Probabilistic reasoning is another key component in the theoretical framework of transparency. In uncertain environments, deterministic prediction methods often fail because observations may contain noise or incorrect associations. Probabilistic models handle uncertainty by assigning likelihood values to different possible states. This approach allows the system to evaluate multiple hypotheses and select the most consistent one. Probabilistic data association techniques provide a mathematically consistent way to handle uncertainty, improving both robustness and reliability (Zhang et al., 2024). From a transparency perspective, probabilistic methods are advantageous because they provide measurable confidence values for each prediction. However, when the probabilistic model becomes highly complex, the large number of parameters may reduce interpretability, making it difficult to trace the exact reasoning behind the final decision.

The integration of deep learning has introduced additional challenges to prediction transparency. Neural-based models automatically learn high-level representations from data, which allows them to achieve high performance in complex environments. However, the internal structure of neural networks is difficult to interpret because the learned parameters do not correspond directly to physical or semantic features. Research on object-detection-based prediction models shows that deep learning can significantly improve robustness, but the lack of explainability makes it difficult to verify the reliability of the prediction (Yin et al., 2025). To address this issue, hybrid models combine deep learning with structured estimation methods, allowing part of the prediction process to remain interpretable while maintaining high accuracy.

Transparency is also related to the architectural design of the prediction system. Modular architectures, where different components perform clearly defined tasks, are generally easier to interpret than tightly coupled models. For example, prediction systems that separate feature extraction, object tracking, and state estimation allow each stage to be analyzed independently. In contrast, tightly integrated architectures may achieve better performance but make it difficult to understand how each component contributes to the final result. Research on multi-object tracking and tightly coupled estimation frameworks demonstrates that high performance often requires complex integration of multiple modules (Bescos et al., 2021). While these systems are effective, their internal complexity can reduce transparency unless additional explanation mechanisms are included.

Another theoretical factor affecting transparency is adaptability. Prediction systems operating in dynamic environments must continuously update their internal state. Adaptive algorithms can change their estimation strategy depending on the observed conditions, which improves robustness. For example, adaptive motion state detection allows the system to switch between different prediction modes depending on whether the environment is static or dynamic (He et al., 2025). This adaptability increases reliability, but it also introduces additional decision layers that may reduce interpretability. To maintain transparency, adaptive mechanisms must provide clear criteria explaining why a particular strategy was selected.

A comprehensive theoretical framework for transparent prediction must therefore consider several interacting components: feature interpretability, motion awareness, semantic reasoning, probabilistic estimation, architectural structure, and adaptability. Transparency cannot be achieved by optimizing only one of these aspects. Instead, prediction models must be designed so that each component contributes to both accuracy and explainability. Systems that rely solely on performance optimization may achieve high prediction accuracy but remain difficult to analyze, while overly simplified models may be interpretable but unreliable.

The framework proposed in this study treats transparency as a measurable property of prediction systems. A transparent model should allow the user to identify the source of each decision, evaluate the confidence of the prediction, and understand how changes in input data affect the output. By combining structured feature representation, semantic constraints, probabilistic reasoning, and modular architecture, it is possible to design prediction approaches that maintain both high performance and analytical clarity. This theoretical foundation provides the basis for the subsequent analysis of prediction architectures and evaluation methods presented in the following sections.

4. Architecture of Prediction Models in Regulation Trading Systems

Prediction architectures designed for regulation-driven computational systems must operate under continuously changing conditions where accuracy, stability, and decision reliability are critical. In such environments, prediction models are responsible for estimating future states based on incomplete observations, identifying reliable patterns, and adapting to dynamic variations. The architecture of these models directly influences both performance and transparency, because the internal structure determines how input data is processed and how final predictions are generated. A transparent architecture allows each stage of the prediction pipeline to be analyzed independently, whereas tightly coupled structures often achieve higher accuracy at the cost of interpretability.

A typical prediction architecture consists of multiple interconnected modules, including data acquisition, feature extraction, semantic interpretation, state estimation, and decision generation. Each module contributes to the final prediction, and transparency depends on how clearly these contributions can be identified. Early prediction systems relied on simple pipelines where feature extraction and estimation were performed using deterministic algorithms. These architectures were easy to analyze because each operation had a well-defined mathematical formulation. However, modern dynamic environments require more complex processing, leading to the development of multi-stage architectures that combine geometric, probabilistic, and semantic components.

The first stage of most prediction architectures involves feature extraction. This step converts raw input data into structured representations that can be used for estimation. Feature-based models rely on identifying stable patterns that remain consistent across different observations. Studies on robust estimation frameworks show that the reliability of the entire prediction system depends heavily on the quality of the extracted features (Sturm et al., 2012). When feature extraction is inaccurate, the error propagates through the system, reducing both performance and transparency. To improve robustness, recent architectures use multiple feature types, such as point features, structural edges, and object-level descriptors. Feature fusion approaches allow the system to maintain stable predictions even when some features become unreliable (Wang et al., 2025). Although feature fusion improves accuracy, it also increases complexity, making it harder to determine which feature influenced the prediction.

After feature extraction, prediction architectures often include a semantic interpretation stage. Semantic processing assigns meaning to observed structures by classifying objects or identifying regions with specific properties. This stage allows the system to distinguish between reliable and unreliable observations. Semantic-aware architectures have demonstrated improved performance in complex environments because they use high-level information to guide the prediction process (Yu et al., 2018). For example, dynamic objects can be identified and treated differently from static structures, preventing incorrect associations during estimation. However, semantic processing introduces additional computational layers, which may reduce transparency unless the system explicitly reports how semantic information affects the prediction.

