

Hybridity And Identity Crisis In Postcolonial South Asian Fiction

Zaheer Shafqat

Department of English Language and Literature, University of Sargodha, Pakistan

Syed Muhammad Abbas Rizvi

MPhil English Literature, Riphah Institute of Languages and Literature, Pakistan

Article received: 17/01/2026, Article Accepted: 22/02/2026, Article Published: 07/03/2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55640/corr-v04i02-02>

© 2026 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC-BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

ABSTRACT

Postcolonial South Asian fiction persistently interrogates the complexities of hybridity and identity crisis emerging from colonial histories and their lingering socio-cultural consequences. Drawing upon the theoretical frameworks of Homi K. Bhabha, Stuart Hall, and Frantz Fanon, this study examines how selected South Asian novelists portray fractured subjectivities, cultural displacement, and negotiated identities. The research focuses on key literary texts including *Midnight's Children* by Salman Rushdie, *The Namesake* by Jhumpa Lahiri, and *The Shadow Lines* by Amitav Ghosh. Through qualitative textual analysis, the study explores how characters navigate the liminal spaces between tradition and modernity, homeland and diaspora, colonial inheritance and postcolonial nationalism. The findings reveal that hybridity in South Asian fiction is not merely a cultural mixture but a dynamic site of negotiation that simultaneously produces empowerment and psychological fragmentation. Identity crisis emerges as a central thematic concern, often manifested through language conflicts, generational tensions, and diasporic alienation. By situating literary narratives within broader historical and cultural contexts, this research highlights how postcolonial South Asian fiction redefines identity as fluid, relational, and continuously reconstructed. Ultimately, the study argues that hybridity functions as both a strategy of resistance and a source of existential uncertainty, reflecting the region's complex engagement with colonial modernity and globalization.

Keywords: Hybridity, Identity Crisis, Postcolonialism, South Asian Fiction, Diaspora, Cultural Negotiation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Postcolonial South Asian literature emerges from a region profoundly shaped by colonial intervention, political rupture, and cultural transformation (Imade and Kadam 2023). The British colonial presence in the Indian subcontinent not only altered economic and administrative systems but also reconfigured linguistic hierarchies, educational institutions, and cultural identities. Colonialism institutionalized English as a language of power and privilege, producing new elite classes while marginalizing indigenous epistemologies (Meighan and Education 2023). The Partition of 1947 further intensified this fragmentation, leading to the creation of India and Pakistan, followed by the emergence of Bangladesh in 1971 (Ferdous 2021). These historical events left deep scars on collective memory, displacing millions and destabilizing long-standing notions of belonging. In the aftermath of such upheaval, literature became a critical space for reflecting upon and

contesting inherited identities, offering nuanced representations of trauma, displacement, and reconstruction. One of the most influential theoretical concepts for understanding postcolonial identity is hybridity, articulated most prominently by Homi K. Bhabha (Umar, Lawan et al. 2024). In *The Location of Culture*, Bhabha challenges rigid binaries such as colonizer/colonized, self/other, and East/West. He introduces the notion of the "Third Space," a liminal site where cultural meanings are negotiated and re-signified (Amoamo 2011). Hybridity, in this sense, does not simply denote cultural mixture; rather, it signifies a dynamic process of translation and transformation that unsettles claims of cultural purity. In South Asian contexts, hybridity manifests linguistically, socially, and psychologically. Writers appropriate the English language once the emblem of imperial authority and reshape it to express indigenous experiences. The resulting narratives are layered with multilingual

registers, cultural codes, and intertextual references that embody the hybrid condition itself (Maha and Humanities 2025).

Parallel to hybridity runs the persistent theme of identity crisis. The colonial encounter imposed categories of race, religion, and nation that often conflicted with precolonial modes of affiliation (Young 2005). As a result, postcolonial subjects frequently experience fractured subjectivities. Cultural theorist Stuart Hall conceptualizes identity not as a fixed essence but as a continuous process of “becoming,” shaped by historical forces and discursive formations. This understanding is particularly relevant to South Asian fiction, where characters often grapple with inherited traditions, national allegiances, and global influences. Identity crisis in these narratives is not merely personal; it reflects broader socio-political tensions between modernity and tradition, nationalism and cosmopolitanism, rootedness and mobility. Moreover, the psychological dimensions of identity crisis resonate with the work of Frantz Fanon, whose analysis of colonial alienation illuminates the internal conflicts experienced by colonized subjects. Fanon argues that colonialism engenders a divided self, torn between indigenous heritage and imposed Western norms. This internalization of cultural hierarchy often leads to mimicry, ambivalence, and self-doubt. South Asian fiction repeatedly dramatizes such tensions, portraying characters who oscillate between pride in their heritage and anxiety about their place in a globalized world (Alhaisony 2022).

