

## **Narrative Intelligence In The Age Of Generative Ai: Integrating Computational Storytelling, Transformer Architectures, Ethical Governance, And Consumer Impact**

**Dr. Eleni Markou**

Department of Digital Innovation and Information Systems, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

Article received: 11/01/2026, Article Accepted: 25/02/2026, Article Published: 07/03/2026

© 2026 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC-BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

---

### **ABSTRACT**

The rapid convergence of computational creativity research and large scale generative language models has redefined the production, dissemination, and consumption of narratives across digital ecosystems. This study develops a comprehensive theoretical and empirical examination of narrative intelligence in the era of generative artificial intelligence by integrating foundational research in computational storytelling, contemporary transformer based architectures, ethical analyses of machine narratives, and emergent insights into consumer behavior and digital governance. Drawing upon narrative planning theory, mixed initiative authoring systems, encoder decoder architectures for causal reasoning in stories, prompt based learning frameworks, and large scale pre trained transformer models, the research constructs an interdisciplinary framework that links narrative generation capabilities with socio technical implications in education, marketing, and consumer protection.

The study adopts a conceptual synthesis methodology anchored in qualitative meta analysis of selected scholarly works. It reconstructs the evolution of computational storytelling from early plan based systems that balanced plot and character intentionality to transformer driven architectures such as GPT and T5 that enable few shot and prompt conditioned narrative production. Particular attention is given to the ethical challenges posed by machine generated narratives, including authorship ambiguity, bias propagation, manipulation risks, and the transformation of consumer trust. The integration of consumer behavior scholarship reveals how generative AI mediated personalization modifies trust formation, purchase behavior, and marketing ethics.

Findings indicate that transformer architectures dramatically expand narrative coherence, contextual retention, and stylistic versatility, yet remain structurally dependent on probabilistic pattern recognition rather than explicit intentional models. While narrative planning systems emphasize goal driven plot causality and character agency, large scale generative models operate through statistical abstraction and emergent structure. The study proposes a hybrid narrative intelligence model that synthesizes symbolic planning with neural generation to preserve causal integrity and ethical transparency. Furthermore, the research demonstrates that generative narratives influence consumer cognition by amplifying personalization, emotional resonance, and perceived authenticity, thereby reshaping trust dynamics and regulatory concerns.

The discussion highlights tensions between creative augmentation and autonomy displacement, explores educational transformations enabled by AI assisted storytelling, and outlines governance strategies for ethical alignment. Limitations relate to the theoretical orientation and the absence of quantitative experimentation. Future research directions include empirical validation of hybrid narrative architectures and interdisciplinary evaluation of long term socio economic impacts.

This research contributes a unified conceptual model linking computational creativity, machine learning, ethics, and consumer studies, offering a holistic perspective on narrative intelligence as both a technological and societal phenomenon.

### **KEYWORDS**

Computational storytelling, generative AI, narrative planning, transformer models, consumer behavior, ethical AI.

## INTRODUCTION

Narrative has historically served as a foundational mechanism through which human societies construct meaning, transmit knowledge, and negotiate cultural identity. The transformation of storytelling through computational systems represents not merely a technological shift but a structural alteration in how narratives are conceived, generated, and consumed. Early explorations into computational creativity sought to encode narrative logic explicitly within rule based frameworks. Over time, advances in machine learning and neural architectures have led to large scale generative models capable of producing coherent and contextually adaptive stories without explicit symbolic planning. This convergence of narrative theory and artificial intelligence has created a new domain of inquiry that may be described as narrative intelligence.

The intellectual trajectory of computational storytelling begins with plan based narrative systems that emphasized intentionality, causality, and character goals. Research in narrative planning argued that compelling stories require a balance between plot coherence and character motivation (Riedl and Young, 2010). Earlier work on intent driven planning in multi agent story generation further reinforced the importance of modeling character goals as causal drivers of narrative progression (Riedl and Young, 2004). These systems drew heavily from planning theory, including least commitment planning, which allowed for flexible narrative development while preserving logical consistency (Weld, 1994). In interactive environments, dynamic experience management architectures were developed to adapt narratives in real time to user behavior (Riedl et al., 2008; Young et al., 2004). Mixed initiative systems placed the human author in the loop, combining automated planning with human creative control (Thomas and Young, 2006).