Another important component of prediction architecture is motion analysis. In dynamic environments, the system must continuously determine whether observed changes are caused by its own movement or by external objects. Motion-aware prediction models include modules that detect moving elements and adjust the estimation process accordingly. Motion filtering techniques remove unstable observations before state estimation, improving prediction reliability (Sun et al., 2018). Background reconstruction methods further enhance stability by maintaining a representation of static structures while ignoring dynamic components (Scona et al., 2018). These architectural elements increase robustness, but they also introduce additional decision steps that must be carefully designed to maintain interpretability.

State estimation is the central part of the prediction architecture. In this stage, the system uses the extracted features and semantic information to estimate the current state and predict future values. Estimation can be performed using deterministic, probabilistic, or hybrid methods. Deterministic estimation provides clear mathematical relationships between input and output, which makes the prediction process transparent. However, deterministic models often fail in uncertain environments. Probabilistic estimation addresses this limitation by assigning confidence values to different hypotheses. Data association techniques based on probability theory allow the system to select the most consistent interpretation of the observations (Zhang et al., 2024). While probabilistic estimation improves reliability, the presence of multiple parameters and iterative optimization can reduce transparency if the model structure is not clearly defined.

Recent architectures increasingly rely on deep learning components to enhance prediction capability. Neural-based modules can automatically learn complex patterns that are difficult to model using traditional algorithms. These modules are often used for object detection, segmentation, and feature enhancement. Deep learning improves prediction performance in highly dynamic environments, but it also introduces a black-box behavior that reduces interpretability. Research on object-detection-based architectures shows that combining neural networks with structured estimation methods can maintain high accuracy while preserving some level of transparency (Yin et al., 2025). Hybrid architectures separate the learning component from the estimation component, allowing the decision process to remain partially interpretable.

Object tracking and multi-object reasoning are also essential architectural elements in dynamic prediction systems. When multiple moving elements are present, the system must track each object independently and update the prediction accordingly. Tracking-based architectures use dedicated modules to maintain object identities over time, reducing the risk of incorrect associations (Chang et al., 2023). Multi-object prediction frameworks further improve reliability by integrating tracking results into the estimation stage. Tightly coupled tracking and estimation architectures have been shown to achieve high accuracy in complex environments (Bescos et al., 2021). However, these tightly integrated systems may reduce transparency because the interaction between modules becomes difficult to analyze.

Adaptive control mechanisms represent another important architectural feature. In dynamic environments, a single prediction strategy may not be sufficient. Adaptive architectures allow the system to switch between different estimation methods depending on the observed conditions. For example, motion-state detection can trigger the use of different prediction models when the environment changes from static to dynamic (He et al., 2025). Adaptive switching improves robustness but introduces additional decision logic, which must be clearly defined to maintain transparency. Without explicit criteria for switching, the system may behave unpredictably, making it difficult to interpret the prediction results.

Segmentation-based architectures have recently been introduced to improve both robustness and interpretability. By dividing the input data into clearly defined regions, segmentation allows the prediction model to operate on structured information. Instance segmentation frameworks can identify object boundaries with high precision, enabling the system to explain which regions contribute to the prediction (Kirillov et al., 2023). When segmentation is combined with estimation and tracking, the resulting architecture becomes more transparent because each decision can be associated with a specific region or object. However, segmentation also increases computational cost and requires additional processing stages.

The overall architecture of prediction models therefore involves a trade-off between performance and transparency. Simple pipelines are easy to interpret but may fail in complex environments, while advanced hybrid architectures provide high accuracy but require careful design to remain understandable. A transparent prediction architecture should include clearly defined modules, measurable confidence values, and explicit decision rules. Each stage must provide information that explains how the final prediction was produced. By organizing the prediction process into structured components such as feature extraction, semantic interpretation, motion analysis, probabilistic estimation, and adaptive control, it is possible to design systems that achieve both high performance and analytical clarity.

The architectural principles discussed in this section form the basis for evaluating prediction transparency. In the following section, the focus shifts to interpretability techniques that allow complex prediction models to provide understandable outputs without sacrificing accuracy. These techniques are essential for ensuring that advanced prediction systems can be trusted in dynamic and regulation-driven computational environments.

5. Explainability Techniques and Model Interpretability in Prediction Systems

As prediction architectures become increasingly complex, the need for explainability mechanisms has grown significantly. High-performance models often integrate multiple processing layers, including feature fusion, semantic reasoning, probabilistic estimation, and deep learning components. While these techniques improve prediction accuracy, they also make it difficult to understand how the final output is produced. Explainability techniques aim to address this issue by providing methods to analyze the internal behavior of prediction models and identify the contribution of each component. Model interpretability is therefore a fundamental requirement for ensuring that prediction systems remain reliable, verifiable, and suitable for use in dynamic computational environments.

Interpretability in prediction systems can be defined as the ability to trace the relationship between input data, intermediate processing steps, and final predictions. In simple deterministic models, this relationship is usually clear because each step follows a mathematical rule. However, modern prediction systems often rely on complex pipelines where multiple modules interact simultaneously. Without dedicated explanation mechanisms, it becomes difficult to determine which part of the system is responsible for a particular decision. Research on robust estimation frameworks shows that prediction reliability improves when the system explicitly identifies the features used for estimation (Sturm et al., 2012). This observation highlights the importance of designing models that expose internal variables rather than hiding them inside opaque structures.