The interplay of hybridity and identity crisis is vividly illustrated in key South Asian novels. In *Midnight's Children*, Salman Rushdie intertwines personal narrative with national history, presenting Saleem Sinai as an allegorical embodiment of post-independence India (Gangwar and Bhardwaj 2024). Saleem's fragmented body and unreliable memory mirror the instability of the nation itself. The novel's use of magical realism, non-linear narration, and linguistic play underscores the hybrid nature of postcolonial identity. History is not presented as a singular, authoritative account but as a mosaic of competing voices and perspectives. Similarly, *The Namesake* by Jhumpa Lahiri explores the diasporic dimension of hybridity. The protagonist, Gogol Ganguli, inhabits the cultural interstice between his Bengali heritage and American upbringing. His name becomes a powerful symbol of dislocation and negotiation, encapsulating the burden of cultural inheritance and the desire for self-definition. Lahiri's restrained narrative style captures the quiet tensions of immigrant life, where identity is continually reshaped through everyday encounters and generational dialogue. In *The Shadow Lines*, Amitav Ghosh interrogates the very concept of national boundaries. Through interwoven memories spanning India, Bangladesh, and England, the novel challenges the rigidity of political borders, suggesting that they are “shadow lines” sustained by imagination and ideology (Prima 2024). Ghosh foregrounds the

fluidity of identity, demonstrating how personal and collective histories intersect across geographical divides. The narrative destabilizes conventional notions of nationhood, revealing identity as relational and contingent rather than absolute. Collectively, these texts demonstrate that hybridity in South Asian fiction is not a harmonious blending of cultures but a complex negotiation marked by tension, creativity, and contradiction. Identity crisis emerges as a defining feature of postcolonial subjectivity, shaped by the legacies of colonialism, the violence of partition, and the forces of globalization. The hybrid subject occupies an in-between space simultaneously empowered by multiplicity and unsettled by fragmentation. This study examines how postcolonial South Asian fiction articulates hybridity and identity crisis through narrative form, characterization, and symbolism. By situating literary texts within theoretical frameworks and historical contexts, the research seeks to illuminate the evolving configurations of identity in postcolonial societies. Ultimately, the introduction establishes that hybridity and identity crisis are not peripheral concerns but central paradigms through which South Asian writers explore the complexities of belonging, memory, and selfhood in a rapidly changing world (Naik 2019).

2. Literature Review

The discourse of hybridity occupies a central position in postcolonial theory, particularly through the work of Homi K. Bhabha in *The Location of Culture* (1994). Bhabha reconceptualizes colonial encounters not as unilateral impositions of power but as dialogic processes characterized by ambivalence and negotiation (Mabardi 2000). His notion of the “Third Space” disrupts essentialist binaries such as colonizer/colonized and East/West, proposing instead that identity is constructed within an interstitial domain where meanings are constantly translated and rearticulated. Hybridity, in this sense, becomes a subversive strategy that destabilizes colonial authority by revealing its dependence on mimicry and repetition. Scholars examining South Asian fiction frequently draw upon Bhabha's framework to demonstrate how writers resist narratives of cultural purity and national homogeneity (Bhalla 2008). Through linguistic innovation, narrative fragmentation, and intertextuality, South Asian novelists foreground the instability of identity and the creative possibilities inherent in cultural mixing. Complementing Bhabha's theoretical contributions is the psychological analysis offered by Frantz Fanon in *Black Skin, White Masks*. Fanon investigates the internalized inferiority complex engendered by colonial domination, arguing that colonized subjects often adopt the language and cultural codes of the colonizer in pursuit of recognition. This process results in a divided consciousness, marked by alienation and self-doubt. Fanon's insights into mimicry and cultural estrangement provide a critical foundation for understanding identity crisis in postcolonial South Asian narratives. Many fictional characters exhibit

precisely this psychological fragmentation, oscillating between pride in indigenous heritage and aspiration toward Western modernity. Scholars have applied Fanon's framework to examine themes of linguistic displacement, racialized hierarchies, and the burdens of colonial education in South Asian texts, emphasizing the lingering psychic effects of imperial rule (Rani, Shaheen et al. 2025).