These symbolic systems offered transparency and explicit representation of narrative logic. However, they faced scalability constraints and struggled to emulate the stylistic richness and diversity characteristic of human storytelling. The emergence of neural network approaches introduced probabilistic methods for narrative generation. Encoder decoder models capable of predicting causal relations in stories marked a transition toward data driven approaches that learned narrative structure from corpora rather than relying exclusively on handcrafted rules (Roemmele and Gordon, 2018).

The development of transformer based architectures represented a decisive turning point. GPT 2 demonstrated the power of unsupervised multitask learning for coherent long form text generation (Radford et al., 2019). GPT 3 extended this paradigm, illustrating the capacity of large scale language models to perform few shot learning across diverse tasks, including story generation, with minimal task specific fine tuning (Brown et al.,

2020). Concurrently, T5 proposed a unified text to text framework that reframed multiple language tasks under a single architecture, enhancing flexibility and transfer learning potential (Raffel et al., 2020). Sentence BERT introduced siamese network approaches for semantically meaningful sentence embeddings, enabling improved narrative coherence and similarity modeling (Reimers and Gurevich, 2019). Prompt based learning methods such as PLUG further demonstrated the capacity to guide narrative generation through carefully engineered textual cues (Santhanam et al., 2021).

While these advances have revolutionized narrative generation capabilities, they have also introduced profound ethical and societal questions. Machine narratives may embed biases, propagate misinformation, and influence consumer decision making in subtle ways. Ethical analyses have examined authorship ambiguity, narrative responsibility, and accountability in AI generated storytelling (Mitchell et al., 2025). Broader assessments of generative AI ethics have emphasized fairness, transparency, and governance challenges (Srivastava et al., 2025).

Simultaneously, research in consumer behavior indicates that generative AI is reshaping marketing communication and personalization strategies. AI driven personalization influences consumer trust and purchase behavior by tailoring narratives to individual preferences (Nath, 2025). Generative AI may significantly transform consumer behavior patterns and research paradigms (Mogaji and Jain, 2024). From a consumer protection perspective, concerns arise regarding manipulative personalization and asymmetric information dynamics (Duivenvoorde, 2025). The integration of generative AI into retail and brand management further underscores its transformative commercial implications.

Despite the richness of these parallel research streams, a comprehensive integrative framework that connects computational narrative architectures with ethical governance and consumer impact remains underdeveloped. The literature often treats technical innovation, ethical critique, and consumer analysis as separate domains. This fragmentation obscures the systemic interplay between narrative generation mechanisms and socio economic outcomes.

The present study addresses this gap by constructing a unified theoretical model of narrative intelligence in the age of generative AI. It seeks to answer three interrelated questions. First, how do symbolic narrative planning systems and neural transformer architectures differ in their representation of plot, character, and causality? Second, what ethical challenges arise from machine generated narratives, particularly in consumer facing contexts? Third, how does generative narrative capability reshape consumer trust, personalization dynamics, and

regulatory frameworks?

By synthesizing computational creativity, machine learning, ethics, and consumer studies, this research advances a holistic understanding of narrative intelligence as a technological capacity and a socio-cultural force.

### **Methodology**

The methodological approach adopted in this research is conceptual and integrative rather than experimental. Given the interdisciplinary nature of narrative intelligence, a qualitative meta-synthesis was employed to systematically analyze and integrate theoretical contributions from computational storytelling, neural language modeling, ethical governance, and consumer behavior scholarship.

The first phase involved thematic categorization of the selected references into four conceptual clusters. The computational narrative planning cluster included research on intent-driven planning, dynamic experience management, least-commitment planning, and interactive narrative architectures (Riedl and Young, 2004; Weld, 1994; Riedl et al., 2008; Young et al., 2004; Riedl and Young, 2010; Thomas and Young, 2006). These works were analyzed to extract foundational principles of plot structure, character intentionality, and planning flexibility.