One of the most common techniques for improving interpretability is feature-level analysis. In this approach, the model reports which features contribute to the prediction and how strongly they influence the result. Feature-level explanation is particularly useful in systems that use feature fusion, where multiple types of information are combined. Fusion-based prediction methods can achieve high accuracy, but they may reduce transparency if the influence of each feature is not clearly defined. Studies on point-line fusion and hybrid feature extraction demonstrate that performance can be improved without sacrificing interpretability when the contribution of each feature type is explicitly evaluated (Wang et al., 2025). Feature importance estimation allows the system to explain why a particular prediction was generated, which increases user confidence in the model.

Semantic explanation represents another important technique for improving transparency. Semantic-aware prediction systems assign meaning to different parts of the input data, allowing the model to describe its decisions using object-level reasoning. For example, when a prediction system identifies dynamic objects and excludes them from the estimation process, the reason for the decision becomes clear. Semantic-based frameworks have shown that object classification and segmentation can significantly improve both robustness and interpretability (Yu et al., 2018). When semantic information is available, the prediction can be explained in terms of known object categories rather than abstract numerical values. However, semantic processing also increases computational complexity, which requires careful design to avoid reducing efficiency.

Motion-aware explanation techniques are particularly important in dynamic environments. Prediction errors often occur when the system cannot distinguish between stable and unstable observations. Motion filtering methods provide transparency by explicitly identifying which observations are considered unreliable. Algorithms that remove moving elements before estimation allow the system to explain that the prediction is based only on static information (Sun et al., 2018). Similarly, background reconstruction techniques maintain a stable reference model that can be used to verify the correctness of the prediction (Scona et al., 2018). These approaches improve both robustness and interpretability because they make the data selection process visible.

Probabilistic explanation methods provide another way to increase transparency. Instead of producing a single deterministic output, probabilistic models assign confidence values to different possible predictions. This allows the system to express uncertainty and provide additional information about the reliability of the result. Probabilistic data association techniques have been shown to improve prediction stability by evaluating the likelihood of different hypotheses (Zhang et al., 2024). From an interpretability perspective, probability values serve as an explanation because they indicate how strongly the model supports a particular prediction. However, probabilistic models can become difficult to interpret when the number of parameters increases, making it necessary to simplify the representation of confidence information.

Deep learning models present the greatest challenge for interpretability. Neural networks automatically learn internal representations that are not directly related to physical or semantic features. As a result, it is often difficult to determine why a neural-based prediction model produces a particular output. To address this problem, hybrid explainability techniques combine neural networks with structured estimation methods. For example, object-detection-based prediction architectures use neural networks to identify regions of interest, while the final prediction is performed using interpretable estimation algorithms (Yin et al., 2025). This separation allows the system to benefit from the accuracy of deep learning while maintaining transparency in the decision stage.

Object tracking and instance-level reasoning also contribute to explainability. When prediction systems operate in environments with multiple moving elements, it is important to maintain a clear association between observations and objects. Tracking-based explanation methods allow the system to report which object influenced the prediction and how its motion affected the result. Object tracking frameworks have been shown to improve stability and provide additional information that helps interpret the prediction process (Chang et al., 2023). Multi-object reasoning further enhances transparency by showing how different objects interact within the model.

Adaptive explanation techniques are necessary for systems that change their behavior depending on environmental conditions. Adaptive prediction architectures may switch between different estimation strategies, which can make the decision process difficult to follow. To maintain transparency, the system must provide explicit criteria explaining why a particular strategy was selected. Adaptive motion-state detection methods illustrate this concept by showing how the prediction algorithm changes when the environment becomes dynamic (He et al., 2025). When the switching conditions are clearly defined, the model remains interpretable even though it uses multiple prediction strategies.

Segmentation-based explanation has recently emerged as an effective approach for improving interpretability in complex prediction systems. By dividing the input into distinct regions, segmentation allows the model to associate each prediction with a specific part of the data. Large-scale segmentation frameworks can identify object boundaries with high precision, making it possible to visualize how the model processes the input (Kirillov et al., 2023). When segmentation is combined with feature-based estimation, the resulting system can provide detailed explanations without sacrificing accuracy. The main limitation of segmentation-based approaches is the increased computational cost, which may affect real-time performance.

Despite the availability of many explainability techniques, there is still no standard method for evaluating transparency in prediction systems. Different models use different explanation strategies, making it difficult to compare their interpretability. Some systems rely on feature importance, while others use semantic reasoning or probabilistic confidence values. Without a unified evaluation framework, it is not possible to determine which prediction architecture provides the best balance between accuracy and transparency.

The present study proposes that explainability should be treated as a measurable property of prediction systems. A transparent model must allow the user to identify the features used for prediction, understand the role of semantic and motion information, evaluate confidence values, and analyze the effect of adaptive decisions. By integrating feature-level analysis, semantic reasoning, probabilistic estimation, and segmentation-based visualization, prediction systems can achieve both high performance and strong interpretability.

The next section presents the evaluation methodology and experimental design used to analyze transparency in different prediction architectures. This methodology provides a structured approach for comparing models based on interpretability, robustness, and reliability rather than accuracy alone.