Further complicating the discourse of identity is the cultural theory of Stuart Hall, who distinguishes between identity as "being" and identity as "becoming." Hall rejects the notion of a stable, originary self, proposing instead that identity is continuously produced through representation and historical positioning. This conceptualization aligns closely with diasporic literature, where belonging is negotiated across multiple cultural and geographical contexts (Bah and Studies 2024). In South Asian fiction, characters frequently inhabit transnational spaces, navigating hybrid affiliations that challenge monolithic definitions of nationality or ethnicity. Hall's emphasis on the fluidity of identity has influenced scholars analyzing migration narratives, particularly those centered on second-generation immigrants who mediate between ancestral traditions and contemporary global cultures (Christou 2006).

Critical engagement with individual authors further enriches the theoretical landscape. Studies on Salman Rushdie emphasize his deployment of magical realism and historiographic metafiction to articulate national and personal hybridity (Gangwar and Bhardwaj 2024). Critics argue that *Midnight's Children* reimagines the history of post-independence India through parody, irony, and narrative multiplicity. Rushdie's stylistic experimentation, including code-switching and syntactic hybridity, has been interpreted as an act of linguistic decolonization, reclaiming English from its colonial origins and reshaping it to reflect South Asian realities. Scholars contend that his fragmented narrative form mirrors the fractured identity of the nation, thereby reinforcing the thematic interplay between hybridity and crisis. Similarly, research on Jhumpa Lahiri foregrounds diasporic identity and generational tension. Critics highlight how *The Namesake* explores the symbolic significance of naming, cultural inheritance, and assimilation within immigrant communities. Lahiri's minimalist prose style contrasts with Rushdie's exuberance, yet it effectively conveys the quiet anxieties of displacement and belonging. Scholarly interpretations often emphasize the negotiation of cultural expectations within family structures, illustrating how hybridity operates not only at the societal level but also within intimate domestic spaces (Luke and Luke 1999).

The works of Amitav Ghosh have been widely analyzed through memory studies and transnational frameworks. In *The Shadow Lines*, Ghosh interrogates the constructed nature of national borders, suggesting that they function as ideological "shadow lines" sustained by political

narratives rather than lived realities. Critics argue that the novel destabilizes fixed conceptions of nationhood by foregrounding shared histories that transcend territorial divisions. Through its layered narrative structure, the text exemplifies Hall's notion of identity as an ongoing process shaped by memory and storytelling. Scholarly attention to Ghosh often underscores the interconnection between personal memory and collective history, reinforcing the idea that identity is relational and contingent. Recent scholarship has extended the concept of hybridity beyond the immediate colonial encounter to encompass globalization, digital interconnectedness, and transnational labor migration (MKHELKHEL 2025). Contemporary South Asian fiction increasingly depicts characters who migrate to Europe, North America, and the Middle East, reflecting shifting patterns of mobility and economic aspiration. Critics argue that globalization intensifies identity negotiations, creating new forms of hybridity that intersect with class, gender, and religion. Digital communication and global media further complicate the terrain of identity formation, enabling diasporic subjects to maintain transnational ties while simultaneously assimilating into host cultures (Georgiou 2010).

Despite the breadth of existing research, much scholarship tends to focus on individual authors or singular theoretical paradigms. There remains a relative paucity of comparative analyses that synthesize hybridity and identity crisis across multiple South Asian texts within a unified framework. While Bhabha's hybridity and Fanon's psychological alienation are frequently discussed independently, fewer studies integrate these perspectives to examine how textual strategies simultaneously reflect cultural negotiation and existential fragmentation (Uhuru). Additionally, the dynamic relationship between national history and diasporic experience warrants deeper exploration, particularly in light of evolving global contexts. This study addresses these gaps by drawing together theoretical insights from Bhabha, Fanon, and Hall and applying them comparatively to selected South Asian novels. By situating textual analysis within broader historical and transnational frameworks, the research contributes to an expanded understanding of how hybridity and identity crisis intersect and evolve in postcolonial South Asian fiction. Through this synthesis, the literature review establishes the scholarly foundation upon which the present study builds, demonstrating both the richness of existing debates and the necessity for further integrative inquiry (Elsbach and van Knippenberg 2020).