The second cluster focused on neural and transformer-based architectures for narrative generation. Foundational transformer models such as GPT 2 and GPT 3 (Radford et al., 2019; Brown et al., 2020), the T5 unified text-to-text framework (Raffel et al., 2020), Sentence BERT embeddings (Reimers and Gurevich, 2019), encoder-decoder approaches to causal relation prediction (Roemmele and Gordon, 2018), and prompt learning systems (Santhanam et al., 2021) were examined to identify core mechanisms of probabilistic narrative construction, contextual retention, and transfer learning.

The third cluster comprised ethical and governance scholarship addressing machine narratives and generative AI. Ethical analyses of machine narratives (Mitchell et al., 2025) and broader AI ethics research (Srivastava et al., 2025) were used to articulate normative frameworks, including accountability, fairness, transparency, and authorship considerations.

The fourth cluster examined consumer behavior and marketing transformations induced by generative AI. Research on AI-driven personalization and consumer trust (Nath, 2025), generative AI and consumer behavior change (Mogaji and Jain, 2024), and consumer protection perspectives (Duivenvoorde, 2025) informed the socio-economic analysis.

Data extraction involved close reading and conceptual coding of each text, identifying recurring constructs such as plot coherence, character agency, contextual embeddings, prompt conditioning, personalization, trust formation, and regulatory oversight. Comparative analysis was conducted to evaluate convergences and divergences between symbolic and neural approaches.

The integrative phase involved constructing a layered conceptual model linking narrative generation mechanisms to ethical and consumer implications. This model posits three interdependent strata: narrative architecture, ethical mediation, and consumer impact. Each stratum was elaborated through theoretical reasoning grounded in the selected literature.

The absence of quantitative experimentation is intentional, reflecting the study's objective to provide theoretical synthesis and conceptual clarity. The methodology prioritizes interpretive depth and interdisciplinary integration, consistent with research practices in computational creativity and AI ethics scholarship.

### **Results**

The comparative analysis reveals significant structural differences between symbolic narrative planning systems and neural transformer architectures.

Symbolic narrative planning systems operate through explicit representation of character goals, causal relationships, and plot constraints. In intent-driven planning frameworks, character actions are generated to satisfy internal motivations while maintaining global plot coherence (Riedl and Young, 2004). Narrative planning balances plot-level constraints with character believability, ensuring that events arise logically from agent intentions (Riedl and Young, 2010). Least-commitment planning allows flexibility by deferring certain narrative decisions until necessary, preserving coherence without premature constraint (Weld, 1994). Dynamic experience management systems adapt narrative trajectories in response to user interactions, enabling interactive storytelling environments (Riedl et al., 2008; Young et al., 2004). Mixed-initiative approaches enhance creative collaboration between human authors and automated planners (Thomas and Young, 2006).

Neural transformer architectures, in contrast, derive narrative structure from statistical patterns learned across massive corpora. GPT 2 demonstrated the ability to generate coherent long-form text through unsupervised multitask learning (Radford et al., 2019). GPT 3 extended this capability, enabling few-shot adaptation to narrative prompts (Brown et al., 2020). T5 unified text-to-text transformations across tasks, facilitating flexible narrative manipulation (Raffel et al., 2020). Sentence

BERT embeddings enhance semantic similarity modeling, supporting coherence and retrieval based storytelling (Reimers and Gurevich, 2019). Prompt learning systems such as PLUG demonstrate that narrative direction can be influenced through contextual cues rather than structural planning (Santhanam et al., 2021). Encoder decoder models for causal prediction attempt to approximate narrative causality through learned associations (Roemmele and Gordon, 2018).

The analysis indicates that transformer models excel in stylistic diversity, fluency, and adaptability. However, they lack explicit representation of character intention and long range causal planning. Narrative coherence emerges probabilistically rather than through intentional design.

Ethical evaluation reveals multiple concerns. Machine narratives may embed societal biases present in training data (Mitchell et al., 2025). Transparency challenges arise when consumers cannot distinguish between human authored and AI generated content. Broader ethical frameworks emphasize fairness, accountability, and governance mechanisms to mitigate harm (Srivastava et al., 2025).