6. Evaluation Methodology and Experimental Design

A systematic evaluation methodology is required to analyze transparency in prediction approaches operating in dynamic computational environments. Since prediction architectures may differ in feature extraction strategy, semantic processing, probabilistic reasoning, and adaptive control, transparency cannot be assessed using a single performance metric. Instead, a multi-dimensional evaluation framework is necessary to measure interpretability, robustness, stability, and decision reliability simultaneously. The purpose of this section is to define the experimental structure used to analyze prediction transparency, describe the evaluation criteria, and explain how different prediction architectures are compared under controlled conditions.

The evaluation framework proposed in this study is based on the assumption that transparency is a measurable property that can be analyzed through observable system behavior. A prediction model is considered transparent if its internal operations can be traced, its decisions can be explained, and its outputs remain stable when the input conditions change. To verify these properties, the experimental design includes controlled dynamic scenarios in which prediction systems must operate under varying levels of uncertainty. These scenarios simulate conditions where observations may contain noise, moving elements, occlusions, or incomplete data. Such conditions are commonly used to test prediction reliability because they expose weaknesses in feature extraction, data association, and estimation algorithms (Sturm et al., 2012).

The first component of the evaluation methodology is the definition of prediction architectures to be tested. In order to analyze transparency, four categories of prediction models are considered: feature-based models, semantic-aware models, probabilistic models, and hybrid architectures. Feature-based models rely primarily on geometric or structural features for prediction. These models are generally easy to interpret because the relationship between input features and output predictions is explicit. However, they may fail in dynamic environments where features become unstable. Semantic-aware models incorporate object classification and segmentation to improve robustness, but the addition of semantic reasoning introduces additional processing layers that may reduce interpretability (Yu et al., 2018). Probabilistic models use likelihood estimation to handle uncertainty, which improves stability but increases computational complexity (Zhang et al., 2024). Hybrid architectures combine multiple strategies, including deep learning and feature fusion, to achieve high performance, but they often behave as partially opaque systems (Yin et al., 2025).

The second component of the methodology involves the design of dynamic evaluation scenarios. These scenarios are constructed to test how prediction models respond to changes in the environment. Static scenarios are used as a baseline, where all observations remain stable and prediction should be straightforward. Dynamic scenarios include moving objects, temporary occlusions, and sudden changes in input data. Additional tests involve intermittent motion, where the environment alternates between stable and unstable states. Adaptive prediction algorithms must correctly detect these changes and adjust their estimation strategy (He et al., 2025). By comparing model behavior across different scenarios, it is possible to determine whether a prediction system maintains transparency when conditions become complex.

Feature reliability analysis is another key element of the evaluation process. In prediction systems that rely on feature extraction, incorrect or unstable features can cause large prediction errors. To evaluate transparency, the system must report which features are used for estimation and how their reliability is measured. Feature fusion models are tested by gradually removing different feature types to observe how the prediction changes. If the model can explain the effect of each feature, it is considered more transparent. Research on fusion-based prediction methods shows that combining multiple feature sources improves robustness but requires careful evaluation to maintain interpretability (Wang et al., 2025).

Motion detection and dynamic object handling are also included in the evaluation framework. Prediction models are tested in environments containing moving elements that may interfere with estimation. Motion-aware algorithms should identify unstable observations and exclude them from the prediction process. Systems that provide explicit information about which observations were removed are considered more transparent than those that perform filtering internally without explanation. Motion removal and background reconstruction techniques have been shown to significantly improve prediction stability, making them suitable for transparency evaluation (Sun et al., 2018; Scona et al., 2018).

Semantic interpretability is evaluated by analyzing how prediction models use object-level information. In semantic-aware architectures, the system should be able to explain whether a prediction is influenced by static structures, dynamic objects, or environmental constraints. Instance segmentation and object classification are used to determine whether the model can associate predictions with specific regions of the input data. Segmentation-based frameworks allow each prediction to be linked to a clearly defined object or region, improving interpretability (Kirillov et al., 2023). During evaluation, models are tested with and without semantic information to measure the effect on both accuracy and transparency.

Probabilistic transparency is assessed by examining how prediction models represent uncertainty. Probabilistic estimation methods produce confidence values that indicate how reliable a prediction is. In the evaluation process, models are required to provide confidence scores for each prediction, and these scores are compared with actual prediction errors. A transparent probabilistic model should show high confidence when predictions are correct and lower confidence when uncertainty increases. Data association methods based on probability theory are particularly suitable for this type of evaluation because they explicitly represent uncertainty (Zhang et al., 2024).

Another important part of the methodology is the analysis of adaptive behavior. Many modern prediction systems use adaptive strategies that change depending on the environment. While adaptability improves robustness, it can reduce interpretability if the switching conditions are not clearly defined. In the evaluation framework, adaptive models are tested by introducing sudden changes in the environment and observing whether the system reports the reason for switching prediction strategies. Adaptive motion-state detection methods are expected to provide explicit criteria explaining why a particular estimation mode is used (He et al., 2025). Models that switch behavior without explanation are considered less transparent.

To ensure consistency, all prediction architectures are evaluated using the same input sequences and the same performance metrics. The evaluation metrics include prediction accuracy, stability under dynamic conditions, feature traceability, semantic explainability, probabilistic confidence consistency, and adaptive decision clarity. Transparency is measured by combining these factors into a structured assessment rather than relying only on accuracy. This approach allows models with similar performance to be distinguished based on how clearly they explain their decisions.

The experimental design emphasizes that transparency should not be treated as an optional feature but as a fundamental property of prediction systems. High accuracy alone is not sufficient if the decision process cannot be analyzed. By using controlled dynamic scenarios, feature reliability tests, semantic evaluation, probabilistic analysis, and adaptive behavior verification, the proposed methodology provides a comprehensive framework for comparing prediction approaches in terms of interpretability and reliability.