3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design grounded in interpretative textual analysis and postcolonial theoretical inquiry. Since the objective of the research is to explore representations of hybridity and identity crisis in postcolonial South Asian fiction, a qualitative approach is most appropriate for examining nuanced

narrative structures, symbolic patterns, and ideological undercurrents. Rather than relying on numerical data or empirical measurement, the study prioritizes close engagement with literary texts, emphasizing meaning-making processes embedded within language, characterization, and narrative form. The primary texts selected for analysis are *Midnight's Children* by Salman Rushdie, *The Namesake* by Jhumpa Lahiri, and *The Shadow Lines* by Amitav Ghosh. These novels were chosen based on three key criteria: thematic relevance, geographical and historical representation, and critical recognition within postcolonial scholarship. Each text engages deeply with issues of hybridity, displacement, national history, and diasporic identity. Collectively, they provide a diverse yet interconnected corpus through which the dynamics of postcolonial identity formation can be examined. Rushdie's work foregrounds national allegory and linguistic experimentation; Lahiri's novel explores immigrant subjectivity and generational tension; and Ghosh's narrative interrogates memory and the constructed nature of borders. This diversity enables a comparative exploration of hybridity across national and diasporic contexts.

The primary method employed in this research is close reading. Close reading involves detailed textual analysis of narrative voice, structure, imagery, symbolism, and linguistic strategies. Particular attention is given to how characters articulate their sense of self, how narrative fragmentation mirrors identity crisis, and how symbolic elements—such as names, borders, and bodily imagery—encode hybrid subjectivities. By analyzing recurring motifs and discursive patterns, the study identifies how identity is constructed, contested, and transformed within the fictional worlds of these novels. The analysis is informed by key postcolonial theoretical frameworks. The concept of hybridity and the “Third Space,” as articulated by Homi K. Bhabha, provides the central analytical lens for examining cultural negotiation and ambivalence. Bhabha's theory facilitates interpretation of liminal spaces where colonial and indigenous influences intersect. Additionally, Stuart Hall's theory of cultural identity particularly his distinction between identity as “being” and “becoming” guides the exploration of identity as fluid and historically contingent. This framework is especially useful in analyzing diasporic experiences and generational shifts. Furthermore, the psychological insights of Frantz Fanon inform the examination of internalized colonial hierarchies, mimicry, and alienation, helping to illuminate the emotional and psychological dimensions of identity crisis in the selected texts.

In addition to primary textual analysis, the study incorporates secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, critical essays, and foundational texts in postcolonial theory. These sources provide contextual support and scholarly perspectives that enrich the interpretative framework. The integration of existing scholarship ensures that the analysis remains grounded

within ongoing academic debates while also identifying areas where further synthesis is needed. A comparative methodological approach is employed to identify both convergences and divergences across the selected novels. By examining how different authors represent hybridity in relation to nationhood, diaspora, memory, and language, the study highlights recurring thematic patterns while acknowledging contextual distinctions. This comparative dimension strengthens the argument that hybridity and identity crisis are not isolated motifs but interconnected phenomena shaped by historical and geopolitical circumstances.

The research does not utilize quantitative tools or statistical analysis, as the focus is interpretative and conceptual. However, historical contextualization plays a crucial role in the methodology. Events such as the Partition of India in 1947, post-independence nation-building processes, and waves of migration to Western countries are considered as background frameworks influencing literary production. By situating textual analysis within these socio-political contexts, the study underscores the interconnectedness between history and narrative representation. Overall, this methodology combines theoretical rigor, close textual engagement, and comparative analysis to examine how postcolonial South Asian fiction articulates hybridity and identity crisis. Through this integrative approach, the research aims to produce a nuanced understanding of how literary texts reflect and reshape discourses of belonging, displacement, and cultural negotiation in postcolonial contexts.

4. Results and Discussion

The analysis of the selected novels demonstrates that hybridity in postcolonial South Asian fiction operates simultaneously as a source of empowerment and fragmentation. Rather than presenting hybridity as a harmonious cultural fusion, the texts reveal it to be a dynamic and often unstable condition shaped by history, memory, migration, and language. Identity crisis emerges not as an isolated psychological struggle but as a structural consequence of colonial legacies and transnational mobility. Through narrative experimentation and symbolic representation, the novels collectively reconfigure identity as fluid, relational, and continuously negotiated. In *Midnight's Children* by Salman Rushdie, hybridity is embodied in the figure of Saleem Sinai, whose birth coincides with the moment of India's independence. Saleem's telepathic connection with the other “midnight's children” symbolizes the multiplicity and diversity of the newly formed nation. Each child represents a different linguistic, religious, and regional identity, reflecting India's heterogeneous social fabric. This collective consciousness can be interpreted as an allegory for hybrid nationhood, where unity does not erase difference but incorporates it. However, Saleem's body gradually deteriorates throughout the novel, culminating in physical disintegration. This bodily