Consumer analysis demonstrates that generative AI mediated personalization enhances perceived relevance and emotional engagement. AI driven personalization significantly influences consumer trust and purchase behavior (Nath, 2025). Generative AI has transformative implications for marketing research and consumer engagement strategies (Mogaji and Jain, 2024). However, consumer protection perspectives warn against manipulative narrative targeting and asymmetrical informational power (Duivenvoorde, 2025).

## Discussion

The findings illuminate a fundamental tension between symbolic intentionality and neural emergence. Symbolic planning systems prioritize interpretability and causal integrity, while transformer models prioritize scale and expressive richness. A hybrid narrative intelligence framework could integrate explicit goal modeling with neural text generation, leveraging the strengths of both paradigms.

Ethically, narrative intelligence requires governance mechanisms that ensure transparency, bias mitigation, and accountability. Disclosure practices, auditing frameworks, and regulatory standards are essential to protect consumer autonomy.

From a consumer perspective, generative narratives reshape trust formation processes. Personalization enhances engagement but may reduce critical scrutiny if narratives align too closely with individual preferences. The commercialization of narrative intelligence

necessitates robust consumer protection policies.

Limitations of this study include its conceptual orientation and reliance on existing literature. Future research should empirically test hybrid narrative architectures and measure consumer responses to AI generated storytelling across contexts.

## Conclusion

Narrative intelligence in the age of generative AI represents a convergence of computational creativity, transformer based language modeling, ethical governance, and consumer transformation. Symbolic narrative planning and neural generation offer complementary strengths, suggesting the viability of hybrid architectures. Ethical oversight and consumer protection frameworks are essential to ensure responsible deployment. As generative AI continues to permeate educational, commercial, and cultural domains, interdisciplinary scholarship must guide its development toward human centered and ethically aligned outcomes.

## References

1. Brown, T. B., et al. Language Models are Few Shot Learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020.
2. Duivenvoorde, B. Generative AI and the future of marketing: A consumer protection perspective. *Computer Law and Security Review*, 2025.
3. Mitchell, M., Wu, S., and Bender, E. Ethics of Machine Narratives. *AI and Society*, 2025.
4. Mogaji, E., and Jain, V. How generative AI is will change consumer behaviour: Postulating the potential impact and implications for research, practice, and policy. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 2024.
5. Nath, S. AI Driven Personalization: Impact on Consumer Trust and Purchase Behaviour. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 2025.
6. Radford, A., et al. Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners. *OpenAI*, 2019.
7. Raffel, C., et al. Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text to Text Transformer T5. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 2020.
8. Reimers, N., and Gurevich, I. Sentence BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT Networks. *Proceedings of EMNLP*, 2019.
9. Riedl, M., and Young, R. An Intent Driven Planner for Multi Agent Story Generation. *Proceedings of the*

International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi Agent Systems, 2004.

10. Riedl, M. O., and Young, R. M. Narrative Planning: Balancing Plot and Character. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 2010.
11. Riedl, M., Stern, A., Dini, D., and Alderman, J. Dynamic Experience Management in Virtual Worlds for Entertainment, Education, and Training. *International Transactions on System Science and Applications*, 2008.
12. Roemmele, M., and Gordon, A. S. An Encoder Decoder Approach to Predicting Causal Relations in Stories. *Proceedings of the NAACL Workshop on Storytelling*, 2018.
13. Santhanam, S., et al. PLUG: Prompt Learning for Story Generation. 2021.
14. Srivastava, A., et al. The Present and Future of AI: Ethical Issues and Research Opportunities. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 2025.
15. Thomas, J., and Young, R. Author in the Loop: Using Mixed Initiative Planning to Improve Interactive Narrative. *Proceedings of the ICAPS Workshop on AI Planning for Computer Games and Synthetic Characters*, 2006.
16. Weld, D. An Introduction to Least Commitment Planning. *AI Magazine*, 1994.
17. Young, R., Riedl, M., Branly, M., Jhala, A., Martin, R., and Saretto, C. An Architecture for Integrating Plan Based Behavior Generation with Interactive Game Environments. *Journal of Game Development*, 2004.