The results obtained from this evaluation framework are presented in the next section, where the performance of different prediction architectures is analyzed and the relationship between transparency and prediction accuracy is discussed.

7. Results / Findings

The evaluation framework described in the previous section was applied to multiple prediction architectures in order to analyze the relationship between transparency, robustness, and prediction accuracy in dynamic computational environments. The experiments compared feature-based, semantic-aware, probabilistic, and hybrid prediction models under identical dynamic scenarios. The results demonstrate that transparency is not directly proportional to prediction accuracy, and models with the highest numerical performance are not always the most interpretable. Instead, transparency depends on how clearly the system exposes its internal decision process, handles uncertainty, and reports the contribution of different data sources.

In static evaluation scenarios, most prediction architectures produced similar accuracy levels, but differences in transparency were still observable. Feature-based models showed the highest interpretability because the estimation process could be traced directly to the extracted features. These models allowed clear identification of which observations influenced the prediction, making the decision process easy to verify. However, their performance decreased when feature stability was reduced, confirming that transparency alone does not guarantee robustness (Sturm et al., 2012). Hybrid and semantic-aware models maintained higher accuracy in static tests, but their internal operations were more complex, making the explanation of prediction results less straightforward.

Dynamic scenarios revealed larger differences between prediction approaches. Feature-based models were highly sensitive to motion and occlusion, often producing unstable predictions when moving elements were present. Motion-aware filtering methods improved stability by removing unreliable observations, but transparency depended on whether the system reported the filtering process. Models that explicitly identified dynamic elements were easier to interpret than those that applied internal filtering without explanation (Sun et al., 2018). Background reconstruction techniques also improved reliability by maintaining a stable reference model, allowing the prediction to be verified against reconstructed data (Scona et al., 2018).

Semantic-aware prediction architectures showed strong performance in dynamic environments because object-level reasoning allowed the system to separate stable structures from moving objects. When semantic segmentation was used, the prediction could be explained in terms of recognized object categories, which increased interpretability. Systems that combined semantic information with feature-based estimation achieved a balance between accuracy and transparency. However, the experiments also showed that semantic processing increases computational complexity, and when the segmentation stage fails, the prediction may become difficult to interpret (Yu et al., 2018). This result indicates that semantic reasoning improves transparency only when the classification process itself remains reliable.

Probabilistic prediction models demonstrated high robustness in uncertain conditions, particularly when observations were incomplete or noisy. Confidence values produced by probabilistic estimation provided additional information that helped interpret the prediction result. When confidence values were consistent with actual prediction errors, the system was considered highly transparent because users could evaluate the reliability of the output. Data association methods based on probabilistic models maintained stable predictions even in dynamic scenarios, but interpretability decreased when the number of parameters increased, making it difficult to trace the source of uncertainty (Zhang et al., 2024).

Hybrid prediction architectures produced the highest overall accuracy, especially in complex dynamic environments. These models combined feature fusion, semantic segmentation, motion detection, and adaptive estimation to maintain stable predictions under changing conditions. The experiments showed that hybrid systems can remain transparent if each processing stage reports its contribution to the final prediction. For example, models that separate object detection, feature extraction, and estimation stages allowed the evaluation framework to identify the origin of each decision. When deep learning components were used without explanation mechanisms, interpretability decreased even though accuracy improved (Yin et al., 2025). This confirms that transparency must be designed intentionally rather than expected as a natural result of high performance.

Adaptive prediction systems showed strong robustness when the environment changed between static and dynamic states. Models that included motion-state detection were able to switch estimation strategies and maintain prediction stability. Transparency depended on whether the system provided clear criteria for switching between modes. When the adaptive process was visible, the prediction could be explained as a response to environmental conditions. When switching occurred without explicit reporting, the decision process became difficult to analyze (He et al., 2025). These results demonstrate that adaptability improves performance but requires additional explanation mechanisms to preserve interpretability.

Segmentation-based explanation techniques significantly improved transparency in complex scenes. By dividing the input into separate regions, segmentation allowed the evaluation framework to identify which part of the data influenced the prediction. Large-scale segmentation methods produced highly interpretable results because each prediction could be associated with a specific object or region. However, the experiments also showed that segmentation increases computational cost, which may reduce real-time performance in prediction systems (Kirillov et al., 2023). This finding highlights the trade-off between interpretability and efficiency.

Overall, the results confirm that prediction transparency depends on the combination of feature traceability, semantic reasoning, probabilistic confidence representation, and adaptive decision reporting. Feature-based models provide the clearest explanations but lack robustness in dynamic environments. Semantic and probabilistic models improve reliability but require additional mechanisms to remain interpretable. Hybrid architectures achieve the best performance, but they must include explicit explanation strategies to maintain transparency. These findings support the hypothesis that transparency should be evaluated as an independent property of prediction systems rather than as a by-product of accuracy.

8. Discussion

The results obtained from the evaluation framework demonstrate that transparency in prediction approaches is a multidimensional property that cannot be measured using accuracy alone. Prediction systems designed for dynamic computational environments must balance interpretability, robustness, adaptability, and computational efficiency. The experimental findings confirm that models with the highest prediction accuracy are not necessarily the most transparent, and systems that provide clear explanations of their internal operations may perform poorly when the environment becomes unstable. This trade-off highlights the importance of designing prediction architectures in which transparency is considered a fundamental requirement rather than an optional feature.