fragmentation parallels the political disillusionment and internal conflicts of post-independence India. The narrative thus suggests that hybridity, while creative and expansive, is also precarious. The hybrid subject carries the weight of conflicting histories and expectations, resulting in instability and crisis. Rushdie's fragmented narrative structure—characterized by non-linear chronology, magical realism, and linguistic hybridity—mirrors this thematic instability, reinforcing the idea that identity itself is constructed through overlapping and contested narratives.

In *The Namesake* by Jhumpa Lahiri, hybridity is explored within the diasporic context of immigrant life in the United States. Gogol Ganguli's struggle with his name becomes a central metaphor for cultural displacement and identity crisis. His name, derived from a Russian writer, does not easily align with either his Bengali heritage or his American upbringing. As a child and adolescent, Gogol perceives his name as a burden, symbolizing his difference from mainstream American society. His decision to change his name to Nikhil reflects an attempt to assimilate and claim agency over his identity. However, this transformation does not fully resolve his sense of alienation. Instead, it reveals that identity cannot be reduced to external labels or singular affiliations. Gogol's eventual reconciliation with his given name and heritage illustrates Stuart Hall's conception of identity as an ongoing process of "becoming" rather than a fixed state of "being." Diasporic space in the novel functions not merely as a site of loss but as a terrain of negotiation, where hybrid identities are formed through everyday interactions, generational dialogue, and personal reflection. Lahiri's restrained prose style underscores the subtle, often unspoken tensions that shape immigrant subjectivity, highlighting hybridity as both an emotional challenge and a source of expanded perspective. Similarly, *The Shadow Lines* by Amitav Ghosh interrogates the rigidity of national identity by depicting borders as imagined and ideologically constructed. The novel traverses multiple geographical spaces—India, Bangladesh, and England—blurring the distinction between here and there, self and other. Through layered memories and intergenerational storytelling, Ghosh reveals how national boundaries function as "shadow lines" sustained by political discourse rather than lived experience. The violent events surrounding Partition and communal conflict expose the fragility of nationalist narratives. Identity in the novel is shaped less by territorial allegiance and more by shared histories, personal relationships, and acts of remembrance. This emphasis on memory and narration aligns with Homi K. Bhabha's concept of the "Third Space," where cultural translation and reinterpretation occur. The text suggests that identity is produced in the interstices between competing histories, rather than within fixed national frameworks.

Across all three texts, identity crisis manifests in multiple forms: generational conflict between parents and

children, linguistic tension between mother tongue and English, and emotional alienation within both homeland and diaspora. In Rushdie's novel, national identity fractures under political turmoil; in Lahiri's narrative, familial expectations clash with individual aspirations; and in Ghosh's work, historical memory complicates personal belonging. These varied representations demonstrate that identity crisis is not a singular phenomenon but a recurring structural condition within postcolonial and transnational contexts. Yet the analysis also reveals that hybridity enables creative self-definition. Characters resist rigid cultural expectations by forging composite identities that draw from multiple traditions. Linguistic hybridity—manifested in code-switching, narrative plurality, and stylistic experimentation—serves as a literary strategy for asserting agency. Rather than resolving tensions into a stable synthesis, the novels embrace ambiguity as an intrinsic aspect of contemporary existence. Hybridity becomes a space of possibility, allowing subjects to navigate complexity without surrendering to homogenization.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that postcolonial South Asian fiction reframes identity as fluid, contested, and historically situated. Hybridity is portrayed not simply as a byproduct of colonialism but as an ongoing condition intensified by globalization and migration. Identity crisis, while often painful, becomes a catalyst for introspection and transformation. Through narrative innovation and thematic depth, these texts challenge essentialist notions of belonging and propose a vision of identity grounded in negotiation, plurality, and continual reimagining.