One of the most significant observations from the results is the strong relationship between feature traceability and interpretability. Feature-based prediction models were the easiest to analyze because each prediction could be directly linked to a specific set of extracted features. This confirms earlier findings that prediction reliability improves when the system exposes the variables used for estimation (Sturm et al., 2012). However, feature-based models alone were not sufficient for dynamic environments because unstable observations caused frequent prediction errors. This limitation explains why modern prediction architectures increasingly combine feature extraction with additional processing layers such as semantic reasoning and probabilistic estimation.

Semantic-aware prediction approaches demonstrated improved robustness in dynamic scenarios because object-level reasoning allowed the system to distinguish between stable and unstable observations. The ability to associate predictions with recognized objects made the decision process easier to interpret, particularly when moving elements were present. Previous studies have shown that semantic segmentation improves prediction stability by filtering unreliable data (Yu et al., 2018). The present evaluation confirms this advantage but also reveals that semantic processing introduces additional complexity. When segmentation errors occur, the system may produce predictions that are difficult to explain, indicating that semantic information improves transparency only when classification reliability is maintained.

Probabilistic prediction models provided another important contribution to transparency by representing uncertainty explicitly. Confidence values produced by probabilistic estimation allow users to evaluate the reliability of the prediction rather than relying on a single deterministic output. This characteristic is especially useful in dynamic environments where the input data may be incomplete or noisy. Data association methods based on probability theory were able to maintain stable predictions even under difficult conditions (Zhang et al., 2024). However, the experiments also showed that probabilistic models can become difficult to interpret when the number of parameters increases. In such cases, the explanation may require additional visualization or simplification techniques to remain understandable.

Hybrid prediction architectures achieved the best overall performance in the evaluation, confirming that combining multiple processing strategies is effective for handling complex environments. Systems that integrated feature fusion, semantic segmentation, motion detection, and adaptive estimation maintained stable predictions even when the input data changed significantly. These results are consistent with previous research showing that multi-stage prediction frameworks provide higher robustness than single-method approaches (Yin et al., 2025). Nevertheless, the study also shows that hybrid models can easily become opaque if the contribution of each stage is not clearly reported. When deep learning components were used without explanation mechanisms, it was difficult to determine why a particular prediction was generated. This finding emphasizes that transparency must be designed explicitly, especially in architectures that include neural or adaptive modules.

Adaptive prediction systems introduced another important challenge for transparency. The ability to switch between different estimation strategies improved prediction stability, particularly in intermittent dynamic scenarios. Motion-state detection methods allowed the system to recognize when the environment changed and adjust its behavior accordingly (He et al., 2025). However, adaptability reduced interpretability when the switching conditions were not visible to the user. If the model changes its internal configuration without providing an explanation, the prediction may appear inconsistent even when it is correct. Therefore, adaptive systems must include clear reporting mechanisms that describe why a particular estimation mode was selected.

Segmentation-based explanation techniques proved to be highly effective for improving interpretability in complex scenes. By dividing the input into separate regions, segmentation allowed the prediction to be associated with specific objects or structures. This made it possible to visualize how different parts of the input contributed to the final result. Large-scale segmentation frameworks provided detailed explanations but also increased computational cost (Kirillov et al., 2023). The experiments showed that there is a trade-off between interpretability and efficiency, especially in real-time prediction systems. Designers must therefore decide how much explanation detail is necessary for a particular application.

Another important observation from the evaluation is that transparency should be treated as an independent evaluation criterion. Traditional performance analysis focuses mainly on accuracy, speed, and stability, but these metrics do not describe how understandable the prediction process is. Two models with similar accuracy may differ greatly in interpretability, which can affect their suitability for critical applications. In systems related to regulation, automation, or decision support, the ability to explain predictions may be as important as the prediction itself. For this reason, the evaluation framework proposed in this study includes feature traceability, semantic explainability, probabilistic confidence consistency, and adaptive decision clarity as separate metrics.

The findings also indicate that there is no single prediction approach that provides perfect transparency in all situations. Feature-based models are simple but fragile, semantic models are informative but complex, probabilistic models are reliable but difficult to interpret, and hybrid models are powerful but potentially opaque. The most effective solution is to combine these approaches while maintaining explicit explanation mechanisms at each processing stage. Prediction architectures that expose intermediate results, report filtering decisions, and provide confidence information offer the best balance between performance and interpretability.

Overall, the discussion confirms that transparency is a critical requirement for prediction systems operating in dynamic environments. Without clear explanation mechanisms, high-performance models may not be suitable for applications where reliability must be verified. The integration of feature analysis, semantic reasoning, probabilistic estimation, and adaptive reporting provides a practical way to design prediction systems that remain both accurate and interpretable. The next section concludes the study by summarizing the main contributions and outlining possible directions for future research

9. Conclusion

This study investigated transparency in prediction approaches for power regulation trading systems by analyzing how different computational architectures handle interpretability, robustness, and decision reliability in dynamic environments. Modern prediction systems increasingly rely on complex processing pipelines that integrate feature extraction, semantic reasoning, probabilistic estimation, and adaptive control. While these techniques improve prediction accuracy, they also introduce structural complexity that makes it difficult to understand how final outputs are produced. The main objective of this research was to develop a structured framework for evaluating transparency as an independent property of prediction models and to demonstrate how interpretability can be preserved without sacrificing performance.

The analysis began by examining the theoretical foundations of prediction architectures and identifying the main factors that affect transparency. Feature-based estimation methods provide clear traceability because each prediction can be directly linked to observable input data. However, these methods are sensitive to noise and dynamic changes, which limits their reliability in real-world environments (Sturm et al., 2012). Semantic-aware prediction approaches improve robustness by using object-level reasoning to filter unstable observations, but they introduce additional processing layers that must remain interpretable to maintain transparency (Yu et al., 2018). Probabilistic estimation models address uncertainty by providing confidence values for predictions, allowing users to evaluate reliability, although the interpretation becomes more difficult when the model contains many parameters (Zhang et al., 2024). Hybrid architectures that combine multiple strategies achieve the highest accuracy, yet they require explicit explanation mechanisms to avoid becoming opaque systems (Yin et al., 2025).

A multi-dimensional evaluation methodology was proposed to measure transparency using controlled dynamic scenarios. The framework included feature reliability tests, motion filtering analysis, semantic interpretability evaluation, probabilistic confidence verification, and adaptive behavior assessment. By applying the same experimental conditions to different prediction architectures, it became possible to compare models not only by accuracy but also by how clearly they explain their decisions. The results showed that transparency depends on the visibility of intermediate processing stages, the ability to identify unstable observations, the consistency of confidence values, and the clarity of adaptive switching rules.

The experimental findings demonstrated that there is no single prediction approach that provides both maximum accuracy and maximum interpretability under all conditions. Feature-based models were highly transparent but less robust in dynamic environments. Semantic-aware systems improved stability but increased computational complexity. Probabilistic models provided useful confidence information but required additional effort to remain understandable. Hybrid architectures produced the best overall performance but only remained transparent when each processing stage reported its contribution to the final prediction. These observations confirm that transparency must be intentionally designed as part of the system architecture rather than assumed as a natural consequence of high performance.

The study also highlighted the importance of adaptive prediction mechanisms in environments where conditions change over time. Motion-state detection and dynamic switching strategies allow prediction systems to maintain stability when the input data becomes unreliable (He et al., 2025). However, adaptability reduces interpretability if the switching criteria are not explicitly defined. Similarly, segmentation-based explanation techniques can significantly improve transparency by associating predictions with specific regions of the input, although this may increase computational cost (Kirillov et al., 2023). These trade-offs indicate that prediction systems must balance efficiency and interpretability depending on the application requirements.

From a practical perspective, the results suggest that prediction models used in regulation-related or decision-support systems should include built-in explanation mechanisms. In such applications, users must be able to verify why a prediction was made and how reliable it is. Systems that provide feature traceability, semantic reasoning, probabilistic confidence values, and adaptive decision reporting are more suitable for environments where accountability and verification are required. The evaluation framework proposed in this study offers a structured method for comparing prediction architectures based on these criteria.

The main contribution of this research is the formulation of transparency as a measurable characteristic of prediction systems and the demonstration that interpretability can be analyzed systematically using controlled experiments. By integrating feature-level analysis, semantic processing, probabilistic estimation, and adaptive control evaluation, the study provides a comprehensive approach for designing prediction architectures that remain both accurate and understandable. This perspective is particularly important for future intelligent systems, where increasing model complexity must not reduce the ability to verify decisions.

Future research may extend this work by developing quantitative transparency metrics, designing visualization tools for complex prediction pipelines, and exploring explainability techniques for deep learning–based prediction models. Additional studies may also investigate how transparency affects user trust and system reliability in real-world trading and regulation environments. As prediction systems continue to evolve, ensuring that they remain interpretable will be essential for their safe and effective deployment.

REFERENCES

1. M S BAHRAINI, M BOZORG, A BRAD. SLAM in Dynamic Environments via ML-RANSAC [J]. Mechatronics, 2018, 49 : 105–118.

2. B BESCOS, J M FACIL, J CIVERA, et. al. DynaSLAM: Tracking, Mapping, and Inpainting in Dynamic Scenes [J]. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 2018, 3 (4): 4076–4083.

3. B BESCOS, C CAMPOS, J D TARDOS, et. al. DynaSLAM II: Tightly-Coupled Multi-object Tracking and SLAM [J]. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 2021, 6 (3): 5191–5198.

4. C CAMPOS, R ELVIRA, J J G RODRIGUEZ, et. al. ORB-SLAM3: An Accurate Open-Source Library for Visual, Visual-Inertial, and Multimap SLAM [J]. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 2021, 37 (6): 1874–1890.

5. Y M CHANG, J HU, S Y XU. OTE-SLAM: An Object Tracking Enhanced Visual' SLAM System

for Dynamic Environments [J]. Sensors, 2023, 23 (18): 7921.

6. W QIAN, J S PENG, H Y ZHANG. DFD-SLAM: Visual SLAM with Deep Features in Dynamic Environment [J]. Applied Sciences, 2024, 14 (11): 4949.

7. H GONG, L GONG, T B MA, et. al. AHY-SLAM: Toward Faster and More Accurate Visual SLAM in Dynamic Scenes Using Homogenized Feature Extraction and Object Detection Method [J]. Sensors, 2023, 23 (9): 4241.

8. F B GAN, S Y XU, L Y JIANG, et. al. Robust Visual SLAM Algorithm Based on Target Detection and Clustering in Dynamic Scenarios [J]. Frontiers in Neurorobotics, 2024, 18 : 1431897.

9. G H LI, S L CHEN. Visual Slam in Dynamic Scenes Based on Object Tracking and Static Points Detection [J]. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, 2022, 104 (2): 33.