5. Conclusion

Hybridity and identity crisis emerge as defining paradigms in postcolonial South Asian fiction, deeply rooted in the region's colonial past, Partition trauma, and contemporary global mobility. Through a comparative analysis of *Midnight's Children* by Salman Rushdie, *The Namesake* by Jhumpa Lahiri, and *The Shadow Lines* by Amitav Ghosh, this study demonstrates that hybridity functions as both a strategy of resistance and a site of vulnerability. These narratives challenge essentialist constructions of nation, culture, and selfhood by foregrounding multiplicity, fragmentation, and negotiation. Rather than depicting identity crisis as a failure or deficiency, the texts represent it as an inevitable and productive consequence of living between histories, languages, and geographies. Engaging with the theoretical insights of Homi K. Bhabha, Stuart Hall, and Frantz Fanon, the research underscores the fluid and constructed nature of identity. Hybridity is not resolved into a stable synthesis; instead, it remains an ongoing process shaped by memory, migration, and cultural translation. In an era marked by globalization and transnational movement, these literary representations remain profoundly relevant. Ultimately, postcolonial South Asian fiction reimagines identity as relational,

dynamic, and perpetually evolving—an enduring dialogue between past and present, homeland and diaspora, self and other.

References

1. Alhaisony, A. G. M. (2022). Home, belonging and multiculturalism in twenty-first-century British South Asian fiction, University of Exeter (United Kingdom).
2. Amoamo, M. J. A. o. T. R. (2011). "Tourism and hybridity: Re-visiting Bhabha's third space." 38(4): 1254-1273.
3. Bah, A. J. I. J. o. E. and Studies (2024). "Exploring the characteristics of diasporic literature: A comprehensive analysis." 6(3): 106.
4. Bhalla, T. A. (2008). Between history and identity: Reading the authentic in South Asian diasporic literature and community, University of Michigan.
5. Christou, A. (2006). Narratives of place, culture and identity: second-generation Greek-Americans return'home', Amsterdam University Press.
6. Elsbach, K. D. and D. J. J. o. m. s. van Knippenberg (2020). "Creating high-impact literature reviews: An argument for 'integrative reviews'." 57(6): 1277-1289.
7. Ferdous, S. (2021). Partition as Border-Making: East Bengal, East Pakistan and Bangladesh, Routledge India.
8. Gangwar, D. and P. J. C. S. Bhardwaj (2024). "Unravelling the Multifaceted Narrative of India: A Comprehensive Analysis of Cultural Complexities, Political Dynamics, Historical Context, and Magical Realism in Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*." 3(7): 62-72.
9. Georgiou, M. J. R. e. d. m. i. (2010). "Identity, space and the media: Thinking through diaspora." 26(1): 17-35.
10. Imade, D. and A. J. I. J. f. M. R. Kadam (2023). "Postcolonial reflections in South Asian literature." 5(05): 1-6.
11. Luke, C. and A. J. E. T. Luke (1999). "Theorizing interracial families and hybrid identity: An Australian perspective." 49(2): 223.
12. Mabardi, S. J. C. S. (2000). "Encounters of a heterogeneous kind: Hybridity in cultural theory." 13(1): 1-20.
13. Maha, S. J. I. J. o. L., Linguistics, and Humanities (2025). "Cultural Hybridity and Linguistic Pluralism in World Literature: A Comparative Study of Code-Meshing Techniques in Translingual Fiction." 1(1): 1-8.
14. Meighan, P. J. J. D., Indigenous, and M. Education (2023). "Colonialism: Colonial legacies, imperial mindsets, and inequitable practices in English language education." 17(2): 146-155.
15. MKHELKHEL, H. (2025). The Hybridization of Cultural Identities: The Impact of Digital Technologies in Cultural Representation in the Global South and the West, University of Biskra.
16. Naik, S. A. (2019). Negotiating Identity in Postcolonial Space: A Critical Study of Selected South Asian Diasporic Fiction, Central University of Punjab.
17. Prima, K. K. (2024). Navigating ambivalence and exile: a multidimensional analysis of migration in Amitav Ghosh's *The Shadow Lines*, Brac University.
18. Rani, M., et al. (2025). "Echoes of Empire: Tracing Colonial Legacies and Subaltern Struggles in Contemporary Marginalization through A Passage to India." 3(3): 1477-1484.
19. Uhuru, F. A. "Frantz Fanon, Alienation, and the Psychology of the Oppressed."
20. Umar, A. D., et al. (2024). "Critical review of postcolonial theory of Homi Bhabha's hybridity: A study of "The Location of Culture"." 4(1): 15-19.
21. Young, R. J. (2005). Colonial desire: Hybridity in theory, culture and race, Routledge.