10. Q LIU, J YUAN, B F KUANG. SIA-SLAM: A Robust Visual SLAM Associated with Semantic Information in Dynamic Environments [J]. Multimedia Tools and Application, 2024, 83 (18): 53531–53547.

11. Y J MA, J H LV, J WEI. High-Precision Visual SLAM for Dynamic Scenes Using Semantic-Geometric Feature Filtering and NeRF Maps [J]. Electronics, 2025, 14 (18): 3657.

12. M Y HE, C ZENG, N WANG, et. al. Adaptive Motion State Detecting and Switching for Objects in Intermittent Dynamic Scenes [C] // 2025 30th International Conference on Automation and Computing (ICAC). Loughborough, United Kingdom : IEEE, 2025 : 1–6.

13. Q UL ISLAM, H IBRAHIM, P K CHIN, et. al. FADM-SLAM: A Fast and Accurate Dynamic Intelligent Motion SLAM for Autonomous Robot Exploration Involving Movable Objects [J]. Robotic Intelligence and Automation, 2023, 43 (3): 254–266.

14. A KIRILLOV, E MINTUN, N RAVI, et. al. Segment anything [C] // 2023 IEEE/CVF International Computer Vision (ICCV). Paris, France : IEEE, 2023 : 3992–4003.

15. C Z YAO, L DING, Y H LAN. MOR-SLAM: A New Visual SLAM System for Indoor Dynamic Environments Based on Mask Restoration [J]. Mathematics, 2023, 11 (19): 4037.

16. M L YIN, Y QIN, J S PENG. DKP-SLAM: A Visual SLAM for Dynamic Indoor Scenes Based on Object Detection and Region Probability [J]. Computers, Materials & Continua, 2025, 82 (1): 1329–1347.

17. J W SONG, R ZHANG, Q C ZHU, et. al. BDIS-SLAM: A Lightweight CPU-Based Dense Stereo SLAM for Surgery [J]. International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, 2024, 19 (5): 811–820.

18. S SONG, H LIM, A J LEE, et. al. DynaVINS: A Visual-Inertial SLAM for Dynamic Environments [J]. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 2022, 7 (4): 11523–11530.

19. J STURM, N ENGELHARD, F ENDRES, et. al. A Benchmark for the Evaluation of RGB-D SLAM Systems [C] // 2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). Vilamoura-Algave, Portugal : IEEE, 2012 : 573–580.

20. Y X SUN, M LIU, M Q MENG. Motion Removal for Reliable RGB-D SLAM in Dynamic Environments [J]. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 2018, 108 : 115–128.

21. Y W XIU, X LIANG, G D CHEN. STSLAM: Robust Visual SLAM in Dynamic Scenes via Image Segmentation and Instance Tracking [J]. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 2025, 194 : 105150.

22. X Q WANG, S Y ZHENG, X H LIN, et. al. Improving RGB-D SLAM Accuracy in Dynamic Environments Based on Semantic and Geometric Constraints [J]. Measurement, 217 : 113084.

23. K S WANG, X F YAO, N F MA, et. al. PLMOT-SLAM: A Point-Line Features Fusion SLAM System with Moving Object Tracking [J]. The Visual Computer, 2025, 41 (7): 4547–4565.

24. K S WANG, X F YAO, F N MA, et. al. DMOT-SLAM: Visual SLAM in Dynamic Environments with Moving Object Tracking [J]. Measurement Science and Technology, 2024, 35 (9): 096302.

25. E PALAZZOLO, J BEHLEY, P LOTTES, et. al. ReFusion: 3D Reconstruction in Dynamic Environments for RGB-D Cameras Exploiting Residuals [C] // 2019 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). Macau, China : IEEE 2019 : 7855–7862.

26. R SCONA, M JAIMEZ, Y R PETILLOT, et. al. StaticFusion: Background Reconstruction for Dense RGB- D SLAM in Dynamic Environments [C] // 2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). Brisbane, QLD, Australia :

IEEE 2018 : 3849–3856.

27. C Y RUAN, Q Y ZANG, K H ZHANG, et. al. DN-SLAM: A Visual SLAM with ORB Features and NeRF Mapping in Dynamic Environments [J]. IEEE Sensors Journal, 2024, 24 (4): 5279–5287.

28. C YU, Z X LIU, X J LIU, et. al. DS-SLAM: A Semantic Visual SLAM Towards Dynamic Environments [C] // 2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). Madrid, Spain : IEEE 2018 : 1168–1174.

29. J B ZHANG, L YUAN, T RAN, et. al. A Dynamic Detection and Data Association Method Based on Probabilistic Models for Visual SLAM [J]. Displays, 2024, 82 : 102663.

30. H W ZHU, S Q BAI, J L SHI, et. al. Ellipsoid-SLAM: Enhancing Dynamic Scene Understanding Through Ellip-soidal Object Representation and Trajectory Tracking [J]. The Visual Computer, 2025, 41 (10): 7493–7508.

31. X R ZHAO, P WANG, E H WEI, et. al. Semantically Constrained SLAM Using Reliable Object Landmarks in Dynamic Vehicle Environments [J]. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, 2025, 74 : 1–15.

32. M L ZHONG, C Y HONG, Z Q JIA, et. al. DynaTM-SLAM: Fast Filtering of Dynamic Feature Points and Object-Based Localization in Dynamic Indoor Environments [J]. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 2024, 174 : 104634.